# THE DIRAC COHOMOLOGY OF A FINITE DIMENSIONAL REPRESENTATION

## S. MEHDI AND R. ZIERAU

ABSTRACT. The Dirac cohomology of a finite dimensional representation of a complex semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , with respect to any quadratic subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ , is computed. This generalizes a formula obtained by Kostant in the case where  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  have equal rank, and by Huang, Kang and Pandžić in the case where  $\mathfrak{h}$  is the fixed points of an involution.

## INTRODUCTION

The Dirac operator has played an important role in the representation theory of semisimple Lie groups, dating back to Parthasarathy's work on the discrete series [6]. The late 1990's saw renewed interest in representation theoretic Dirac operators with the definition of a cubic Dirac operator [4], a notion of Dirac cohomology and the proof of a conjecture of Vogan [2]. There has been recent activity in computing Dirac cohomology for various representations of a semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , usually with respect to a symmetric subalgebra  $\mathfrak{k}$ . In this article the Dirac cohomology of a finite dimensional representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to an arbitrary quadratic subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is computed. This generalizes results in [5] and [3], which may be thought of as analogues of Kostant's version of the Borel-Weil Theorem. The case of finite dimensional modules, which has its own interest, plays an important role in the computation of Dirac cohomology of infinite dimensional modules through induction.

Fix a nondegenerate invariant symmetric bilinear form  $\langle , \rangle$  on a complex semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a reductive subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  for which the restriction of  $\langle , \rangle$  to  $\mathfrak{h}$  remains nondegenerate. Such a reductive subalgebra is often called a quadratic subalgebra. The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  splits into an orthogonal sum

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{q}.$$

A spin representation of  $\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{q})$  on a space of spinors S is therefore defined; and the map  $\mathrm{ad} : \mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{q})$  induces the spin representation of  $\mathfrak{h}$  on S.

Consider now the following element c of degree three in the Clifford algebra  $Cl(\mathfrak{q})$  of  $\mathfrak{q}$  defined by the Chevalley isomorphism

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{q} \times \mathfrak{q} \times \mathfrak{q} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C} \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow Cl(\mathfrak{q})$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} (X, Y, Z) \mapsto \langle X, [Y, Z] \rangle \end{pmatrix} \mapsto c.$$

Given a g-module  $(\pi, W)$ , there is a 'first order' operator

$$D_W: W \otimes S \longrightarrow W \otimes S$$

defined by

$$D_W = \sum_j \pi(X_j) \otimes \gamma(X_j) - 1 \otimes \gamma(c)$$

known as the (algebraic) cubic Dirac operator associated with W, where  $\{X_j\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{q}$  and

$$\gamma: Cl(\mathfrak{q}) \longrightarrow End(S)$$

is the Clifford multiplication. Then the (cubic) Dirac cohomology of the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module W is defined as the quotient

$$H(W) = \operatorname{Ker}(D_W) / \operatorname{Ker}(D_W) \cap \operatorname{Im}(D_W).$$

The Dirac cohomology H(W) for a finite dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module W was computed by Kostant in [5] when  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  have equal rank. Later Huang, Kang and Pandžić [3] computed the Dirac cohomology when  $\mathfrak{h}$  is the fixed points of an involution (and rank( $\mathfrak{h}$ )  $\leq$  rank( $\mathfrak{g}$ )). In this paper, we generalize the formula for the Dirac cohomology of a finite dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}$ -representation when  $\mathfrak{h}$  is an arbitrary quadratic subalgebra.

## 1. The spin representation

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a complex semisimple Lie algebra and  $\mathfrak{h}$  a quadratic subalgebra. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{q}$ , as in the introduction. Fix a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{t}$  of  $\mathfrak{h}$  and extend it to a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , with  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{q}$ . By choosing a lexicographic order one gets a positive system of roots  $\Delta^+$  in  $\Delta = \Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{a})$ . Then one

### DIRAC COHOMOLOGY

may check that the following property holds for this positive system.

There exists a regular  $\Delta^+$ -dominant  $\xi \in (\mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{a})^*$  so that

if 
$$\alpha \in \Delta^+$$
 and  $\gamma \stackrel{def.}{=} \alpha|_{\mathfrak{t}} \neq 0$ , then  $\langle \xi|_{\mathfrak{t}}, \gamma \rangle > 0$ .

