

DOMAINS OF HOLOMORPHY AND REPRESENTATIONS OF $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$

L. BARCHINI, C. LESLIE, AND R. ZIERAU

ABSTRACT. For $G = SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ and $K = SO(n)$ Akhiezer and Gindikin explicitly determine a G -invariant Stein extension \mathcal{A} of G/K in $G_{\mathbf{C}}/K_{\mathbf{C}}$. We give several other descriptions of \mathcal{A} . In terms of the geometry of an arbitrary flag variety for $G_{\mathbf{C}}$, \mathcal{A} is described as the ‘polar set’ of the closed G -orbit. \mathcal{A} is also the space of ‘linear cycles’ in an arbitrary open G -orbit. We also see that \mathcal{A} is a domain of holomorphy for Szegő kernels associated to interesting irreducible representations of G .

1. INTRODUCTION

A theorem of Grauert states that a real analytic manifold M has a Stein extension $M^{\mathbf{C}}$, that is, M is a totally real submanifold of a Stein manifold $M^{\mathbf{C}}$. If a group G acts on M it is natural to ask for a G -invariant Stein extension. In [1] this question is addressed for $M = G/K$, a Riemannian symmetric space. Given the rich structure and function theory of G/K this is a particularly important example.

For $G = SL(n; \mathbf{R})$ Akhiezer and Gindikin explicitly determine a G -invariant Stein extension $\mathcal{A} \subset G_{\mathbf{C}}/K_{\mathbf{C}}$ (see Def. 2.9 below). In this article we give two different (and in a sense dual) descriptions of \mathcal{A} and explore certain G -invariant spaces of functions on G/K . More precisely, let Z be a flag variety for $G_{\mathbf{C}} = SL(n; \mathbf{C})$. Let D (resp. \mathcal{O}) be an open G (resp. $K_{\mathbf{C}} = SO(n; \mathbf{C})$) orbit in Z and Y (resp. X_0) the dual $K_{\mathbf{C}}$ (resp. G) orbit. (See Def. 2.5 for slightly more precise definitions.) We show that \mathcal{A} coincides with the following two open domains in $G_{\mathbf{C}}/K_{\mathbf{C}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} &: \text{connected component of } \{gK_{\mathbf{C}} : gY \subset D\}, \text{ and} \\ \widehat{X}_0 &: \text{connected component of } \{gK_{\mathbf{C}} : g^{-1}X_0 \subset \mathcal{O}\}. \end{aligned}$$

\mathcal{M} is the linear cycle space ([7]), a family of maximal compact complex subvarieties of D . This provides a natural setting for a holomorphic double fibration and corresponding ‘Penrose’ transform. On the other hand, \widehat{X}_0 seems to be closely related to Szegő kernels. This is made explicit in Theorem 5.9 where we consider the Speh representations realized as spaces of smooth sections on G/K via Szegő maps. Our main result is that the Szegő kernels extend holomorphically to $\widehat{X}_0 (= \mathcal{A})$, thus providing a realization of the Speh representations in a holomorphic setting. Furthermore, \widehat{X}_0 is a domain of holomorphy for the Szegő kernels.

The method of extending representations is used in [4] to study automorphic forms for $SL(2; \mathbf{R})$. Extensions of Szegő kernels for discrete series representations for $SU(p, q)$ are studied in [2]. The linear cycle space \mathcal{M} is determined in [5] by very different methods.

2. GEOMETRY OF THE FLAG VARIETY

Let $G = SL(n; \mathbf{R})$, $K = SO(n)$ and Z an arbitrary flag variety for $G_{\mathbf{C}} = SL(n; \mathbf{C})$. The group $K = SO(n)$ is defined as the group of isometries of \mathbf{R}^n with respect to the standard inner product $(v, w) = \sum_{j=1}^n v_j w_j$, having determinant 1. The complexification of K is $K_{\mathbf{C}} = SO(n; \mathbf{C})$, the isometry group of the nondegenerate symmetric form $(\ , \)$ on \mathbf{C}^n which is given by the same formula. We will also assume that $n \geq 2$. Later we will restrict to the case of n an even integer, however the results of this section hold for any $n \geq 3$.

Barchini and Zierau partially supported by NSF grant DMS-9801605.

Leslie partially supported by an NSERC Post-Doctoral Fellowship and an AWM Mentoring Travel Grant.

The flag varieties for $G_{\mathbf{C}}$ may be described as follows. Let $\tilde{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_k)$ with $m_j \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $0 < m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_k < n$ and set

$$Z_{\tilde{m}} = \{(z_1, \dots, z_k) : z_1 \subset \dots \subset z_k \subset \mathbf{C}^n \text{ and } \dim(z_j) = m_j, \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, k\}.$$

Definition 2.1. For $0 < l, m < n$ set

$$(2.2) \quad d(l, m) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } l + m \leq n, \\ l + m - n, & \text{if } l + m > n. \end{cases}$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad e(l, m) = \begin{cases} l, & \text{if } l + m \leq n, \\ n - m, & \text{if } l + m > n. \end{cases}$$

The following is straightforward.

Lemma 2.4. Suppose $w, z \subset \mathbf{C}^n$.

- (a) $d(l, m) = \min\{\dim(w \cap \bar{z}) : \dim(w) = l \text{ and } \dim(z) = m\}$,
- (b) $e(l, m) = \max\{\dim(w \cap z^\perp) : \dim(w) = l \text{ and } \dim(z) = m\}^1$,
- (c) $d(l, m) + e(l, m) = l$.

Definition 2.5. We define the following subsets of $Z_{\tilde{m}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \{z \in Z_{\tilde{m}} : \dim(z_i \cap \bar{z}_j) = d(m_i, m_j), \text{ all } i, j\}, \text{ (the maximally complex flags),} \\ X_0 &= \{z \in Z_{\tilde{m}} : z_j = \bar{z}_j, \text{ all } j\}, \text{ (the real flags),} \\ Y &= \{z \in Z_{\tilde{m}} : \dim(z_i \cap z_j^\perp) = e(m_i, m_j), \text{ all } i, j\}, \text{ (the maximally isotropic flags),} \\ \mathcal{O} &= \{z \in Z_{\tilde{m}} : z_j \cap z_j^\perp = \{0\}, \text{ all } j\}, \text{ (the nondegenerate flags).} \end{aligned}$$

The following proposition is well known and easily verified.

