

#1 The Lax-Friedrichs scheme for  $u_t + au_x = 0$  is

$$\frac{U_m^{n+1} - \frac{1}{2}(U_{m+1}^n + U_{m-1}^n)}{k} + a \frac{U_{m+1}^n - U_{m-1}^n}{2h} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow U_m^{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}(1+a\lambda)U_{m-1}^n + \frac{1}{2}(1-a\lambda)U_{m+1}^n$$

Assume  $\max_m |U_m^{n+1}|$  is reached at  $m^*$ , i.e.

$$|U_{m^*}^{n+1}| = \max_m |U_m^{n+1}|$$

then

$$\|\vec{U}^{n+1}\|_\infty = |U_{m^*}^{n+1}| = \left| \frac{1}{2}(1+a\lambda)U_{m^*-1}^n + \frac{1}{2}(1-a\lambda)U_{m^*+1}^n \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}|1+a\lambda| |U_{m^*-1}^n| + \frac{1}{2}|1-a\lambda| |U_{m^*+1}^n|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}|1+a\lambda| \max_m |U_m^n| + \frac{1}{2}|1-a\lambda| \max_m |U_m^n|$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(|1+a\lambda| + |1-a\lambda|) \|\vec{U}^n\|_\infty$$

Case 1. If  $|a\lambda| \leq 1$ , then both  $1+a\lambda$  and  $1-a\lambda$  are non-negative, and  
 $\|\vec{U}^{n+1}\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2}((1+a\lambda) + (1-a\lambda)) \|\vec{U}^n\|_\infty = \|\vec{U}^n\|_\infty$   
 $\Rightarrow$  stable

Case 2. If  $a\lambda > 1$ , then

$$\|\vec{U}^{n+1}\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2}((1+a\lambda) + (a\lambda-1)) \|\vec{U}^n\|_\infty = a\lambda \|\vec{U}^n\|_\infty = \frac{a}{h} k \|\vec{U}^n\|_\infty$$

it can not be bounded by  $(1+k) \|\vec{U}^n\|_\infty \Rightarrow$  unstable

Case 3. If  $a\lambda < -1$  then

$$\|\vec{U}^{n+1}\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2}((-1-a\lambda) + (1-a\lambda)) \|\vec{U}^n\|_\infty = -a\lambda \|\vec{U}^n\|_\infty = \frac{-a}{h} k \|\vec{U}^n\|_\infty$$

again it can not be bounded by  $(1+k) \|\vec{U}^n\|_\infty \Rightarrow$  unstable

Conclusion: the scheme is stable only when  $|a\lambda| \leq 1$ .

#2 We first compute the following

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \frac{u(t+k, x) - u(t, x)}{k} - u_t(t, x) = \frac{k u_t(t, x) + O(k^2)}{k} - u_t(t, x) = O(k)$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \frac{u(t, x+2h) - 3u(t, x+h) + 3u(t, x) - u(t, x-h)}{h^3} - u_{xxx}(t, x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{h^3} \left[ \begin{aligned} & (u(t, x) + 2h u_x(t, x) + \frac{(2h)^2}{2!} u_{xx}(t, x) + \frac{(2h)^3}{3!} u_{xxx}(t, x) + O(h^4)) \\ & - 3(u(t, x) + h u_x(t, x) + \frac{h^2}{2!} u_{xx}(t, x) + \frac{h^3}{3!} u_{xxx}(t, x) + O(h^4)) \\ & + 3u(t, x) \\ & - (u(t, x) - h u_x(t, x) + \frac{h^2}{2!} u_{xx}(t, x) - \frac{h^3}{3!} u_{xxx}(t, x) + O(h^4)) \end{aligned} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{h^3} \left[ \begin{aligned} & \cancel{(1-3+3-1)} u(t, x) + \cancel{(2h-3h+h)} u_x(t, x) \\ & + \left( \frac{4}{2} h^2 - \frac{3}{2} h^2 - \frac{1}{2} h^2 \right) u_{xx}(t, x) \\ & + \left( \frac{8h^3}{6} - \frac{3h^3}{6} + \frac{h^3}{6} \right) u_{xxx}(t, x) + O(h^4) \end{aligned} \right]$$

$$= \left[ u_{xxx}(t, x) + O(h) \right] - u_{xxx}(t, x)$$

$$= O(h)$$

Then

$$P_{k,h} u - R_{k,h} P u$$

$$= \left[ \frac{u(t+k, x) - u(t, x)}{k} + a \frac{u(t, x+2h) - 3u(t, x+h) + 3u(t, x) - u(t, x-h)}{h^3} \right]$$

$$- \left[ u_t(t, x) + a u_{xxx}(t, x) \right]$$

$$= O(k) + O(h)$$

#3 (Consistency)  $P_{k,h}U - R_{k,h}PU$

$$= \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{u(t+k, x) - u(t, x)}{k} + \frac{u(t+k, x+h) - u(t, x+h)}{k} \right) + \frac{a}{2} \left( \frac{u(t+k, x+h) - u(t+k, x)}{h} + \frac{u(t, x+h) - u(t, x)}{h} \right) \right] - [u_t + a u_x](t, x)$$