We will be concerned with positive systems satisfying (C). Note that if  $\operatorname{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) = \operatorname{rank}(\mathfrak{h})$ , then every positive system satisfies (C). For a positive system  $\Delta^+$  we write

$$\mathfrak{n} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}^{(\alpha)}$$

and  $\rho$  for half the sum of the roots in  $\Delta^+$ . Denote by  $\Delta(V)$  the set of t-weights in a t-stable vector space V.

**Lemma 1.1.** If  $\Delta^+$  satisfies (C), then

- (1) Each t-weight in  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the restriction of a root in  $\Delta$ .
- (2)  $\mathfrak{n} = (\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{h}) + (\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{q})$
- (3)  $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{h}) \stackrel{def.}{=} \{\beta \in \Delta(\mathfrak{h}) : \langle \xi, \beta \rangle > 0\}$  is a positive system of  $\Delta(\mathfrak{h})$ .
- (4)  $\Delta(\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{h}) = \Delta^+(\mathfrak{h}).$

*Proof.* Suppose  $\gamma \neq 0$  is a t-weight in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The  $\gamma$ -weight space is

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta, \, \alpha|_{\mathfrak{t}} = \gamma} \mathfrak{g}^{(\alpha)}. \tag{1.2}$$

The first statement follows from this. Since both  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $\mathfrak{q}$  are  $\mathfrak{t}$ -stable we have

$$\mathfrak{h}^{(\gamma)} = \big(\sum_{\alpha|_{\mathfrak{t}}=\gamma} \mathfrak{g}^{(\alpha)}\big) \cap \mathfrak{h} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{q}^{(\gamma)} = \big(\sum_{\alpha|_{\mathfrak{t}}=\gamma} \mathfrak{g}^{(\alpha)}\big) \cap \mathfrak{q}$$

and the  $\gamma$ -weight space in  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the direct sum  $\mathfrak{h}^{(\gamma)} + \mathfrak{q}^{(\gamma)}$ .

Now suppose  $\alpha \in \Delta^+$  and  $X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}^{(\alpha)} \subset \mathfrak{n}$ . There are two cases. First  $\gamma = \alpha|_{\mathfrak{t}} \neq 0$ . Then (by the above)  $X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{h}^{(\gamma)} + \mathfrak{q}^{(\gamma)}$ . By (C) all roots restricting to  $\gamma$  are positive, so  $\mathfrak{h}^{(\gamma)}, \mathfrak{q}^{(\gamma)} \subset \mathfrak{n}$ . Therefore,  $X_{\alpha} \in (\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{h}) + (\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{q})$ . When  $\gamma = 0, X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{q}$ , so  $X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{q}$ . This proves (2).

Part (3) follows from (1.2). The last statement is now clear.  $\Box$ 

Now let us turn to the spin representation built from  $\mathfrak{q}$  and the invariant form on  $\mathfrak{q}$ . For this discussion we consider any positive system  $\Delta^+$  satisfying (C). This determines  $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{h})$  as in the preceding lemma.

(C)

We use the construction of the spin representation as given in [1]. For this we need a maximally isotropic subspace of q. Observe that

$$\mathfrak{q} = (\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{q}) + \mathfrak{a} + (\mathfrak{n}^- \cap \mathfrak{q}),$$

where

$$\mathfrak{n}^- = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}^{(-\alpha)}.$$

Therefore, if we choose a maximal isotropic subspace  $\mathfrak{a}^+$  in  $\mathfrak{a}$ , then

$$\mathfrak{q}^+ = (\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{q}) + \mathfrak{a}^+$$

is a maximally isotropic subspace of  $\mathfrak{q}$ . Then, by [1, Proposition 6.2.4], the weights of the spin representation may be written as follows. List the weights of  $\mathfrak{q}^+$  as

$$\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_N.$$

Here the weights are to be listed with the multiplicity with which they occur in  $\mathfrak{q}^+$ . In particular,  $N = [\dim(\mathfrak{q})/2]$ , the greatest integer in  $\dim(\mathfrak{q})/2$ . The weights of the spin representation S are

$$\frac{1}{2}(\pm\gamma_1\pm\gamma_2\pm\cdots\pm\gamma_N).$$

It follows immediately that if m is the number of weights  $\gamma_i$  that are zero, then the multiplicity of each weight is a multiple of  $2^m$ . Therefore,  $S \simeq 2^m \cdot S_0$ , for some  $\mathfrak{h}$ -representation  $S_0$ .