Proposition 2.6. With $\tilde{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_k)$ as above, $Z_{\tilde{m}}$ is a flag variety for $G_{\mathbf{C}}$ and all flag varieties are equivalent to some $Z_{\tilde{m}}$.

- (a) If $m_j \neq \frac{n}{2}$ for all j then, D is the unique open G -orbit in $Z_{\tilde{m}}$. If $m_j = \frac{n}{2}$ for some j then, D splits into two orbits, which we call D_+ and D_- .
- (b) The unique closed G -orbit is X_0 .
- (c) If $m_j \neq \frac{n}{2}$ for all j then, Y is the unique closed $K_{\mathbf{C}}$ -orbit in $Z_{\tilde{m}}$. If $m_j = \frac{n}{2}$ for some j then, Y splits into two orbits, which we call Y_+ and Y_- .
- (d) The unique open $K_{\mathbf{C}}$ -orbit is \mathcal{O} .

The affine space $G_{\mathbf{C}}/K_{\mathbf{C}}$ may be described as the space of all unimodular symmetric bilinear forms on \mathbf{C}^n . The action of G is given by $(g \cdot b)(v, w) = b(g^{-1}v, g^{-1}w)$ and the stabilizer of $(\ , \)$ is $K_{\mathbf{C}}$. We write b_g for $g \cdot b$. Note that if we choose the standard basis of \mathbf{C}^n , then $G_{\mathbf{C}}/K_{\mathbf{C}}$ is identified with the space of complex symmetric $n \times n$ matrices of determinant one. In particular b_g is identified with $g^{t-1}g^{-1}$.

Definition 2.7. For each $Z_{\tilde{m}}$ define subsets of $G_{\mathbf{C}}/K_{\mathbf{C}}$:

- (a) \mathcal{M} is the connected component containing $eK_{\mathbf{C}}$ of $\mathcal{M}' = \{gK_{\mathbf{C}} : gY \subset D\}$.
- (b) \widehat{X}_0 is the connected component containing $eK_{\mathbf{C}}$ of $\widehat{X}_0' = \{gK_{\mathbf{C}} : g^{-1}X_0 \subset \mathcal{O}\}$.

Note that since $Y \subset D$ and $X_0 \subset \mathcal{O}$ the symmetric space $G/K = G \cdot eK_{\mathbf{C}}$ is contained in $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}', \widehat{X}_0$ and \widehat{X}_0' . We will see that these four sets are open in $G_{\mathbf{C}}/K_{\mathbf{C}}$, so they are complex extensions of G/K .

There is a (real) parabolic subgroup $P = MAN$ of G so that $X_0 = G/P = K/K \cap M$ and $Z_{\tilde{m}} = G_{\mathbf{C}}/P_{\mathbf{C}}$. From the definition of \widehat{X}_0 we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.8. $gK_{\mathbf{C}} \in \widehat{X}_0$ if and only if $g^{-1}k \in K_{\mathbf{C}}M_{\mathbf{C}}A_{\mathbf{C}}N_{\mathbf{C}}$, for all $k \in K$.

¹ z^\perp is the subspace of \mathbf{C}^n orthogonal to z with respect to $(\ , \)$.

In this case we say that $g^{-1}k$ has a *complex Iwasawa decomposition*. Unlike the Iwasawa decomposition for a real group, not every element of $G_{\mathbf{C}}$ has a complex Iwasawa decomposition, and for those that do the uniqueness which holds in the real case fails.

For the remainder of this section we will determine the structure of \mathcal{M} and \widehat{X}_0 for arbitrary flag varieties. We will see that \mathcal{M} and \widehat{X}_0 are open and Stein in $G_{\mathbf{C}}/K_{\mathbf{C}}$, are independent of the flag variety for $G_{\mathbf{C}}$ and are equal to each other.

Definition 2.9. Let $\mathcal{A}' \subset G_{\mathbf{C}}/K_{\mathbf{C}}$ be the space of unimodular symmetric forms having no isotropic vector in \mathbf{R}^n . Let \mathcal{A} be the connected component containing the form (\quad, \quad) .

Remark 2.10. In [1], for an arbitrary simple Lie group G , a complex extension of G/K in $G_{\mathbf{C}}/K_{\mathbf{C}}$ is defined and conjectured to be Stein. This set is defined as the maximal G -invariant domain in $G_{\mathbf{C}}/K_{\mathbf{C}}$ containing G/K on which the action of G is proper. They show that for $G = SL(n; \mathbf{R})$, $n \geq 3$, it coincides with \mathcal{A} .

Theorem 2.11. For any flag variety $Z_{\tilde{m}}$, $\widehat{X}_0 = \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. It suffices to show that $\widehat{X}_0' = \mathcal{A}'$. Suppose that $gK_{\mathbf{C}} \notin \widehat{X}_0'$, i.e., b_g is degenerate on some real subspace of dimension m_j (some j). Then b_g has a real isotropic vector.

