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The main results of this talk are joint work with Han Peters (an ex-Michiganite), now at University of Amsterdam.

Some of the ideas for the proofs I will talk about I got while walking around Ann Arbor while attending an earlier RTG workshop.

I can in fact pinpoint the precise locations on my walk from Lamp Post Inn to the department.

Then both Han and I were at another RTG a year later, we got some more ideas.

## Proper maps

For bounded $U \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $V \subset \mathbb{C}^{N}$ we wish to study holomorphic maps $F: U \rightarrow V$.

## Proper maps

For bounded $U \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $V \subset \mathbb{C}^{N}$ we wish to study holomorphic maps $F: U \rightarrow V$.
But not just any maps:
A continuous map $F: U \rightarrow V$ is proper if $F^{-1}(K) \subset \subset U$ whenever $K \subset \subset V$.
If $F$ extends continuously to the boundary, i.e. $F: \bar{U} \rightarrow \bar{V}$, then $F$ is proper if and only if $F(\partial U) \subset \partial V$.

If $F$ is holomorphic and proper, then $F$ is finite-to-one.

## Proper maps of balls

Let

$$
\mathbb{B}_{n}=\text { "unit ball in } \mathbb{C}^{n "}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}:\|z\|<1\right\} .
$$

## Natural question

For two integers $n$ and $N$, classify the proper holomorphic maps $F: \mathbb{B}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{N}$.


## Exercises

Here are a few exercises:

## Exercise

Let $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the unit disc. If $F: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is a proper holomorphic map, then $F$ is a finite Blaschke product. That is, $F(z)=e^{i \theta} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{z-a_{j}}{1-\overline{j_{j}^{2}}}$ for some $a_{j} \in \mathbb{D}$.

## Exercise

If $n>N$, no proper holomorphic map $F: \mathbb{B}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{N}$ exists.

## Equidimensional case $(n=N)$

When $n=1, z \mapsto z^{d}$ is a proper map for every $d \in \mathbb{N}$.
Theorem (Alexander '77 (complicated history...))
If $F: \mathbb{B}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{n}(n \geq 2)$ is a proper holomorphic map then $F \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{B}_{n}\right)$.

An automorphism of the ball is a linear fractional transformation. That is, $F$ can be written as

$$
F(z)=U \frac{w-L_{w} z}{1-\langle z, w\rangle}
$$

for some $w \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$, a unitary map $U$, and a linear $\operatorname{map} L_{w}$. Note that $F$ is degree 1.

## Maps in low dimensions

## Theorem (Faran '82)

If $F: \mathbb{B}_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{3}$ is a proper map that is $C^{3}$ up to the boundary, then $F$ is spherically equivalent to one of
$\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, 0\right)$
$\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(z_{1}, z_{1} z_{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)$
(linear embedding)
(Whitney map)
$\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(z_{1}^{2}, \sqrt{2} z_{1} z_{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right) \quad$ (deg 2 homogeneous map)
$\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(z_{1}^{3}, \sqrt{3} z_{1} z_{2}, z_{2}^{3}\right) \quad$ (Faran map)
$F$ and $G$ are spherically equivalent when there exist automorphisms $\chi \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{B}_{n}\right)$ and $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{B}_{N}\right)$ such that $F=\tau \circ G \circ \chi$

All (sufficiently smooth) proper maps are rational

Theorem (Forstnerič '89)
Let $N \geq n \geq 2$. If $F: \mathbb{B}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{N}$ is a proper holomorphic map that is smooth ( $C^{\infty}$ ) up to the boundary, then $F$ is rational.
Furthermore,

$$
\operatorname{deg} F \leq C(n, N)
$$

Write a rational map $F=f / g$ in lowest terms. Then $\operatorname{deg} F=\max \{\operatorname{deg} f, \operatorname{deg} g\}$.

## All (sufficiently smooth) proper maps are

 rationalTheorem (Forstnerič '89)
Let $N \geq n \geq 2$. If $F: \mathbb{B}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{N}$ is a proper holomorphic map that is smooth ( $C^{\infty}$ ) up to the boundary, then $F$ is rational.
Furthermore,

$$
\operatorname{deg} F \leq C(n, N)
$$

Write a rational map $F=f / g$ in lowest terms. Then $\operatorname{deg} F=\max \{\operatorname{deg} f, \operatorname{deg} g\}$.
Best known bound is:

$$
\operatorname{deg} F \leq \frac{N(N-1)}{2(2 n-3)}
$$

( $n=2$ by Meylan '06, and $n \geq 3$ by D'Angelo-L. '09).