Alternatively, using the notation  $\langle A \rangle = \sum_{\gamma \in A} \gamma$ , we have

$$\Delta(S) = \{ \rho(\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{q}) - \langle A \rangle : A \subset \Delta(\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{q}) \}.$$

Note that, by Lemma 1.1,  $\rho(\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{q}) = \rho - \rho(\mathfrak{h})$ , where  $\rho(\mathfrak{h}) = \rho(\Delta^+(\mathfrak{h}))$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** For any  $\Delta^+$  satisfying (C), the spin representation S has a highest weight vector, with respect to  $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{h})$ , of weight  $\rho(\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{q})$ . This weight occurs in S with multiplicity exactly  $2^m$ .

*Proof.* For the first statement it suffices to show that  $\rho(\mathfrak{n}\cap\mathfrak{q})+\beta$  is not a weight of S when  $\beta \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{h})$ . Suppose otherwise. Then  $\rho(\mathfrak{n}\cap\mathfrak{q})+\beta = \rho(\mathfrak{n}\cap\mathfrak{q})-\langle A\rangle$ , for some  $A \subset \Delta(\mathfrak{n}\cap\mathfrak{q})$ . Thus  $\beta = -\langle A\rangle$ . Taking the inner product with  $\xi$  gives  $\langle \xi, \beta \rangle = -\sum_{\gamma \in A} \langle \xi, \gamma \rangle$ . But the lefthand side is positive while the righthand side is nonpositive by (C).

4

### DIRAC COHOMOLOGY

Verifying the multiplicity statement is similar. Suppose that  $\rho(\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{q}) = \rho(\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{q}) - \langle A \rangle$ . Then  $0 = \langle A \rangle$ . Taking the inner product with  $\xi$  gives  $\langle \xi, \gamma \rangle = 0$ , for each  $\gamma \in A$  (by (C)). But (again by (C)), this means that  $\gamma = 0$ .

We mention that if we were to take a different  $\Delta^+$  satisfying (C) that determines the same  $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{h})$ , then we would have another highest weight (with respect to the same  $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{h})$ ) of multiplicity  $2^m$  in S. This follows from the fact that the spin representation of  $\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{q})$  is independent of the maximal isotropic subspace used in the construction.

### 2. DIRAC COHOMOLOGY

Let E be an irreducible finite dimensional representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We now fix a positive system  $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{h})$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be the set of positive systems  $\Delta^+$  so that  $\Delta^+$  satisfies (C) and so that the corresponding  $\xi|_t$  is dominant for  $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{h})$ . In other words  $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{h})$  is the positive system in  $\Delta(\mathfrak{h})$  associated to  $\Delta^+$  (as in Lemma 1.1(3)).

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $\Delta^+ \in \mathbb{P}$ . If  $\lambda$  is the highest weight of E with respect to  $\Delta^+$ , then  $\lambda|_{\mathfrak{t}}$  is a highest weight (with respect to  $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{h})$ ) for a constituent of the restriction of E to  $\mathfrak{h}$ .

Proof. Let  $e_{\lambda}$  be the highest weight vector of the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -representation E. This vector is annihilated by  $\mathfrak{n}$ . Therefore is annihilated by  $\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{h}$ . But  $\Delta(\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{h}) = \Delta^+(\mathfrak{h})$  by Lemma 1.1(4).

Now we consider the Dirac cohomology of the representation E. As explained in [2, Remark 3.2.4], since E is finite dimensional,

$$H(E) = Ker(D) = Ker(D^2).$$
(2.3)

It follows from [5] (see also [2]) that any constituent F of the  $\mathfrak{h}$ -representation H(E) must have infinitesimal character described as follows. Choose a positive system  $\Delta^+ \in \mathbb{P}$  and let  $\lambda$  be the highest weight of E. Write W (resp.  $W(\mathfrak{h})$ ) for the Weyl group for  $\mathfrak{g}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{h}$ ). Then the infinitesimal character of F is the  $W(\mathfrak{h})$ -orbit of  $w(\lambda + \rho)|_{\mathfrak{t}}$ , for some  $w \in W$  with  $w(\lambda + \rho)|_{\mathfrak{a}} = 0$ . In particular, the highest weight of F (with respect to  $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{h})$ ) is  $\mu = w(\lambda + \rho)|_{\mathfrak{t}} - \rho(\mathfrak{h})$ , where

w is in

 $W_{\lambda}^{1} \stackrel{def.}{=} \{ w \in W : w(\lambda + \rho)|_{\mathfrak{a}} = 0 \text{ and } w(\lambda + \rho)|_{\mathfrak{t}} \text{ is } \Delta^{+}(\mathfrak{h})\text{-dominant} \}.$ 