Now suppose that b_g has a real isotropic vector v_0 . The subspace of \mathbf{R}^n perpendicular to v_0 with respect to both the real and imaginary parts of b_g has (real) dimension at least $n-2$. Thus for each $m = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ there is a subspace $E \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ of dimension m containing v_0 and so that $b_g(v_0, v) = 0$ for all $v \in E$. Applying this to $m = m_k$ and setting $z_k = E_{\mathbf{C}}$, we see that z_k is degenerate for b_g . Now z_k belongs to some real flag $z = (z_1 \subset \dots \subset z_k)$. However, $g^{-1}z \notin \mathcal{O}$. \square

Theorem 2.12. For any flag variety $Z_{\tilde{m}}$, $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that $\mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{A}'$. In order to prove this for an arbitrary flag variety it is simpler to consider separately the full flag variety ($\tilde{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_{n-1})$) and the flag variety corresponding to maximal parabolic subgroups ($\tilde{m} = (m)$). Denote (temporarily) the two \mathcal{M}' 's by $\mathcal{M}'_{\text{full}}$ and $\mathcal{M}'_{\text{max}}$. Since there is a fibration of the full flag variety over any other and a fibration of any flag variety over one of the flag varieties corresponding to the maximal parabolic the \mathcal{M} for an arbitrary flag variety Z satisfies $\mathcal{M}'_{\text{full}} \subset \mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{M}'_{\text{max}}$. It therefore suffices to show that $\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{M}'_{\text{full}}$ and $\mathcal{M}'_{\text{max}} \subset \mathcal{A}'$.

We first show $\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{M}'_{\text{full}}$. Suppose that $gK_{\mathbf{C}} \notin \mathcal{M}'_{\text{full}}$, so $gY \not\subset D$. There is a flag $z = (z_j) \in Y$ so that for some i_0, j_0 , $\dim(gz_{i_0} \cap \overline{gz_{j_0}}) > d(i_0, j_0)$. We may assume $i_0 < j_0$, i.e., $z_{i_0} \subset z_{j_0}$. Now z is maximally isotropic means that gz is maximally isotropic for b_g , i.e., $\dim(gz_{i_0} \cap (gz_{j_0})^{\perp_g}) = e(i_0, j_0)$, where \perp_g refers to orthogonality with respect to b_g . Therefore, by part (c) of Lemma 2.4, $gz_{i_0} \cap \overline{gz_{j_0}}$ meets $gz_{i_0} \cap (gz_{j_0})^{\perp_g}$ in at least one dimension. We have $\{0\} \neq gz_{i_0} \cap \overline{gz_{j_0}} \cap (gz_{j_0})^{\perp_g} \subset gz_{j_0} \cap \overline{gz_{j_0}} \cap (gz_{j_0})^{\perp_g}$, so b_g has a real isotropic vector.

Now consider $Z_{(m)}$, the Grassmannian of all m -planes in \mathbf{C}^n . Suppose $b_g \notin \mathcal{A}'$. Let $v_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be a real isotropic vector for b_g . There is a $w_0 \in \mathbf{C}^n$ so that $b_g(v_0, w_0) = 1$. Set $U = (\text{span}\{v_0, w_0\})^{\perp}$. Then b_g is nondegenerate on U and we may choose an $m-1$ dimensional subspace $u \subset U$ which is maximally isotropic. Set $z = g^{-1}(\mathbf{C}v_0 + u)$, a maximally isotropic m -dimensional subspace. Now

$$\dim(gz \cap \overline{gz}) = \dim((\mathbf{C}v_0 + u) \cap (\mathbf{C}v_0 + \overline{u})) \geq 1 + d(m, m).$$

So $gz \not\subset D$, i.e., $gK_{\mathbf{C}} \notin \mathcal{M}'_{\text{max}}$. \square

Corollary 2.13. $\widehat{X}_0 = \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A}$ is a Stein extension of $G_{\mathbf{C}}/K_{\mathbf{C}}$.

Proof. \mathcal{A}' is an affine space with the hyperplanes $\mathcal{H}_v = \{b_g : b_g(v, v) = 0\}$, $v \in \mathbf{R}^n$, removed. Therefore, as noted in [1], \mathcal{A}' and its connected component \mathcal{A} are Stein. \square

As we have defined \mathcal{M} in Definition 2.5, \mathcal{M} is the linear cycle space (see [7] for the definition) in the cases where D is the unique open G -orbit in $Z_{\bar{m}}$, i.e., when $m_j \neq \frac{n}{2}$ for all j . The following proposition shows that in fact \mathcal{M} is the linear cycle space for all open orbits in all $Z_{\bar{m}}$. The right-hand side of equation 2.15 is the definition of the linear cycle space.

Proposition 2.14. *Let $n \geq 4$. Suppose $m_j = \frac{n}{2}$ for some j . Let D_{\pm} be the two open G -orbits and Y_{\pm} the two closed $K_{\mathbf{C}}$ -orbits in $Z_{\bar{m}}$ as in 2.6. Then*

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}' &= \mathcal{M}'_{\pm} \equiv \{gK_{\mathbf{C}} : gY_{\pm} \subset D_{\pm}\}, \text{ and,} \\ \mathcal{M} &= \mathcal{M}_{\pm} \equiv \text{the connected component of } \mathcal{M}_{\pm} \text{ containing } eK_{\mathbf{C}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Write $Y_{\pm} = K_{\mathbf{C}}(z_{\pm}) \subset D_{\pm} = G(z_{\pm})$. The action of G on Z extends to an action of $G' \equiv GL(n; \mathbf{R})$ which is transitive on D . Since D is not connected $\text{stab}_{G'}(z_{\pm}) \subset G$. In fact (for properly chosen z_{\pm}) there is $w_0 \in O(n)$ so that $z_+ = w_0 \cdot z_-$. If $gY \subset D$ then either $gz_+ \in D_+$ or $gz_+ \in D_-$. In the first case, by the connectedness of Y_+ and the disconnectedness of D , $gY_+ \subset D_+$. In the second case $gY_+ \subset D_-$. However $gY_+ \subset D_-$ cannot happen since $gz_+ = g'w_0z_+$ for some $g' \in G$, i.e., $g^{-1}g'w_0 \notin G$. Therefore $\mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{M}'_{\pm}$.

