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## Small review:

$M$ given by $\{r=0\}$.
The full Hessian is

$$
H_{p}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
\left.\frac{\partial^{2} r}{\partial \bar{z}_{1} \partial z_{1}}\right|_{p} & \cdots & \left.\frac{\partial^{2} r}{\partial \bar{z}_{1} \partial z_{n}}\right|_{p} & \left.\frac{\partial^{2} r}{\partial \bar{z}_{1} \partial \bar{z}_{1}}\right|_{p} & \cdots & \left.\frac{\partial^{2} r}{\partial \bar{z}_{1} \partial \bar{z}_{n}}\right|_{p} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\left.\frac{\partial^{2} r}{\partial \bar{z}_{n} \partial z_{1}}\right|_{p} & \cdots & \left.\frac{\partial^{2} r}{\partial \bar{z}_{n} \partial z_{n}}\right|_{p} & \left.\frac{\partial^{2} r}{\partial \bar{z}_{n} \partial \bar{z}_{1}}\right|_{p} & \cdots & \left.\frac{\partial^{2} r}{\partial \bar{z}_{n} \partial \bar{z}_{n}}\right|_{p} \\
\left.\frac{\partial^{2} r}{\partial z_{1} \partial z_{1}}\right|_{p} & \cdots & \left.\frac{\partial^{2} r}{\partial z_{1} \partial z_{n}}\right|_{p} & \left.\frac{\partial^{2} r}{\partial z_{1} \partial \bar{z}_{1}}\right|_{p} & \cdots & \left.\frac{\partial^{2} r}{\partial z_{1} \partial \bar{z}_{n}}\right|_{p} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\left.\frac{\partial^{2} r}{\partial z_{n} \partial z_{1}}\right|_{p} & \cdots & \left.\frac{\partial^{2} r}{\partial z_{n} \partial z_{n}}\right|_{p} & \left.\frac{\partial^{2} r}{\partial z_{n} \partial \bar{z}_{1}}\right|_{p} & \cdots & \left.\frac{\partial^{2} r}{\partial z_{n} \partial \bar{z}_{n}}\right|_{p}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
L_{p} & \overline{Z_{p}} \\
Z_{p} & L_{p}^{t}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$L_{p}$ is the complex Hessian.
$X_{p}^{*} L_{p} X_{p}$ for $X_{p} \in T_{p}^{(1,0)} M$ is the Levi form.
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be the natural projection.
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This definition works in any codimension, and is completely intrinsic.
Exercise: Work out that this definition gives a form that has the same inertia as the previous definition.
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Diagonalizing $A$ and rescaling
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