If  $E \otimes S$  contains an  $\mathfrak{h}$ -constituent with such a highest weight, then this constituent lies in  $Ker(D^2)$  by Kostant's formula for the square of D ([4, Theorem 2.16]). Recall that Kostant's formula is

$$2D^2 = \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes 1 - \Omega_{\Delta \mathfrak{h}} + (||\rho||^2 - ||\rho(\mathfrak{h})||^2),$$

where  $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is the Casimir element for  $\mathfrak{g}$  acting on E and  $\Omega_{\Delta\mathfrak{h}}$  is the Casimir element of  $\mathfrak{h}$  acting in  $E \otimes S$ . It follows from (2.3) that this constituent occurs in H(E). The following lemma is a corollary of this discussion.

Lemma 2.4. The following are equivalent.

(a) There is a root  $\alpha \in \Delta$  so that  $\alpha|_{\mathfrak{t}} = 0$ .

(b) No element of  $\mathfrak{t}^*$  ( $\subset (\mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{a})^*$ ) is  $\Delta$ -regular.

Each implies that H(E) = 0 for any irreducible finite dimensional representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Proof. Equivalence of (a) and (b) is straightforward. Let  $E_{\lambda}$  be the irreducible highest weight representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with highest weight  $\lambda$  with respect to some  $\Delta^+$  in  $\mathbb{P}$ . If  $H(E_{\lambda}) \neq 0$ , then above discussion tells us that  $W_{\lambda}^1 \neq \emptyset$ . So there is a  $w \in W$  so that  $w(\lambda + \rho)|_{\mathfrak{a}} = 0$ . Therefore,  $w(\lambda + \rho)$  is a regular element in  $\mathfrak{t}^*$ , contradicting (b).

Note that if  $W_{\lambda}^1 = \emptyset$ , then  $H(E_{\lambda}) = 0$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $E_{\lambda}$  be the irreducible highest weight representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ with highest weight  $\lambda$  with respect to some  $\Delta^+$  in  $\mathbb{P}$ . Let  $W_{\lambda}^1$  be as above. Then

$$H(E_{\lambda}) = \bigoplus_{w \in W_{\lambda}^{1}} 2^{m} \cdot F_{w(\lambda+\rho)|_{\mathfrak{t}}-\rho_{\mathfrak{h}}}$$

where  $m = [(\operatorname{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) - \operatorname{rank}(\mathfrak{h}))/2].$ 

Proof. When  $W_{\lambda}^{1} = \emptyset$  the statement of the theorem holds since both sides are zero. So we assume that  $W_{\lambda}^{1} \neq \emptyset$ . Then there is a  $\Delta$ -regular element (namely  $w(\lambda + \rho)$ ) in  $\mathfrak{t}^{*}$ , so by the lemma no root restricts to 0 on  $\mathfrak{t}$ . Therefore, the multiplicities of weights in S are  $2^{m}$ ,  $m = [\dim(\mathfrak{a})/2] = [(\operatorname{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) - \operatorname{rank}(\mathfrak{h}))/2]$ . It follows, as in §1, that  $S \simeq 2^{m} \cdot S_{0}$  and

$$\Delta(S_0) = \{ \rho(\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{q}) - \langle A \rangle : A \subset \Delta(\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{q}) \},\$$

each weight occurring exactly once.

By the discussion preceding the above lemma, the theorem will be proved once we show that each  $F_{w(\lambda+\rho)|_{\mathfrak{t}}-\rho(\mathfrak{h})}$  occurs in  $E \otimes S_0$  exactly once.