As in the proof of Theorem 2.12, $\mathcal{M}'_{\pm} \subset \mathcal{M}'$ will follow from $\mathcal{M}'_{\max, \pm} \subset \mathcal{M}' (= \mathcal{A}')$. Thus we may assume our flag variety is $Z_{(n)}$, the Grassmannian of n planes in \mathbf{C}^{2n} . For $gK_{\mathbf{C}} \notin \mathcal{A}'$ there is a real vector v_0 so that $b_g(v_0, v_0) = 0$. One constructs z as in the proof of Theorem 2.12 above, however since $n \geq 2$ we may choose z to be in either of Y_{\pm} . Now $gY_{\pm} \not\subset D$, $gY_{\pm} \not\subset D_{\pm}$. \square

3. PARAMETERS FOR THE SPEH REPRESENTATIONS

In this section we set $G = SL(2n; \mathbf{R})$ and describe parameters for the Speh representations of G . It is slightly more convenient to first describe the parameters for certain representations of $G' = GL^+(2n; \mathbf{R})$, the group of invertible linear transformations with positive determinant. The Speh representations will be the restrictions to G .

The maximal compact subgroup of G' is $K' = SO(2n)$. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}' contains a fundamental Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}_0 + \mathfrak{a}'_0$ having blocks

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_j & \theta_j \\ -\theta_j & a_j \end{pmatrix}$$

down the diagonal. Setting

$$e_j \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \theta_1 & & & & \\ -\theta_1 & a_1 & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & a_n & \theta_n & \\ & & & -\theta_n & a_n & \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{-1}\theta_j \text{ and } f_j \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \theta_1 & & & & \\ -\theta_1 & a_1 & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & a_n & \theta_n & \\ & & & -\theta_n & a_n & \end{pmatrix} = a_j$$

the roots are

$$\Delta(\mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{a}', \mathfrak{g}') = \{\pm(e_j \pm e_k) \pm (f_j - f_k) : j \neq k\} \cup \{\pm 2e_j\}.$$

A θ -stable parabolic subgroup $Q = HU$ is defined by $\lambda_0 \equiv \sum_{j=1}^n e_j$;

$$\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{u},$$

$$\Delta(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{a}') = \{\alpha : \langle \alpha, \lambda_0 \rangle = 0\} \text{ and,}$$

$$\Delta(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{a}') = \{\alpha : \langle \alpha, \lambda_0 \rangle > 0\}.$$

In the terminology of [6], there is a family of representations $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(m\lambda_0)$, $m \in \mathbf{Z}$. We let π_m be the restrictions of the $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(m\lambda_0)$, $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, to \mathfrak{g} . Then for $m \geq -n$, π_m is an irreducible, unitarizable representation with lowest K -type E of highest weight $\mu = (m + n + 1)\lambda_0$. See, for example, [6], pages 586-8.

The Langlands parameters of the π_m may be determined as on pages 764-5 of [6]. Write $\mathfrak{a}_0 = \mathfrak{a}'_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}$. A real parabolic subgroup $P = MAN$ of G is determined by

$$A = \exp(\mathfrak{a}_0), MA = Z_G(\mathfrak{a}) \text{ and } \Sigma(\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{a}_0) = \{f_j - f_k : j < k\}.$$

Therefore, MA consists of 2 *times* 2 blocks down the diagonal and we may take

$$M = \{g \in (SL^\pm(2; \mathbf{R}))^n : \det(g) = 1\} \text{ and,} \\ M_e = (SL(2; \mathbf{R}))^n \text{ (the identity component).}$$

Let

$$\nu = \sum_{j=1}^n (n - 2j + 1) f_j \in \mathfrak{a}^*.$$

Write χ_m for the character of $(SO(2))^n = M_e \cap K$ with differential $(m + n + 1)\lambda_0$ and let δ_{M_e} be the discrete series representation of M_e with minimal $M_e \cap K$ -type χ_m . Let

$$\delta_M = \text{Ind}_{M_e}^M(\delta_{M_e}).$$

Then π_m occurs as the unique irreducible quotient of the normalized principal series representation

$$\text{Ind}_P^G(\delta_M \otimes \nu) = \{\psi : G \rightarrow W : \psi \text{ is smooth and} \\ \psi(gman) = e^{-(\nu+\rho)}(a)\delta_M(m^{-1})\psi(g), \text{ for } man \in MAN, g \in G\}.$$

In Section 4 we will use the fact that δ_{M_e} may be realized on a space of smooth sections of the homogeneous vector bundle on $M_e/M_e \cap K$ corresponding to χ_m .

4. THE SZEGÖ MAP

We begin this section with an arbitrary connected semisimple Lie group G and a parabolic subgroup $P = MAN$. We choose a Cartan involution θ , giving us a Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{s}$ of the Lie algebra of G .

Let (δ_M, W) be a representation of M and $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$. Suppose that (τ, E) is a K -type of a normalized principal series representation $\text{Ind}_P^G(\delta_M \otimes \nu)$. Let $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow G/K$ be the homogeneous vector bundle corresponding to E and $C^\infty(G/K, \mathcal{E})$ the space of smooth sections. We construct non-zero G -intertwining maps

$$(4.1) \quad S : \text{Ind}_P^G(\delta_M \otimes \nu) \rightarrow C^\infty(G/K, \mathcal{E})$$

as follows. Since $\text{Ind}_P^G(\delta_M \otimes \nu)|_K \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \in \widehat{K}} E_\mu \otimes \text{Hom}_{M \cap K}(E_\mu, W)$, E is a K -type if and only if there exists a non-zero $T \in \text{Hom}_{M \cap K}(E_\mu, W)$. Choosing such a T , the adjoint T^* gives an intertwining operator S as in (4.1) defined by

$$(4.2) \quad (S\psi)(g) = \int_K \tau(k)T^*(\psi(gk))dk.$$

This may be rewritten in terms of a kernel operator by using the Iwasawa decomposition and a standard integration formula. The Iwasawa decomposition with respect to $P = MAN$ is the smooth (unique) decomposition

$$(4.3) \quad g = \kappa(g)m(g)\exp(H(g))n(g)$$

where $\kappa(g) \in K, m(g) \in \exp(\mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{s}), H(g) \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $n(g) \in N$. The integration formula for the change of variables $k \rightarrow \kappa(g^{-1}k)$ gives

$$(4.4) \quad (S\psi)(g) = \int_K e^{(\nu-\rho)H(g^{-1}k)} \tau(\kappa(g^{-1}k))T^*(m(g^{-1}k)\psi(k))dk.$$

Therefore S is defined by integrating against a kernel:

$$\begin{aligned} s : G \times K &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_{M \cap K}(W, E) \\ s(g, k)(w) &= e^{(\nu - \rho)H(g^{-1}k)} \tau(\kappa(g^{-1}k)) T^*(m(g^{-1}k)w). \end{aligned}$$

We call S a *Szegö map* and s the *Szegö kernel*.