## Degree bounds conjecture

## Conjecture (D'Angelo)

If $F: \mathbb{B}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{N}, n \geq 2$, is a rational proper map then

$$
\operatorname{deg} F \leq \begin{cases}2 N-3 & \text { if } n=2 \\ \frac{N-1}{n-1} & \text { if } n \geq 3\end{cases}
$$

The bound is sharp if true: there exist maps that give equality above.

## Degree bounds conjecture

## Conjecture (D'Angelo)

If $F: \mathbb{B}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{N}, n \geq 2$, is a rational proper map then

$$
\operatorname{deg} F \leq \begin{cases}2 N-3 & \text { if } n=2 \\ \frac{N-1}{n-1} & \text { if } n \geq 3\end{cases}
$$

The bound is sharp if true: there exist maps that give equality above.

Getting the sharp bounds for all rational maps is hard.
Known for certain smaller classes, e.g. Huang-ji-Xu '06 show the sharp bound for maps of "geometric rank 1 " for $n \geq 3$.
Similarly true for $n \geq 3$ for polynomial maps constructed by "partial tensoring" (D'Angelo '88).
In this talk we will consider monomial maps.

## Monomial maps

A map is monomial if every component is a monomial, e.g. $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(z_{1}, z_{1} z_{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)$.

Theorem (D'Angelo-Kos-Riehl '03)
If $F: \mathbb{B}_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{N}$ is a monomial proper map then

$$
\operatorname{deg} F \leq 2 N-3
$$

Theorem (L.-Peters '11 and '12?)
If $F: \mathbb{B}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{N}, n \geq 3$, is a monomial proper map then

$$
\operatorname{deg} F \leq \frac{N-1}{n-1}
$$

## Homotopic to monomials?

All known examples of rational proper maps of balls are homotopic to a monomial map in the following sense:
$F: \mathbb{B}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{N}$ and $G: \mathbb{B}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{N}$ are homotopic if there exist a continuous map

$$
H: \mathbb{B}_{n} \times[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{N}
$$

such that $H(z, 0)=F(z), H(z, 1)=G(z)$, and $z \mapsto H(z, t)$ is a rational proper map of balls.
If all maps are homotopic in this way, then we should be able to apply the monomial degree bounds. It would be nice to resolve this question.

## Homotopic to monomials?

All known examples of rational proper maps of balls are homotopic to a monomial map in the following sense:
$F: \mathbb{B}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{N}$ and $G: \mathbb{B}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{N}$ are homotopic if there exist a continuous map

$$
H: \mathbb{B}_{n} \times[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{N}
$$

such that $H(z, 0)=F(z), H(z, 1)=G(z)$, and $z \mapsto H(z, t)$ is a rational proper map of balls.
If all maps are homotopic in this way, then we should be able to apply the monomial degree bounds. It would be nice to resolve this question.

Note: D'Angelo showed that any two maps are homotopic if you embed the problem in a sufficiently high dimension.

## The real polynomials

Let $f: \mathbb{B}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{N}$ be a monomial proper map, that is:
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f=\left(c_{\alpha_{1}} z^{\alpha_{1}}, c_{\alpha_{2}} z^{\alpha_{2}}, \ldots, c_{\alpha_{N}} z^{\alpha_{N}}\right)
$$
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## The real polynomials

Let $f: \mathbb{B}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{N}$ be a monomial proper map, that is:

$$
f=\left(c_{\alpha_{1}} z^{\alpha_{1}}, c_{\alpha_{2}} z^{\alpha_{2}}, \ldots, c_{\alpha_{N}} z^{\alpha_{N}}\right)
$$

$f$ takes sphere to sphere so

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left|c_{\alpha_{j}} z^{\alpha_{j}}\right|^{2}=1 \quad \text { on } \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}=1
$$

Replace $\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}$ with $x_{j}$ :

$$
p(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left|c_{\alpha_{j}}\right|^{2} x^{\alpha_{j}}=1 \quad \text { on } \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}=1
$$

So consider real polynomials $p(x)$ in $n$ variables with nonnegative coefficients such that $p(x)=1$ on $x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}=1$. $N$ is the number of nonzero coefficients.

