## MATH 4063-5023 Solutions to Homework Set 4

1. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the vector space of polynomials with indeterminant x. Which of the following mappings are linear transformations from  $\mathcal{P}$  to itself

(a) 
$$T: p \to xp$$

• Let  $p_1, p_2$  be two polynomials and let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$ . We have

$$p_1 = a_n x^n + \dots + a_1 x + a_0$$
  
 $p_2 = b_m x^m + \dots + b_1 x + b_0$ 

If  $n \neq m$  we can anyway replace the polynomial of lower degree (e.g. say it's  $p_2$ ) with its equivalent expression

$$p_2 = 0 \cdot x^n + 0 \cdot x^{n-1} + \dots + 0 \cdot x^{m+1} + b_m x^m + \dots + b_1 x + b_0$$

So we can without loss of generality write

$$p_1 = a_n x^n + \dots + a_1 x + a_0$$
  
$$p_2 = b_n x^n + \dots + b_1 x + a_0$$

for a pair of arbitary polynomials. Then by the definition of scalar multiplication and addition in  $\mathcal{P}$  we'll have

$$\alpha p_1 + \beta p_2 = (\alpha a_n + \beta b_n) x^n + \dots + (\alpha a_1 + \beta b_1) x + (\alpha a_0 + \beta b_0)$$

and so

$$T(\alpha p_1 + \beta p_2) = x [(\alpha a_n + \beta b_n) x^n + \dots + (\alpha a_1 + \beta b_1) x + (\alpha a_0 + \beta b_0)]$$

$$= (\alpha a_n + \beta b_n) x^{n+1} + \dots + (\alpha a_1 + \beta b_1) x^2 + (\alpha a_0 + \beta b_0) x$$

$$= (\alpha a_n) x^{n+1} + \dots + (\alpha a_1) x^2 + (\alpha a_0) x$$

$$+ (\beta b_n) x^{n+1} + \dots + (\beta b_1) x^2 + (\beta b_0) x$$

$$= \alpha x (a_n x^n + a_1 x + a_0) + \beta x (b_n x^n + \dots + b_1 x + b_0)$$

$$= \alpha T(p_1) + \beta T(p_2)$$

Since T preserves arbitary linear combinations of elements of  $\mathcal{P}$ , it is a linear transformation.  $\square$ 

(b) 
$$T: p \to 2p$$

• Using the same setup as in preceding problem, we compute

$$T(\alpha p_1 + \beta p_2) = 2 [(\alpha a_n + \beta b_n) x^n + \dots + (\alpha a_1 + \beta b_1) x + (\alpha a_0 + \beta b_0)]$$

$$= (2\alpha a_n + 2\beta b_n) x^n + \dots + (2\alpha a_1 + 2\beta b_1) x + (2\alpha a_0 + 2\beta b_0)$$

$$= \alpha 2 (a_n x^n + a_1 x + a_0) + \beta 2 (b_n x^n + \dots + b_1 x + b_0)$$

$$= \alpha T(p_1) + \beta T(p_2)$$

1

Since T preseves arbitary linear combinations of elements of  $\mathcal{P}$ , it is a linear transformation.  $\square$ 

(c) 
$$T: p \to \frac{dp}{dx} + 2p$$

• Here we won't be so explicity as in parts (a) and (b); we'll simply use the facts that differentiation operates term by term and commutes with scalar multiplication.

$$T(\alpha p_1 + \beta p_2) = \left(\frac{d}{dx} + 2\right)(\alpha p_1 + \beta p_2)$$

$$= \alpha \frac{dp_1}{dx} + 2\alpha p_1 + \beta \frac{dp_2}{dx} + 2\beta p_2$$

$$= \alpha \left(\frac{d}{dx} + 2\right)p_1 + \beta \left(\frac{d}{dx} + 2\right)p_2$$

$$= \alpha T(p_1) + \beta T(p_2)$$

Since T preseves arbitary linear combinations of elements of  $\mathcal{P}$ , it is a linear transformation.  $\Box$ 

