

Solution to Exam I

1. (a) Let $x_i, i = 1, \dots, 5$, be the amounts of investments on different types of stocks, then the objective function is

$$\max \quad f = 0.12x_1 + 0.09x_2 + 0.05x_3 + 0.08x_4 + 0.04x_5$$

and the constraints are

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 = 1,000,000 \quad (\text{total amount})$$

$$x_2 \leq 25,000 \quad (\text{precious metal})$$

$$x_1 + x_3 \geq 30,000 \quad (\text{medicine plus computer hardware})$$

$$\frac{3.2x_1 + 1.8x_2 + 1.6x_3 + 2.1x_4 + 1.4x_5}{1,000,000} \leq 2.0 \quad (\text{risk factor})$$

$$x_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, 5$$

Another way to solve the problem is to set x_i to be the percentage of investments. Then the objective function is

$$\max \quad f = 1,000,000 \times (0.12x_1 + 0.09x_2 + 0.05x_3 + 0.08x_4 + 0.04x_5)$$

and the constraints are

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 = 1 \quad (\text{total percentage} = 100\%)$$

$$1,000,000x_2 \leq 25,000 \quad (\text{precious metal})$$

$$1,000,000(x_1 + x_3) \geq 30,000 \quad (\text{medicine plus computer hardware})$$

$$3.2x_1 + 1.8x_2 + 1.6x_3 + 2.1x_4 + 1.4x_5 \leq 2.0 \quad (\text{risk factor})$$

$$x_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, 5$$

- (b) In part (b) and (c), we will only show the solution when x_i are set to be the amounts. The solution for x_i to be the percentage of investments is similar.

$$\min \quad f = -0.12x_1 - 0.09x_2 - 0.05x_3 - 0.08x_4 - 0.04x_5$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 \geq 1,000,000$$

$$-x_1 - x_2 - x_3 - x_4 - x_5 \geq -1,000,000$$

$$-x_2 \geq -25,000$$

$$x_1 + x_3 \geq 30,000$$

$$-3.2x_1 - 1.8x_2 - 1.6x_3 - 2.1x_4 - 1.4x_5 \geq -2,000,000$$

$$x_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, 5$$

- (c) The dual problem is

$$\max \quad g = 1,000,000u_1 - 25,000u_2 + 30,000u_3 - 2,000,000u_4$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad u_1 + u_3 - 3.2u_4 \leq -0.12$$

$$u_1 - u_2 - 1.8u_4 \leq -0.09$$

$$u_1 + u_3 - 1.6u_4 \leq -0.05$$

$$u_1 - 2.1u_4 \leq -0.08$$

$$u_1 - 1.4u_4 \leq -0.04$$

$$u_2, u_3, u_4, \geq 0, \quad u_1 \text{ free}$$

2. Since the problem is given in non-standard form, we will apply scheme II first. The initial tableau is

	x1	x2	x3	1
y1 =	2.0000	-1.0000	1.0000	-5.0000
y2 =	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	-10.0000
f =	2.0000	-1.0000	2.0000	0.0000

Exchange y_1 and x_3 , we have

	x1	x2	y1	1
x3 =	-2.0000	1.0000	1.0000	5.0000
y2 =	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	-10.0000
f =	-2.0000	1.0000	2.0000	10.0000

Now $y_1 = 0$, we can delete the y_1 -column. Since x_3 is a free variable, we will move it to the bottom. The new tableau is

	x1	x2	1
y2 =	1.0000	1.0000	-10.0000
f =	-2.0000	1.0000	10.0000
x3 =	-2.0000	1.0000	5.0000

This tableau is not feasible. Next, we need to apply phase I to get a starting basic feasible solution. Add artificial variable x_0 and objective function f_0 ,

	x1	x2	x0	1
y2 =	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	-10.0000
f =	-2.0000	1.0000	0.0000	10.0000
x3 =	-2.0000	1.0000	0.0000	5.0000
f0 =	0.0000	0.0000	1.0000	0.0000

Apply a special pivoting which exchanges y_2 with x_0 ,

	x1	x2	y2	1
x0 =	-1.0000	-1.0000	1.0000	10.0000

f	=	-2.0000	1.0000	0.0000	10.0000
x3	=	-2.0000	1.0000	0.0000	5.0000
f0	=	-1.0000	-1.0000	1.0000	10.0000

Now try to minimize f_0 . Exchange x_0 with x_1 ,

		x0	x2	y2	1
x1	=	-1.0000	-1.0000	1.0000	10.0000
f	=	2.0000	3.0000	-2.0000	-10.0000
x3	=	2.0000	3.0000	-2.0000	-15.0000
f0	=	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

The minimum of f_0 is reached and $f_0 = 0$. This completes phase I. Delete x_0 -column and f_0 -row,

		x2	y2	1
x1	=	-1.0000	1.0000	10.0000
f	=	3.0000	-2.0000	-10.0000
x3	=	3.0000	-2.0000	-15.0000

The tableau is now feasible. We can start phase II.

Notice immediately that the tableau is unbounded, since no pivot row can be chosen. Indeed, if we let $y_2 = \lambda \geq 0$, then

$$x_1 = \lambda + 10 \geq 0, \quad x_2 = 0, \quad x_3 = -2\lambda - 15 \text{ (free variable)}$$

and

$$f = -2\lambda - 10.$$

As λ goes to $+\infty$, the value of f goes to $-\infty$.