Remark 4.5. $s(\cdot, k)$ is a section of $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow G/K$. We will want to extend $s(\cdot, k)$ holomorphically to a domain in $G_{\mathbf{C}}/K_{\mathbf{C}}$. For this we note that E extends to a holomorphic representation of $K_{\mathbf{C}}$ defining a holomorphic homogeneous vector bundle $\mathcal{E}^{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{C}}/K_{\mathbf{C}}$. Thus, more precisely, we want to extend sections in $C^\infty(G/K, \mathcal{E})$ to holomorphic sections of $\mathcal{E}^{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{C}}/K_{\mathbf{C}}$ defined on some domain in $G_{\mathbf{C}}/K_{\mathbf{C}}$.

A useful variant of the above construction is as follows. We note that if $\delta_M = \text{Ind}_{M_e}^M(\delta_{M_e})$, as is the case for the representations in Section 3 (and nearly the case for all Langlands parameters) then the Szegö maps may be defined as follows. For $T \in \text{Hom}_{M_e \cap K}(\delta_{M_e}, E)$

$$\begin{aligned} S : \text{Ind}_{M_e AN}^G(\delta_{M_e} \otimes \nu) &\rightarrow C^\infty(G/K, \mathcal{E}) \\ (S\psi)(g) &= \int_K e^{(\nu - \rho)H(g^{-1}k)} \tau(\kappa(g^{-1}k)) T^*(m(g^{-1}k)\psi(k)) dk. \end{aligned}$$

For us this will be useful since the realization of δ_{M_e} is slightly simpler than that of δ_M .

Specialize to $G = SL(2n, \mathbf{R})$ and let π_m, δ_M, ν , etc. be as in Section 3. We assume $m \geq -n$.

Proposition 4.6. *The image of S is an irreducible subrepresentation of $C^\infty(G/K, \mathcal{E})$.*

Proof. This is a consequence of several general facts contained in [3]. First, π_m occurs as a representation in Dolbeault cohomology; π_m is infinitesimally equivalent to $H^s(G/H, \mathcal{L}_\lambda^\sharp)$. There is an intertwining map

$$\mathcal{S} : \text{Ind}_P^G(\delta_M \otimes \nu) \rightarrow H^s(G/H, \mathcal{L}_\lambda^\sharp).$$

Furthermore, there is a ‘real Penrose transform’ P from cohomology to $C^\infty(G/K, \mathcal{E})$ so that $P \cdot \mathcal{S} = S$, the Szegö map defined above. We remark that the conditions necessary here are precisely the conditions on [6], page 764. These hold exactly for $m \geq -n$, as we are assuming. Now the irreducibility of the image of S follows from the irreducibility of $H^s(G/H, \mathcal{L}_\lambda^\sharp)$. \square

Proposition 4.7. *If m is sufficiently large then for each $\psi \in \text{Ind}_P^G(\delta_M \otimes \nu)$, $S\psi$ extends to a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{E}^{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. In light of the above proof $S\psi = P(\mathcal{S}\psi)$. In [8] a ‘complex Penrose transform’

$$P^{\mathbf{C}} : H^s(G/H, \mathcal{L}_\lambda^\sharp) \rightarrow \text{Hol}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E}^{\mathbf{C}})$$

is studied. The construction of $P^{\mathbf{C}}$ is in terms of the linear cycle space \mathcal{M} . (We remark that G/H is D or D_{\pm} .) If $r : \text{Hol}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E}^{\mathbf{C}}) \rightarrow C^\infty(G/K, \mathcal{E})$ is the restriction of holomorphic sections to G/K then $r \cdot P^{\mathbf{C}} = P$. In particular $S\psi = r(P^{\mathbf{C}}\mathcal{S}\psi)$, the restriction of the holomorphic section $P^{\mathbf{C}}\mathcal{S}\psi$ from \mathcal{M} to G/K . \square

The following section strengthens this proposition considerably. The condition that m be sufficiently large is replaced by $m \geq -n$. More importantly, it is seen that the Szegö kernel extends holomorphically to $\widehat{X}_0 (= \mathcal{M})$. In fact, the Szegö kernel is singular on the boundary of \widehat{X}_0 .

5. HOLOMORPHIC EXTENSION OF THE SZEGÖ KERNEL

As a first step in the proof of Theorem 5.9 we will give an explicit formula for the Szegö kernel for discrete series representations of $G_1 = SL(2; \mathbf{R})$. We take the upper triangular parabolic subgroup $P_1 = M_1 A_1 N_1$ with

$$M_1 = \{\pm I\}, A_1 = \left\{ \exp \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & -a \end{pmatrix} \right\} \text{ and } N_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

The maximal compact subgroup is

$$K_1 = \left\{ k_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & \sin(\theta) \\ -\sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Let $\chi_d(k_\theta) = e^{id\theta}$. We consider the discrete series representation of G_1 with minimal K_1 -type χ_d , for $d \geq 2, d \in \mathbf{Z}$. Let sgn be the sign representation of M_1 , α_1 the root of \mathfrak{a}_1 in \mathfrak{n}_1 and $\epsilon_1 = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1$. Then $\text{Ind}_{P_1}^{G_1}(\text{sgn}^d \otimes (-d+1)\epsilon_1)$ contains the discrete series representation as a quotient and

$$S_1 : \text{Ind}_{P_1}^{G_1}(\text{sgn}^d \otimes (-d+1)\epsilon_1) \rightarrow C^\infty(G_1/K_1, \chi_d)$$

is given by

$$(5.1) \quad (S_1 f)(g) = \int_{SO(2)} e^{-d\epsilon_1 H(g^{-1}k)} \chi_d(\kappa(g^{-1}k)) f(k) dk.$$

Letting \widehat{X}_0^1 be the domain \widehat{X}_0 of Def. 2.7 for $G = G_1 = SL(2; \mathbf{R})$ we have the following fact.