## The theorem (again)

Theorem (D'Angelo-Kos-Riehl '03, L.-Peters '11 and '12) Let $p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), n \geq 2$, be a polynomial with nonnegative coefficients such that $p=1$ whenever $x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}=1$. If $d$ is the degree of $p$ and $N$ is the number of coefficients of $p$, then

$$
d \leq \begin{cases}2 N-3 & \text { if } n=2 \\ \frac{N-1}{n-1} & \text { if } n \geq 3 .\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, the inequality is sharp.

## Examples

Here's a way to construct examples (e.g. with $n=3$ ): We know that $x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}=1$. So start:
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x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}
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Here's a way to construct examples (e.g. with $n=3$ ): We know that $x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}=1$. So start:

$$
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## Examples

Here's a way to construct examples (e.g. with $n=3$ ): We know that $x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}=1$. So start:

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3} \\
x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right)=x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{1} x_{3}+x_{2} x_{3}+x_{3}^{2} \\
x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{1} x_{3}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right)+x_{2} x_{3}+x_{3}^{2}= \\
=x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{2} x_{3}+x_{3}^{2}+x_{1}^{2} x_{3}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}+x_{1} x_{3}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

We'll call such polynomials generalized Whitney polynomials.

## Exercise

For those that are bored (and haven't seen this before)

## Exercise

Easy: Show that the polynomial corresponding to the Faran $\operatorname{map} p\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1}^{3}+3 x_{1} x_{2}+x_{2}^{3}$ is not generalized Whitney. Harder: Show how you can obtain this polynomial if you also allow dividing $\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)$.

## The quotient

If $p(x)=1$ whenever $x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}=1$, then let

$$
q(x)=\frac{p(x)-1}{x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}-1}
$$

For example: if $p\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1}^{3}+3 x_{1} x_{2}+x_{2}^{3}$ then

$$
q(x)=\frac{x_{1}^{3}+3 x_{1} x_{2}+x_{2}^{3}-1}{x_{1}+x_{2}-1}=x_{1}^{2}-x_{1} x_{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{1}+x_{2}+1
$$

## Newton diagram $(n=2)$

Now take $q(x)$ and write down its Newton diagram also marking positive and negative coefficients. For example,

$$
\frac{x_{1}^{3}+3 x_{1} x_{2}+x_{2}^{3}-1}{x_{1}+x_{2}-1}=+x_{1}^{2}-x_{1} x_{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{1}+x_{2}+1 .
$$



## Sinks



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x_{1}+x_{2}-1\right)\left(P x_{1}+P x_{2}+N x_{1} x_{2}\right)= \\
& \quad=(P+P-N) x_{1} x_{2}+P x_{1}^{2}+N x_{1}^{2} x_{2}+P x_{2}^{2}+N x_{1} x_{2}^{2}-P x_{1}-P x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the only way that $x_{1} x_{2}$ is formed in $p-1$ so there must be $x_{1} x_{2}$ term in $p-1$. Call this a sink. If a negative term is forced, we say it is a source.

## All sinks sources

So here they all are (i for sink, o for source):


So in $p-1$, there are positive terms $x_{1}^{3}, x_{1} x_{2}$, and $x_{2}^{3}$, and there is a negative term 1 .
Remember $p=x_{1}^{3}+3 x_{1} x_{2}+x_{2}^{3}$

## Now do it for a complicated diagram



And obtain $d \leq 2 N-3$, where $d$ is the "size" of the diagram, $N$ is the number of sinks, and there should be at most one source. (Here $d=5, N=5$, so $5 \leq 2(5)-3=7$ )

## In higher dimensions

We get higher dimensional newton diagrams. For $n=3$ we get 3 dimensional diagrams.


This is the diagram for

$$
p\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=x_{1}^{3}+3 x_{1}\left(x_{2}+x_{3}\right)+\left(x_{2}+x_{3}\right)^{3}
$$

which has 7 terms.

## Throw away the insides

1) Consider the diagram as a solid and look at its faces.
2) Now apply the

2-dimensional result to each face.
3) Obtain:

$$
d \leq \frac{N-1}{2}
$$



## Throw away the insides

1) Consider the diagram as a solid and look at its faces.
2) Now apply the

2-dimensional result to each face.
3) Obtain:

$$
d \leq \frac{N-1}{2}
$$

OK this is possible for "nice" diagrams, but not easy for "ugly" diagrams.


## So 1) fill



## 2) cut



## 3) Induction!

## If it were only so easy

There are of course some technicalities.

1) You have to allow sources to appear.
2) You have to handle "inside" edges of two dimensional diagrams.
3) The top faces are slightly different.