$$= \left[ \frac{1}{2} (u_t(t, x) + u_t(t, x+h) + o(k)) + \frac{a}{2} (u_x(t+k, x) + u_x(t, x) + o(h)) \right] - [u_t(t, x) + a u_x(t, x)]$$

$$= \left[ \frac{1}{2} (u_t(t, x) + (u_t(t, x) + o(h)) + o(k)) + \frac{a}{2} ((u_x(t, x) + o(k)) + u_x(t, x) + o(h)) \right] - [u_t(t, x) + a u_x(t, x)]$$

$$= [u_t(t, x) + a u_x(t, x) + o(h) + o(k)] - [u_t(t, x) + a u_x(t, x)]$$

$$= o(h) + o(k) \xrightarrow{h, k \rightarrow 0} 0$$

Therefore the scheme is consistent

(Stability) Use the von Neumann analysis, one has  $\hat{V}^{n+1} = g(h, k, \theta) \hat{V}^n$  where  $g(h, k, \theta) = \frac{(1+a\lambda) + (1-a\lambda)e^{i\theta}}{(1-a\lambda) + (1+a\lambda)e^{i\theta}}$

$$\Rightarrow g(h, k, \theta) = \frac{[ \dots ] e^{-\frac{1}{2}i\theta}}{[ \dots ] e^{-\frac{1}{2}i\theta}} = \frac{(1+a\lambda)e^{-\frac{1}{2}i\theta} + (1-a\lambda)e^{\frac{1}{2}i\theta}}{(1-a\lambda)e^{-\frac{1}{2}i\theta} + (1+a\lambda)e^{\frac{1}{2}i\theta}}$$

$$= \frac{(1+a\lambda)[\cos \frac{\theta}{2} - i \sin \frac{\theta}{2}] + (1-a\lambda)[\cos \frac{\theta}{2} + i \sin \frac{\theta}{2}]}{(1-a\lambda)[\cos \frac{\theta}{2} - i \sin \frac{\theta}{2}] + (1+a\lambda)[\cos \frac{\theta}{2} + i \sin \frac{\theta}{2}]} = \frac{2\cos \frac{\theta}{2} - 2ia\lambda \sin \frac{\theta}{2}}{2\cos \frac{\theta}{2} + 2ia\lambda \sin \frac{\theta}{2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow |g(h, k, \theta)| = \frac{\sqrt{4\cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} + 4a^2\lambda^2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}}}{\sqrt{4\cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} + 4a^2\lambda^2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}}} = 1 \Rightarrow \text{unconditionally stable.}$$

#4 The scheme is

$$\frac{U_m^{n+1} - U_m^n}{k} + a \frac{s(U_{m+1}^{n+1} - U_{m-1}^{n+1}) + (1-s)(U_{m+1}^n - U_{m-1}^n)}{2h} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow U_m^{n+1} + s \frac{a\lambda}{2} (U_{m+1}^{n+1} - U_{m-1}^{n+1}) = U_m^n - (1-s) \frac{a\lambda}{2} (U_{m+1}^n - U_{m-1}^n)$$

$$\Rightarrow [1 + s \frac{a\lambda}{2} (e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta})] \hat{U}^{n+1} = [1 - (1-s) \frac{a\lambda}{2} (e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta})] \hat{U}^n$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{U}^{n+1} = g(\text{ch}, k, \theta) \hat{U}^n \quad \text{where}$$

$$g(\text{ch}, k, \theta) = \frac{1 + (1-s) \frac{a\lambda}{2} (e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta})}{1 + s \frac{a\lambda}{2} (e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta})}$$
$$= \frac{1 - (1-s) i a \lambda \sin \theta}{1 + s i a \lambda \sin \theta}$$

$$\Rightarrow |g|^2 = \frac{1 + (1-s)^2 (a\lambda \sin \theta)^2}{1 + s^2 (a\lambda \sin \theta)^2}$$

Case 1 If  $\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1$ , then we have  $1-s \leq s$  and consequently  $|g|^2 \leq 1$ . The scheme is unconditionally stable

Case 2 If  $0 \leq s < \frac{1}{2}$ , then

① Under the refinement path  $\frac{k}{h} = \text{constant}$ , i.e.,  $\lambda = \text{constant}$ ,

$$|g|^2 = 1 + \frac{1-2s}{1+s^2(a\lambda \sin \theta)^2} (a\lambda \sin \theta)^2 > 1$$

and it can not be bounded by  $(1+k\tau)^2$

Hence the scheme is unstable

② If we use the refinement path  $\frac{k}{h^2} = \mu = \text{const.}$  then

$$|g|^2 = 1 + \frac{1-2s}{1+s^2(a\lambda \sin \theta)^2} (a\lambda \sin \theta)^2 \leq 1 + (1-2s) a^2 \lambda^2$$

$$= 1 + (1-2s) a^2 \mu k \leq (1+k\tau)^2 \Rightarrow \text{stable}$$