Lemma 5.2. *The Szegő kernel of S_1 in formula (5.1) extends holomorphically in g to \widehat{X}_0^1 .*

Proof. Consider the standard representation of G_1 on \mathbf{C}^2 . Write

$$v_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ the highest weight vector and}$$

$$v_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{pmatrix}, \text{ a vector of } SO(2) \text{ weight } e^{i\theta}.$$

Therefore, for the $K_{1, \mathbf{C}}$ -invariant symmetric form $(\ , \)$ on \mathbf{C}^2

$$e^{d\epsilon_1 H(g^{-1}k)} \chi_d(\kappa(g^{-1}k)) = (g^{-1}k v_+, v_0)^d.$$

Note that this is a holomorphic function of g . Furthermore,

$$e^{2d\epsilon_1 H(g^{-1}k)} = (g^{-1}k v_+, g^{-1}k v_+)^d,$$

a holomorphic function of g . Thus, the Szegő kernel

$$s_1(g, k) = \left(\frac{(g^{-1}k v_+, v_0)}{(g^{-1}k v_+, g^{-1}k v_+)} \right)^d$$

extends holomorphically on any set where the denominator is non-zero. For $g \in G_{1, \mathbf{C}}$ this denominator is non-zero for each $k \in K_1$ if and only if $gK_{1, \mathbf{C}} \in \widehat{X}_0^1$, by Theorem 2.11. \square

We now return to $G = SL(2n; \mathbf{R})$ and let $P = MAN$, $\delta_M, \delta_{M_e}, \nu, \pi_m$ and E as in Section 3. Thus, E is the minimal K -type of π_m and has highest weight $(m+n+1)\lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{k}^*$. We identify E with the K -subrepresentation of $F = \text{Sym}^{m+n+1}(\wedge^n \mathbf{C}^{2n})$ generated by

$$\phi = (v_+)^{m+n+1}, v_+ = (e_1 + ie_2) \wedge \cdots \wedge (e_{2n-1} + ie_{2n}),$$

($\{e_j\}$ the standard basis of \mathbf{C}^{2n}). Thus, it makes sense to write

$$(5.3) \quad s(g, k)(w) = g^{-1}(e^{(\nu-\rho)H(g^{-1}k)} g \kappa(g^{-1}k) T^*(m(g^{-1}k)w))$$

for $w \in W, g \in G$ and $k \in K$.

Since $M_e = (SL(2; \mathbf{R}))^n$, the discrete series representations δ_{M_e} may be realized as smooth sections on $M_e/M_e \cap K$:

$$W \subset \{w : M_e \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \cdot \phi \mid w(mk) = k^{-1}w(m), \text{ for } k \in M_e \cap K\}.$$

For the Szegő kernel we must specify an $M_e \cap K$ homomorphism $T^* : W \rightarrow E$. Set

$$T^*(w) = w(e) \in \mathbf{C} \cdot \phi \subset E.$$

This allows the following form of the Szegő kernel.

Lemma 5.4. For $g \in G, k \in K$ and $w \in W$,

$$s(g, k)(w) = e^{(\nu-\rho)H(g^{-1}k)} g^{-1} k n (g^{-1}k)^{-1} m (g^{-1}k)^{-1} w (m (g^{-1}k)^{-1}).$$

Proof. It follows from (4.3) that

$$\kappa(g^{-1}k) = g^{-1} k n (g^{-1}k)^{-1} m (g^{-1}k)^{-1} \exp(H(g^{-1}k)).$$

So by (5.3)

$$\begin{aligned} s(g, k)(w) &= e^{(\nu-\rho)H(g^{-1}k)} g^{-1} k n (g^{-1}k)^{-1} m (g^{-1}k)^{-1} e^{-H(g^{-1}k)} (m (g^{-1}k) \cdot w)(e) \\ &= e^{(\nu-\rho)H(g^{-1}k)} g^{-1} k n (g^{-1}k)^{-1} m (g^{-1}k)^{-1} w (m (g^{-1}k)^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\exp(-H(g^{-1}k))\phi = e^{-(m+n+1)(\sum_{j=1}^n f_j)(H(g^{-1}k))} \cdot \phi = \phi$, as $\sum f_j = 0$ on $\mathfrak{sl}(2n; \mathbf{R})$. \square

Lemma 5.5. As a function of $gK_{\mathbf{C}}$, $m(g^{-1}k)^{-1}w(m(g^{-1}k)^{-1})$ extends holomorphically on \widehat{X}_0 , for each $k \in K$ and $w \in W$.

Proof. By (4.3) $m(k'g') = m(g')$ for all $g' \in G$ and $k' \in K$. Therefore $m(g^{-1}k)^{-1}w(m(g^{-1}k)^{-1})$ is well defined on G/K . Since $mw(m)$ is a function on $M_0/M_0 \cap K_0$, we need to check two things:

- (a) If $gK_{\mathbf{C}} \in \widehat{X}_0$ then $m(g^{-1}k)^{-1} \in (\widehat{X}_0^1)^n$ and,
- (b) $gK_{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow m(g^{-1}k)^{-1}(M_{\mathbf{C}} \cap K_{\mathbf{C}})$ is a holomorphic map from $G_{\mathbf{C}}/K_{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow M_{\mathbf{C}}/M_{\mathbf{C}} \cap K_{\mathbf{C}}$.

Consider $gK_{\mathbf{C}} \in \widehat{X}_0$. By Theorem 2.11, \widehat{X}_0 is independent of the flag variety Z . We compare \widehat{X}_0 for the flag varieties $Z = G_{\mathbf{C}}/P_{\mathbf{C}}$ and $G_{\mathbf{C}}/B_{\mathbf{C}}$, $B_{\mathbf{C}}$ the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices. Thus $g^{-1}k \in K_{\mathbf{C}}B_{\mathbf{C}}$ for all $k \in K$.