- (d)  $T: p \to \int_0^1 p(x) dx$ 
  - Here we'll just use the facts that we can integrate term by term and pull constants through integral signs.

$$T(\alpha p_{1} + \beta p_{2}) = \int_{0}^{1} (\alpha p_{1} + \beta p_{2})(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} (\alpha p_{1}(x) + \beta p_{2}(x)) dx$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \alpha p_{1}(x) dx + \int_{0}^{1} \beta p_{2}(x) dx$$

$$= \alpha \int_{0}^{1} p_{1}(x) dx + \beta \int_{0}^{1} p_{2}(x) dx$$

$$= \alpha T(p_{1}) + \beta T(p_{2})$$

Since T preserves arbitary linear combinations of elements of  $\mathcal{P}$ , it is a linear transformation.  $\square$ 

- 2. Suppose  $f: V \to W$  is a linear transformation.
- (a) Prove that f is injective if and only if ker  $(f) = \{\mathbf{0}_V\}$ 
  - By definition  $f: V \to W$  is injective if  $f(v_1) = f(v_2) \Rightarrow v_1 = v_2$ . The kernel of f on the other hand is defined by  $\ker(f) = \{v \in V \mid f(v) = \mathbf{0}_W\}$ .  $\Rightarrow \text{ Suppose } f \text{ is injective. Let } v \in \ker(f). \text{ We always have } f(\mathbf{0}_V) = \mathbf{0}_W, \text{ for } \mathbf{0}_W = 0_{\mathbb{F}} \cdot f(v) = 0_{\mathbb{F}} \cdot f(v) = 0_{\mathbb{F}} \cdot f(v)$

 $\Rightarrow$  Suppose f is injective. Let  $v \in \ker(f)$ , we always have  $f(\mathbf{o}_V) = \mathbf{o}_W$ , for  $\mathbf{o}_W$   $f(\mathbf{o}_{\overline{V}} \cdot v) = f(\mathbf{o}_V)$ . So now, by injectivity

$$f(v) = \mathbf{0}_W$$
 and  $f(\mathbf{0}_V) = \mathbf{0}_W \Rightarrow v = \mathbf{0}_V$ 

Thus, in fact, the only vector in  $\ker(f)$  is  $\mathbf{0}_V$ .

$$\leftarrow$$
 Suppose ker  $(f) = \{\mathbf{0}_V\}$ . If  $f(v_1) = f(v_2)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0}_W &=& f\left(v_1\right) - f\left(v_2\right) & \Rightarrow & f\left(v_1 - v_2\right) = \mathbf{0}_W & \Rightarrow & v_1 - v_2 \in \ker\left\{f\right\} = \left\{\mathbf{0}_V\right\} \\ & \Rightarrow & v_1 - v_2 = \mathbf{0}_V \\ & \Rightarrow & v_1 = v_2 \end{aligned}$$

and so f is injective.

- (b) Prove that f is surjective if and only if  $\dim (\operatorname{Im} (f)) = \dim (W)$ .
  - $\Rightarrow$  This way is easy. If f is surjective then, by definition, Im(f) = W, and so  $\dim(\text{Im}(f)) = \dim(W)$ .

 $\Leftarrow$  Im  $(f) = \{w \in W \mid w = f(v) \text{ for some } v \in V\}$  is defined as a subspace of W. We know from way back that if a subspace of a vector space has the same dimension as the vector space in which it lives, then it must in fact coincide with the parent vector space. So

$$\dim \left( \mathrm{Im} \left( f \right) \right) = \dim \left( W \right) \ \text{ and } \ \mathrm{Im} \left( f \right) \subset W \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathrm{Im} \left( f \right) = W \quad \Rightarrow \quad f \text{ is surjective.}$$