Observe that if  $w \in W_{\lambda}^{1}$ , then  $w\Delta^{+} \in \mathbb{P}$  (as  $w\Delta^{+}$  is defined by  $\xi = w(\lambda + \rho)$ ). With respect to  $w\Delta^{+}$ ,  $E_{\lambda}$  has highest weight  $w\lambda$ . Since  $\Delta^{+}$  may be replaced by  $w\Delta^{+}$  in our discussion of the  $\mathfrak{h}$ -representation S in §1, we may conclude that

$$\Delta(S_0) = \{ \rho((w \cdot \mathfrak{n}) \cap \mathfrak{q}) - \langle A \rangle : A \subset \Delta((w \cdot \mathfrak{n}) \cap \mathfrak{q}) \},\$$

and  $\rho((w \cdot \mathbf{n}) \cap \mathbf{q})$  is the highest weight of a constituent of  $S_0$ .

Now it is immediate, from Lemma 2.2, that  $w(\lambda)|_{\mathfrak{t}} + \rho((w \cdot \mathfrak{n}) \cap \mathfrak{q})$  is the highest weight of a constituent of  $E \otimes S_0$ . Note that  $w(\lambda + \rho)|_{\mathfrak{t}} - \rho(\mathfrak{h}) = w(\lambda)|_{\mathfrak{t}} + \rho((w \cdot \mathfrak{n}) \cap \mathfrak{q})$ . We need to check that this constituent occurs with multiplicity one. Writing this weight as an arbitrary sum of weights in  $E_{\lambda}$  and  $S_0$ , we have

$$w(\lambda)|_{\mathfrak{t}} + \rho((w \cdot \mathfrak{n}) \cap \mathfrak{q}) = (w(\lambda) - \langle B \rangle)|_{\mathfrak{t}} + (\rho((w \cdot \mathfrak{n}) \cap \mathfrak{q}) - \langle A \rangle),$$

where  $A \subset \Delta((w \cdot \mathfrak{n}) \cap \mathfrak{q})$  and  $B \subset w\Delta^+$ . It follows that

$$w(\lambda + \rho)|_{\mathfrak{t}} = w(\lambda + \rho)|_{\mathfrak{t}} - \langle B \rangle - \langle A \rangle,$$

so  $\langle B \rangle + \langle A \rangle = 0$ . Taking the inner product with the  $w\Delta^+$ -regular element  $\xi = w(\lambda + \rho)$  gives

$$\sum_{\alpha \in B} \langle \xi, \alpha |_{\mathfrak{t}} \rangle + \sum_{\gamma \in A} \langle \xi, \gamma \rangle = 0.$$

All  $\langle \xi, \gamma \rangle$  and  $\langle \xi, \alpha \rangle$  that occur are nonnegative, so zero. Therefore, by (C), no  $\alpha$ 's can occur. Since no roots restrict to 0, no  $\gamma$ 's occur. Therefore, the weight  $w(\lambda + \rho)|_{\mathfrak{t}} - \rho_{\mathfrak{h}}$  occurs just once in  $E_{\lambda} \otimes S_0$ .

#### References

- R. Goodman and N. R. Wallach, Representations and Invariants of the Classical Groups, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 68, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1998.
- [2] J.-S. Huang and P. Pandžić, Dirac cohomology, unitary representations and a proof of a conjecture of Vogan, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (2002), no. 1, 185–202.
- [3] J.-S. Huang, Y.-F. Kang, and P. Pandžić, Dirac cohomology of some Harish-Chandra modules, Trans. Groups 14 (2009), 163–173.
- [4] B. Kostant, A cubic Dirac operator and the emergence of Euler number multiplets of representations for equal rank subgroups, Duke Math. J. 100 (1999), no. 3, 447–501.

#### S. MEHDI AND R. ZIERAU

- [5] \_\_\_\_\_, Dirac cohomology for the cubic Dirac operator, Studies in memory of Issai Schur (Chevaleret/Rehovot, 2000) (Boston, MA), Prog. Math., vol. 210, Birkhäuser, 2003, 69– 93.
- [6] R. Parthasarathy, Dirac operator and discrete series, Ann. of Math. 96 (1972) 1–30.

Université de Metz, Département de Mathématiques, UMR 7122 - CNRS, F-57045 Metz cedex 1

E-mail address: mehdi@univ-metz.fr

Oklahoma State University, Mathematics Department, Stillwater, Oklahoma 74078

*E-mail address*: zierau@math.okstate.edu

8