To show (a) it suffices to show $m(g^{-1}k)k_1 \in (M_{\mathbf{C}} \cap K_{\mathbf{C}})(B_{\mathbf{C}} \cap M_{\mathbf{C}})$, for all $k_1 \in M \cap K$. Since k_1 normalizes $K_{\mathbf{C}}, M_{\mathbf{C}}, A_{\mathbf{C}}$ and $N_{\mathbf{C}}$, $m(g^{-1}k)k_1 = k_1 m(k_1^{-1}g^{-1}kk_1)$. It is clear that $g^{-1}k \in K_{\mathbf{C}}P_{\mathbf{C}}$ exactly when $k_1^{-1}g^{-1}kk_1 \in K_{\mathbf{C}}P_{\mathbf{C}}$, therefore it is enough to show that for $x \in K_{\mathbf{C}}P_{\mathbf{C}}$, $m(x) \in (M_{\mathbf{C}} \cap K_{\mathbf{C}})(B_{\mathbf{C}} \cap M_{\mathbf{C}})$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} x &= k' \begin{pmatrix} m_1(x) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & m_n(x) \end{pmatrix} a' n' \in K_{\mathbf{C}} M_{\mathbf{C}} A_{\mathbf{C}} N_{\mathbf{C}} \\ &= k'' a'' n'' \in K_{\mathbf{C}} \widetilde{A}_{\mathbf{C}} \widetilde{N}_{\mathbf{C}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $B_{\mathbf{C}} = \widetilde{A}_{\mathbf{C}} \widetilde{N}_{\mathbf{C}}$. Since $\widetilde{N}_{\mathbf{C}} = (M_{\mathbf{C}} \cap \widetilde{N}_{\mathbf{C}}) N_{\mathbf{C}}$ we may write

$$k'' a'' n'' = k''' \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & b_n \end{pmatrix} a''' n''' \in K_{\mathbf{C}} (B_{\mathbf{C}} \cap M_{\mathbf{C}}) A_{\mathbf{C}} N_{\mathbf{C}}.$$

Since the $N_{\mathbf{C}}$ part is unique (see below) $n' = n''$ and

$$k'''^{-1} k' = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 b_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & m_n b_n \end{pmatrix} a'^{-1} a''' \in M_{\mathbf{C}} A_{\mathbf{C}}.$$

In particular $k'''^{-1} k' \in M \cap K$ and $m_j(x) \in (K_{\mathbf{C}} \cap M_{\mathbf{C}})(B_{\mathbf{C}} \cap M_{\mathbf{C}})$.

Write $L_{\mathbf{C}} = M_{\mathbf{C}} A_{\mathbf{C}}$, so $P_{\mathbf{C}} = L_{\mathbf{C}} N_{\mathbf{C}}$. The expression $g = \kappa(g) \ell(g) n(g) \in K_{\mathbf{C}} L_{\mathbf{C}} N_{\mathbf{C}}$ is not unique. However, since $K_{\mathbf{C}} \cap L_{\mathbf{C}} N_{\mathbf{C}} = K_{\mathbf{C}} \cap L_{\mathbf{C}}$, $n(g)$ is unique. Next we show that $n(g^{-1})$ and $\ell(g^{-1}) \ell(g^{-1})$ are

holomorphic on \widehat{X}_0 . For this we write the matrices as an array of 2×2 blocks. The notation is:

$$\begin{aligned} n_{ij} & \text{ is the } 2 \times 2 \text{ block of } n(g^{-1}) \text{ in the } ij^{\text{th}} \text{ position,} \\ \ell_i & \text{ is the } 2 \times 2 \text{ block of } \ell(g^{-1}) \text{ in the } i^{\text{th}} \text{ diagonal position,} \\ b_{ij} & \text{ is the } 2 \times 2 \text{ block of } g^{t-1}g^{-1} \text{ in the } ij^{\text{th}} \text{ position.} \end{aligned}$$

Then matrix multiplication gives the following recursive formulas.

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} n_{jk} &= (\ell_j^t \ell_j)^{-1} (b_{jk} - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} n_{ij}^t \ell_i^t \ell_i n_{ik}), \quad (j < k) \\ \ell_k^t \ell_k &= b_{kk} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_{ik}^t \ell_i^t \ell_i n_{ik}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\ell_k^t \ell_k$ and n_{jk} are holomorphic in $\ell_i^t \ell_i$ and n_{im} with $i < k$ and $m \leq k$. Since $\ell_1^t \ell_1 = b_{11}$ is holomorphic in $gK_{\mathbf{C}}$ the formulas (5.6) show that each n_{jk} and $\ell_k^t \ell_k$ is holomorphic, so also $n(g^{-1})$ and $\ell(g^{-1})^t \ell(g^{-1})$.

The identification $g \rightarrow g^{t-1}g^{-1}$ of $G_{\mathbf{C}}/K_{\mathbf{C}}$ with the space of symmetric $n \times n$ matrices of determinant one is biholomorphic, and similarly for $L_{\mathbf{C}}/K_{\mathbf{C}} \cap L_{\mathbf{C}}$. Therefore $gK_{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow \ell(g^{-1})^{-1}L_{\mathbf{C}} \cap K_{\mathbf{C}}$ is a well-defined holomorphic map on \widehat{X}_0 . Since $M_{\mathbf{C}} \cap A_{\mathbf{C}} \subset L_{\mathbf{C}} \cap K_{\mathbf{C}} = M_{\mathbf{C}} \cap K_{\mathbf{C}}$, $M_{\mathbf{C}} \cap (L_{\mathbf{C}} \cap K_{\mathbf{C}})A_{\mathbf{C}} = M_{\mathbf{C}} \cap K_{\mathbf{C}}$ and so $L_{\mathbf{C}}/(L_{\mathbf{C}} \cap K_{\mathbf{C}})A_{\mathbf{C}} \simeq M_{\mathbf{C}}/M_{\mathbf{C}} \cap K_{\mathbf{C}}$. Thus the quotient map $\pi : L_{\mathbf{C}}/L_{\mathbf{C}} \cap K_{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow M_{\mathbf{C}}/M_{\mathbf{C}} \cap K_{\mathbf{C}}$ given by $\pi(maL_{\mathbf{C}} \cap K_{\mathbf{C}}) = mM_{\mathbf{C}} \cap K_{\mathbf{C}}$ is holomorphic. In particular, $gK_{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow m(g^{-1})^{-1}M_{\mathbf{C}} \cap K_{\mathbf{C}}$ is a well-defined and holomorphic map $\widehat{X}_0 \rightarrow M_{\mathbf{C}}/K_{\mathbf{C}} \cap M_{\mathbf{C}}$, for all $k \in K$.