- (c) Prove that f is bijective if and only it  $\dim(V) = \dim(W)$  and  $\ker(f) = \{\mathbf{0}_V\}$ .
  - $\Rightarrow$  Suppose f is bijective. Then it is injective and surjective. Hence,  $\ker(f) = \{\mathbf{0}_V\}$  by part (a) and by part (b),  $\operatorname{Im}(f) = W$ . From Theorem 12.1

$$\dim(V) = \dim(\operatorname{Im}(f)) + \dim(\ker(f))$$

and so

$$\dim(V) = \dim(W) + 0 \implies \dim V = \dim W$$

 $\iff$  dim  $(V) = \dim(W)$  and ker  $(f) = \{\mathbf{0}_V\}$ . By part (a), f is injective, and so by Theorem 12.1

$$\dim(V) = \dim(\operatorname{Im}(f)) + 0$$

and so  $\text{Im}(f) \subset W$  has the same dimension as W, and so Im(f) = W, Hence f is surjective. Since f is both injective and surjective it is a bijection.

- 3. Prove that the composition  $f \circ g$  of two linear transformations is a linear transformation.
  - Let  $f: V \to W$  and  $g: U \to V$  be linear transformations. Then  $f \circ g$  is a mapping from U to W. Let  $u_1, u_2 \in U$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then

$$(f \circ g) (\alpha u_1 + \beta u_2) \equiv f (g (\alpha u_1 + \beta u_2))$$

$$= f (\alpha g (u_1) + \beta g (u_2)) \text{ since } g \text{ is a linear transformation}$$

$$= \alpha f (g (u_1)) + \beta f (g (u_2)) \text{ since } f \text{ is a linear transformation}$$

$$= \alpha (f \circ g) (u_1) + \beta (f \circ g) (u_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow f \circ g \text{ is a linear transformation}$$

- 4. Consider the mapping  $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$   $T([x_1, x_2]) = [x_1 x_2, x_1 + x_2, x_1 2x_2]$
- (a) Show that T is a linear transformation.
  - Let us write two arbitrary elements of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  as  $[x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]$ . Then

$$\alpha [x_1, x_2] + \beta [y_1, y_2] = [\alpha x_1 + \beta y_1, \alpha y_2 + \beta y_2]$$

and so

$$T(\alpha[x_{1}, x_{2}] + \beta[y_{1}, y_{2}]) = T([\alpha x_{1} + \beta y_{1}, \alpha y_{2} + \beta y_{2}])$$

$$= [(\alpha x_{1} + \beta y_{1}) - (\alpha y_{2} + \beta y_{2}), (\alpha x_{1} + \beta y_{1}) - (\alpha y_{2} + \beta y_{2}), (\alpha x_{1} + \beta y_{1}) - 2(\alpha y_{2} + \beta y_{2})]$$

$$= \alpha[x_{1} - x_{2}, x_{1} + x_{2}, x_{1} - 2x_{2}] + \beta[y_{1} - y_{2}, y_{1} + y_{2}, y_{1} - 2y_{2}]$$

$$= \alpha T([x_{1}, x_{2}]) + \beta T([y_{1}, y_{2}])$$

Since T preserves arbitary linear combinations of elements, it is a linear transformation.  $\Box$ 

(b) Find the matrix corresponding to T and the natural bases of  $B = \{[1,0],[0,1]\}$  and  $B' = \{[1,0,0],[0,1,0],[0,0,1]\}$  of, respectively,  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

• The matrix  $\mathbf{T}_{BB'}$  corresponding to the linear transformation T is formed by using the components of the  $T(\mathbf{e}_i)$  as the  $i^{th}$  column. We have

$$T([1,0]) = [1-0,1+0,1-2\cdot 0] = [1,1,1]$$
  
$$T([0,1]) = [0-1,0+1,0-2\cdot 1] = [-1,1,-2]$$

Thus,

$$\mathbf{T}_{B,B'} = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{array} \right]$$

Notice that

$$\mathbf{T}_{B,B'} \left[ \begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} x_1 - x_2 \\ x_1 + x_2 \\ x_1 - 2x_2 \end{array} \right]$$

replicates the formula for T so long as we interpret the vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathbb{R}^3$  as column vectors.