Now finally obtain:

$$
d \leq \frac{N-1}{2}
$$

## 4 dimensions are hard to draw

In 4 dimensions we cannot fill, but ...

## 4 dimensions are hard to draw

In 4 dimensions we cannot fill, but ...
We can prove the estimate for $n \geq 4$ by induction starting with
$n=3$.
What we do is "view" the diagram along an edge. Here is an example from 3 to 2 dimensions (I can't draw 4 dimensions)
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Certain sinks are "hidden" as some faces are hidden. Apply the 3 dimensional result and at least $d-1$ sinks are hidden.
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Do induction and obtain for all $n \geq 4$ :
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## 4 dimensions

The "view" of a 4 dimensional diagram is a 3 dimensional diagram.

Certain sinks are "hidden" as some faces are hidden. Apply the 3 dimensional result and at least $d-1$ sinks are hidden.

Do induction and obtain for all $n \geq 4$ :

$$
d \leq \frac{N-1}{n-1}
$$

or

$$
N \geq d(n-1)+1
$$

It is not possible to start at $n=2$ to get the sharp bound. You must use $n=3$ (the hardest case).

## Classification of sharp polynomials $(n \geq 4)$

When counting the hidden sinks, unless the diagram corresponds to a generalized Whitney polynomial we hide too many sinks!

## Classification of sharp polynomials $(n \geq 4)$

When counting the hidden sinks, unless the diagram corresponds to a generalized Whitney polynomial we hide too many sinks!

So if we obtain equality in the bound, that is $N=d(n-1)+1$, the polynomial is a generalized Whitney polynomial.

Recall: a generalized Whitney polynomial is obtained by multiplication using $x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}$, e.g.

$$
x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}\right)\right)
$$

## Sharp polynomials in $n=3$

For $n=3$ there are sharp generalized Whitney polynomials. e.g.

$$
x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right)\right)
$$

has 7 terms (and $\frac{N-1}{2}=\frac{7-1}{2}=3=d$ )
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But, the polynomial

$$
p\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=x_{1}^{3}+3 x_{1}\left(x_{2}+x_{3}\right)+\left(x_{2}+x_{3}\right)^{3},
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## Sharp polynomials in $n=3$

For $n=3$ there are sharp generalized Whitney polynomials. e.g.

$$
x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right)\right)
$$

has 7 terms (and $\frac{N-1}{2}=\frac{7-1}{2}=3=d$ )
But, the polynomial

$$
p\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=x_{1}^{3}+3 x_{1}\left(x_{2}+x_{3}\right)+\left(x_{2}+x_{3}\right)^{3},
$$

is not Whitney and also has 7 terms.
We don't know if other non-Whitney sharp examples exist, if you exclude ones formed using $p$ and $x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}$ such as:

$$
x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\left(x_{1}^{3}+3 x_{1}\left(x_{2}+x_{3}\right)+\left(x_{2}+x_{3}\right)^{3}\right)
$$

## Sharp polynomials in $n=2$

When $n=2$, no sharp polynomial of degree 3 or greater is Whitney. Here are all the sharp polynomials up to degree 17. (Lichtblau,L. '10)