We may now conclude that $m(g^{-1}k)^{-1}w(m(g^{-1}k)^{-1})$ is holomorphic on \widehat{X}_0 . □

From the above proof it follows that both $\ell(g^{-1})^t \ell(g^{-1})$ and $m(g^{-1})^t m(g^{-1})$ are holomorphic. However $\ell(g^{-1})^t \ell(g^{-1}) = \exp(2H(g^{-1}))m(g^{-1})^t m(g^{-1})$, therefore $gK_{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow \exp(2H(g^{-1}))$ is a holomorphic map $\widehat{X}_0 \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{C}}$. We use this fact in the following Lemma.

We now consider the scalar part of the kernel which may be written in terms of principal minors. Therefore we let $\Delta_{\ell}(B)$ denote the ℓ^{th} principal minor of the complex matrix B .

Lemma 5.7. *For each $k \in K$ and $g \in G_{\mathbf{C}}$*

$$e^{(\nu-\rho)H(g^{-1}k)} = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\Delta_{2j}((g^{-1}k)^t (g^{-1}k))^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

is a meromorphic function on $G_{\mathbf{C}}/K_{\mathbf{C}}$.

Proof. Set $\Lambda_{\ell} = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} f_j$ and compute $e^{\Lambda_{\ell}(H(g^{-1}k))}$. Consider the $G_{\mathbf{C}}$ representation $\wedge^{2\ell} \mathbf{C}^{2n}$, $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then $v_{+,\ell} = e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_{2\ell}$ is a highest \mathfrak{a} -weight vector of weight Λ_{ℓ} which is fixed by each $m \in (SL(2; \mathbf{R}))^n$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} e^{(2\Lambda_{\ell})H(g)} &= (gv_{+,\ell}, gv_{+,\ell}) \\ &= \det((ge_i, ge_j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2\ell}) \\ &= \Delta_{2\ell}(g^t g). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\Delta_{2j}((g^{-1}k)^t (g^{-1}k))$ has holomorphic square root by the comment preceding the Lemma. The lemma follows since $\nu - \rho = -\sum_{j=1}^n (n - 2j + 1)f_j = -\sum_{\ell=1}^n \Lambda_{\ell} + (n+1)\sum_{j=1}^n f_j = -\sum_{\ell=1}^n \Lambda_{\ell}$ (as $\sum_{j=1}^n f_j = 0$). □

Corollary 5.8. *The function $gK \rightarrow e^{(\nu-\rho)H(g^{-1}k)}$ is holomorphic on \widehat{X}_0 .*

Proof. This follows from the definition of \widehat{X}_0 applied to the flag variety $Z = G_{\mathbf{C}}/P_{\mathbf{C}}$ as follows. For the flag $x_0 = (z_1 \subset z_2 \subset \cdots \subset z_{n-1})$, $z_\ell = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_{2\ell}\}$, $X_0 = G \cdot x_0$. Now $gK_{\mathbf{C}} \in \widehat{X}_0'$ if and only if $g^{-1}kx_0 \in \mathcal{O}$ for all $k \in K$. Thus $b_{k^{-1}g}$ is nondegenerate on all z_ℓ , i.e., $\Delta_{2\ell}((g^{-1}k)^t(g^{-1}k)) \neq 0$, for all $k \in K$ and all $\ell = 1, \dots, n$. \square

We have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 5.9. *The Szegő kernel extends holomorphically to \widehat{X}_0 . Thus, the Speh representations occur as a space of holomorphic sections of the restriction of $\mathcal{E}^{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{C}}/K_{\mathbf{C}}$ to \widehat{X}_0 .*

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Akhiezer and S. Gindikin, *On the Stein extensions of real symmetric spaces*, Math. Ann. **286** (1990), pp. 1-12.
- [2] L. Barchini, S. Gindikin and H.-W. Wong, *The geometry of flag manifolds and holomorphic extensions of Szegő kernels for $U(p, q)$* , Pacific Journal of Math. **179** (1997), pp. 201–220.
- [3] L. Barchini, *Szegő mappings, harmonic forms and Dolbeault cohomology*, J. of Functional Analysis **118** (1993), pp. 351–406.
- [4] J. Bernstein and A. Reznikov, *Analytic continuation of representations and estimates of automorphic forms*, Ann. of Math. (2) **150** (1999), no. 1, pp. 329–352.
- [5] A. Huckleberry and A. Simon, *On cycle spaces of flag domains of $SL_n(\mathbf{R})$* , preprint, 2000.
- [6] A. W. Knap and D. A. Vogan, *Cohomological Induction and Unitary Representations*, Princeton University press, Princeton, N. J., 1995.
- [7] R.O. Wells and J. A. Wolf, *Poincare series and automorphic cohomology on flag domains*, Ann. of Math. **105** (1977), pp. 397–448.
- [8] J. A. Wolf and R. Zierau, *Holomorphic double fibration transforms* in The Mathematical Legacy of Harish-Chandra, PSPM vol. 68, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000, pp. 527–551.

OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA 74078
E-mail address: `leticia@math.okstate.edu`

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT, NEW YORK, NY 10027
E-mail address: `cleslie@cs.columbia.edu`

OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA 74078
E-mail address: `zierau@math.okstate.edu`