- (c) What is the kernel of this linear transformation.
  - The kernel of the transformation will correpond to the null space of the matrix  $\mathbf{T}_{B,B'}$ ; i.e, the solution set of  $\mathbf{T}_{B,B'}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ . A basis for this solution set can be found using our augmented matrix method of solving such a homogeneous linear system.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{R.R.E.F.}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} x_1 = 0 \\ x_2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

So

$$Ker(T) = NullSp(\mathbf{T}_{B,B'}) = span([0,0]) = {\mathbf{0}_{\mathbb{R}^2}}$$

- (d) What is the range of this linear transformataion.
  - The range of T coincides with the span of the columns of  $\mathbf{T}_{B,b'}$ . To get this span, we can covert rows into columns and thereby obtain the transpose matrix  $\mathbf{T}_{B,B'}^t$ . A basis for the row space of  $\mathbf{T}_{B,B'}^t$  will be a basis for the column space of  $\mathbf{T}_{B,B'}^t$ . We can obtain a basis for  $RowSp\left(\mathbf{T}_{b,b'}^t\right)$  using row reduction:

$$\mathbf{T}^t_{B,B'} = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & -2 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \end{array} \right]$$

So

$$RowSp\left(\mathbf{T}_{B,B'}^{t}\right) = span\left(\left[1,1,1\right],\left[0,2,-1\right]\right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad ColSp\left(T_{B,B'}\right) = span\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}1\\1\\1\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{c}0\\2\\-1\end{array}\right]\right)$$

Thus, vectors in the range of T will be vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  of the form

$$s[1,1,1] + t[0,2,-1] = [s,s+2t,s-t]$$

5. Let  $\mathcal{P}_3$  be the vector space of polyonomials of degree  $\leq 3$  with natural basis  $\{x^3, x^2, x, 1\}$ . Find the matrix  $T_{B,B}$  corresponding to the linear transformation

$$T: \mathcal{P}_3 \to \mathcal{P}_3$$
 ,  $p \to 2x \frac{d}{dx} p + p$ 

and the basis B (same basis for the domain and codomain of T).

• The matrix  $\mathbf{T}_{B,B}$  is obtained by using the coefficients of  $T(p_i)$  with respect to  $B = \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\} = \{x^3, x^2, x, 1\}$  as the columns of a matrix.

$$T(p_{1}) = T(x^{3}) = \left(2x\frac{d}{dx} + 1\right)x^{3} = 2x(3x^{2}) + x^{3} = 7 \cdot x^{3} + 0 \cdot x^{2} + 0 \cdot x + 0 \cdot 1 \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T(p_{2}) = T(x^{2}) = \left(2x\frac{d}{dx} + 1\right)x^{2} = 2x(2x) + x^{2} = 0 \cdot x^{3} + 5 \cdot x^{2} + 0 \cdot x + 0 \cdot 1 \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 5 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T(p_{3}) = T(x) = \left(2x\frac{d}{dx} + 1\right)x = 2x(1) + x = 0 \cdot x^{3} + 0 \cdot x^{2} + 3 \cdot x + 0 \cdot 1 \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T(p_{4}) = T(1) = \left(2x\frac{d}{dx} + 1\right)1 = 0 + 1 = 0 \cdot x^{3} + 0 \cdot x^{2} + 0 \cdot x + 1 \cdot 1 \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus,

$$\mathbf{T}_{B,B} = \left[ egin{array}{cccc} 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 5 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} 
ight]$$

6. Suppose  $f: V \to W$  is a linear transformation and S is a subspace of W contained in Im (f). Prove that

$$f^{-1}(S) \equiv \{ v \in V \mid f(v) \in S \}$$

is a subspace of V.