| $d$ | Sharp polynomials, $p(x, y)=1$ when $x+y=1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $x+y$ |
| 3 | $x^{3}+3 x y+y^{3}$ |
| 5 | $x^{5}+5 x^{3} y+5 x y^{2}+y^{5}$ |
| 7 | $x^{7}+7 x^{3} y+14 x^{2} y^{3}+7 x y^{5}+y^{7}$ |
|  | $x^{7}+7 x^{3} y+7 x^{3} y^{3}+7 x y^{3}+y^{7}$ |
|  | $x^{7}+\frac{7}{2} x^{5} y+\frac{7}{2} x y+\frac{7}{2} x y^{5}+y^{7}$ |
| 9 | $x^{9}+9 x^{7} y+27 x^{5} y^{2}+30 x^{3} y^{3}+9 x y^{4}+y^{9}$ |
| 11 | $x^{11}+11 x^{9} y+44 x^{7} y^{2}+77 x^{5} y^{3}+55 x^{3} y^{4}+11 x y^{5}+y^{11}$ |
|  | $x^{11}+11 x^{5} y+11 x^{5} y^{5}+55 x^{4} y^{3}+55 x^{3} y^{5}+11 x y^{5}+y^{11}$ |
| 13 | $x^{13}+13 x^{11} y+65 x^{9} y^{2}+156 x^{7} y^{3}+182 x^{5} y^{4}+91 x^{3} y^{5}+13 x y^{6}+y^{13}$ |
|  | $x^{13}+13 x^{11} y+65 x^{9} y^{2}+\frac{221}{2} x^{7} y^{3}+\frac{92}{2} x^{3} y^{3}+\frac{91}{2} x^{3} y^{7}+13 x y^{6}+y^{13}$ |
|  | $x^{13}+\frac{234}{25} x^{11} y+\frac{143}{5} x^{8} y^{2}+\frac{143}{5} x^{7} y^{4}+\frac{91}{25} x y+\frac{143}{25} x y^{6}+\frac{91}{25} x y^{11}+y^{13}$ |
|  | $x^{13}+\frac{234}{25} x^{11} y+\frac{143}{5} x^{9} y^{2}+\frac{143}{5} x^{7} y^{3}+\frac{91}{25} x y+\frac{143}{25} x y^{6}+\frac{91}{25} x y^{11}+y^{13}$ |
| 15 | $x^{15}+15 x^{13} y+90 x^{11} y^{2}+275 x^{9} y^{3}+450 x^{7} y^{4}+378 x^{5} y^{5}+140 x^{3} y^{6}+15 x y^{7}+y^{15}$ |
|  | $x^{15}+140 x^{9} y^{3}+15 x^{7} y+420 x^{7} y^{4}+15 x^{7} y^{7}+378 x^{5} y^{5}+140 x^{3} y^{6}+15 x y^{7}+y^{15}$ |
| 17 | $x^{17}+17 x^{15} y+119 x^{13} y^{2}+442 x^{11} y^{3}+935 x^{9} y^{4}+1122 x^{7} y^{5}+714 x^{5} y^{6}+204 x^{3} y^{7}+17 x y^{8}+y^{17}$ |

## Further directions ...

Here's an example where proving the monomial case proves the general case.
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## Further directions ...

Here's an example where proving the monomial case proves the general case.

Theorem (Huang '99)
If $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \pm\left|f_{j}(z)\right|^{2}=q(z, \bar{z})\|z\|^{2}$ is nonzero, then $N \geq n$.

Theorem (D'Angelo-L. '11?)
Let $p(x)=q(x)\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}\right)^{d}$ be a nonzero polynomial, then $p(x)$ has at least $\binom{n-1+d}{d}$ terms.

Which can be used to prove

## Corollary (D'Angelo-L. '11?)

If $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \pm\left|f_{j}(z)\right|^{2}=q(z, \bar{z})\|z\|^{2 d}$ is nonzero, then $N \geq\binom{ n-1+d}{d}$.

## Further directions II...

For degree bounds we have the following:
Let $n \geq 3$ and $p(x)=q(x)\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}\right)$

## Further directions II...

For degree bounds we have the following:
Let $n \geq 3$ and $p(x)=q(x)\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}\right)$
Suppose no monomial divides $p(x)$, and if

$$
p(x)=p_{1}(x)\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}\right)+p_{2}(x)\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}\right)
$$

where $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ have no monomials in common, then $p_{1}$ or $p_{2}$ is zero. Call p indecomposable.

Theorem (L.,-Peters '11)
If $N$ is the number of terms in $p$ and $p$ is indecomposable, then

$$
\operatorname{deg} p \leq C(n, N)
$$

We only know the sharp value of $C$ for $n=3$.

## Examples

$$
x_{1}^{2}+x_{1} x_{2}+x_{3}\left(-x_{2}-x_{3}\right)=x_{1}^{2}+x_{1} x_{2}-x_{2} x_{3}-x_{3}^{2}
$$

is indecomposable.
$x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{1}^{d-1}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right)=x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{1}^{d}+x_{1}^{d-1} x_{2}+x_{1}^{d-1} x_{3}$
is decomposable, has 6 terms and has arbitrary degree $d$.

## How to use the result

$$
Q(a, b) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}: \sum_{j=1}^{a}\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}-\sum_{j=a+1}^{a+b}\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}=1\right\}
$$

Say a rational map $f: Q(a, b) \rightarrow Q(A, B)$ is indecomposable "if we cannot write it in homogeneous coordinates as a direct sum of two hyperquadric maps."

## Corollary (L., Peters '11)

Let $n=a+b \geq 2$ and $N=A+B$. Let $f: Q(a, b) \rightarrow Q(A, B)$ be an indecomposable (rational) monomial map. Then

$$
\operatorname{deg} f \leq C(n, N)
$$

For monomial maps indecomposable translates to the condition of the previous slide.