• Let  $v_1, v_2 \in f^{-1}(S)$ . Then, by definition, there exists vectors  $s_1, s_2 \in S$  such that  $f(v_1) = s_1$  and  $f(v_2) = s_2$ . Now let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$  and consider  $f(\alpha v_1 + \beta v_2)$ . We have

$$f(\alpha v_1 + \beta v_2) = \alpha f(v_1) + \beta f(v_2)$$

$$= \alpha s_1 + \beta s_2$$

$$\in S \text{ since } S \text{ is a subspace of } W$$

Thus,  $\alpha v_1 + \beta v_2$  is in  $f^{-1}(S)$ , and so  $f^{-1}(S)$  is a subset of V that is closed under linear combinations; hence  $f^{-1}(S)$  is a subspace of V.

7. Let S be a subspace of a vector space V over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  and let V/S be the corresponding quotient space:

$$V/S := \{v + S \mid v \in V\}$$

where

$$v + S := \{v' \in V \mid v' = v + s \text{ for some } s \in S\}$$

Let addition and scalar multiplication of elements of V/S be defined by

+ : 
$$V/S \times V/S \rightarrow V/S$$
 ;  $(v+S) + (w+S) := (v+w+S)$   
\* :  $\mathbb{F} \times V/S \rightarrow V/S$  :  $\lambda(v+S) := (\lambda v+S)$ 

Show that V/S is a vectors space over  $\mathbb{F}$  (i.e., verify all 8 axioms for a vector space).

• - Commutativity of addition:

$$(v+S)+(w+S) \equiv (v+w)+S$$
 by definition of addition in  $V/S$   
=  $(w+v)+S$  because addition is commutative in  $V$   
 $\equiv (w+S)+(v+S)$  by definition of addition in  $V/S$ 

- Associativity of addition:

$$((v+S)+(w+S))+(u+S) \equiv ((v+w)+S)+(u+S)$$

$$\equiv ((v+w)+u)+S$$

$$= (v+(w+u))+S \text{ by associativity of addition in } V$$

$$\equiv (v+S)+((w+u)+S)$$

$$\equiv (v+S)+((w+S)+(u+S))$$

- Existence of additive identity.

The additive identity in V/S is  $0_V + S = S$ . We have

$$(v+S) + (0_V + S) = (v+0_V) + S = v + S$$

Existence of additive inverses.

Let  $v + S \in V/S$ . Then -v + S is also in V/S and

$$(v+S) + (-v+S) = (v+(-v)) + S = 0_V + S = 0_{V/S}$$

- Compatibility of scalar multiplication.

$$\begin{array}{lll} (\lambda\mu)\cdot(v+S) & \equiv & ((\lambda\mu)\,v+S) \\ & = & (\lambda\,(\mu v)+S) & \text{compatibility of scalar multiplication in } V \\ & \equiv & \lambda\cdot(\mu v+S) \\ & = & \lambda\cdot(\mu\cdot(v+S)) \end{array}$$

- Distributivity of addition of scalars

$$(\lambda + \mu) \cdot (v + S) \equiv (\lambda + \mu) v + S$$

$$= (\lambda v + \mu v) + S \quad \text{distributivity of addition of scalars in } V$$

$$\equiv (\lambda v + S) + (\mu v + S)$$

$$\equiv \lambda \cdot (v + S) + \mu \cdot (v + S)$$

- Distributivity of scalar multiplication over vector addition

$$\lambda \cdot ((v+S) + (u+S)) \equiv \lambda \cdot ((v+u) + S)$$

$$\equiv (\lambda (v+u) + S)$$

$$= (\lambda v + \lambda u) + S$$

$$= (\lambda v + S) + (\lambda u + S)$$

$$\equiv \lambda \cdot (v+S) + \lambda \cdot (u+S)$$

 $-1_{\mathbb{F}}$  acts as identity operator w.r.t. scalar multiplication.

$$1_{\mathbb{F}} \cdot (v+S) \equiv (1_{\mathbb{F}} \cdot v) + S$$
$$= v+S$$