

Extending CR functions from codimension 2 CR singular manifolds in 3 dimensions

Jiří Lebl

joint work with Alan Noell and Sivaguru Ravisankar

Department of Mathematics, Oklahoma State University

Extending CR functions from codimension 2
CR singular manifolds in ~~3 dimensions~~
any dimension

Jiří Lebl

joint work with Alan Noell and Sivaguru Ravisankar

Department of Mathematics, Oklahoma State University

Complexification

Let $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be the natural embedding (that is $\text{Im } z = 0$).

Suppose $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a domain and $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is real-analytic.

$\Rightarrow \exists$ a domain $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, $M \subset V$, and $F: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic such that $F|_M = f$. (We say f *extends holomorphically*)

Complexification

Let $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be the natural embedding (that is $\text{Im } z = 0$).

Suppose $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a domain and $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is real-analytic.

$\Rightarrow \exists$ a domain $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, $M \subset V$, and $F: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic such that $F|_M = f$. (We say f *extends holomorphically*)

Does not work in general:

- (a) $M = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \text{Im } z_2 = 0\}$, $f = \text{Re } z_1$.
 $\Rightarrow f$ does not extend holomorphically.
- (b) $M = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid z_2 = |z_1|^2\}$, $f = \bar{z}_1$.
 $\Rightarrow f$ does not extend holomorphically.

Complexification

Let $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be the natural embedding (that is $\text{Im } z = 0$).

Suppose $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a domain and $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is real-analytic.

$\Rightarrow \exists$ a domain $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, $M \subset V$, and $F: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic such that $F|_M = f$. (We say f *extends holomorphically*)

Does not work in general:

- (a) $M = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \text{Im } z_2 = 0\}$, $f = \text{Re } z_1$.
 $\Rightarrow f$ does not extend holomorphically.
- (b) $M = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid z_2 = |z_1|^2\}$, $f = \bar{z}_1$.
 $\Rightarrow f$ does not extend holomorphically.

Note: all my submanifolds are embedded, all issues considered are local, and everything is real-analytic.

Let $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a submanifold,

$$T_p^{0,1}M = (\mathbb{C} \otimes T_p M) \cap \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} \Big|_p, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_n} \Big|_p \right\}$$

Definition: M is CR if

$$T^{0,1}M = \bigcup_{p \in M} T_p^{0,1}M \quad \text{is a vector bundle.}$$

Let $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a submanifold,

$$T_p^{0,1}M = (\mathbb{C} \otimes T_p M) \cap \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} \Big|_p, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_n} \Big|_p \right\}$$

Definition: M is CR if

$$T^{0,1}M = \bigcup_{p \in M} T_p^{0,1}M \quad \text{is a vector bundle.}$$

$$F \text{ is holomorphic} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial F}{\partial \bar{z}_j} = 0 \Rightarrow L(F|_M) = 0 \quad \forall L \in \Gamma(T^{0,1}M).$$

Let $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a submanifold,

$$T_p^{0,1}M = (\mathbb{C} \otimes T_p M) \cap \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} \Big|_p, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_n} \Big|_p \right\}$$

Definition: M is CR if

$$T^{0,1}M = \bigcup_{p \in M} T_p^{0,1}M \quad \text{is a vector bundle.}$$

$$F \text{ is holomorphic} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial F}{\partial \bar{z}_j} = 0 \Rightarrow L(F|_M) = 0 \quad \forall L \in \Gamma(T^{0,1}M).$$

Definition: $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is CR if

$$Lf = 0 \quad \forall L \in \Gamma(T^{0,1}M).$$

Severi's theorem

Theorem (Severi¹, '31)

Suppose $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a real-analytic CR submanifold and $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a real-analytic CR function.

$\Rightarrow f$ extends holomorphically.

¹ Severi, F., *Risoluzione generale del problema di Dirichlet per le funzioni biarmoniche*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rend., VI. Ser. **13** (1931), 795–804.

Severi's theorem

Theorem (Severi¹, '31)

Suppose $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a real-analytic CR submanifold and $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a real-analytic CR function.

$\Rightarrow f$ extends holomorphically.

¹ Severi, F., *Risoluzione generale del problema di Dirichlet per le funzioni biarmoniche*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rend., VI. Ser. **13** (1931), 795–804.

Idea of proof:

Severi's theorem

Theorem (Severi¹, '31)

Suppose $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a real-analytic CR submanifold and $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a real-analytic CR function.

$\Rightarrow f$ extends holomorphically.

¹ Severi, F., *Risoluzione generale del problema di Dirichlet per le funzioni biarmoniche*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rend., VI. Ser. **13** (1931), 795–804.

Idea of proof:

Step 1) Write everything in sight in terms of z and \bar{z}
(both f and the defining functions for M).

Severi's theorem

Theorem (Severi¹, '31)

Suppose $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a real-analytic CR submanifold and $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a real-analytic CR function.

$\Rightarrow f$ extends holomorphically.

¹ Severi, F., *Risoluzione generale del problema di Dirichlet per le funzioni biarmoniche*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rend., VI. Ser. **13** (1931), 795–804.

Idea of proof:

Step 1) Write everything in sight in terms of z and \bar{z}
(both f and the defining functions for M).

Step 2) Use the defining functions of M to solve for as many of the \bar{z} s as possible, and plug that into the expression for f .

Severi's theorem

Theorem (Severi¹, '31)

Suppose $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a real-analytic CR submanifold and $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a real-analytic CR function.

$\Rightarrow f$ extends holomorphically.

¹ Severi, F., *Risoluzione generale del problema di Dirichlet per le funzioni biarmoniche*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rend., VI. Ser. **13** (1931), 795–804.

Idea of proof:

- Step 1)** Write everything in sight in terms of z and \bar{z} (both f and the defining functions for M).
- Step 2)** Use the defining functions of M to solve for as many of the \bar{z} s as possible, and plug that into the expression for f .
- Step 3)** That f is killed by the CR vector fields magically means that f does not depend on the remaining \bar{z} s.

Severi's theorem

Theorem (Severi¹, '31)

Suppose $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a real-analytic CR submanifold and $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a real-analytic CR function.

$\Rightarrow f$ extends holomorphically.

¹ Severi, F., *Risoluzione generale del problema di Dirichlet per le funzioni biarmoniche*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rend., VI. Ser. **13** (1931), 795–804.

Idea of proof:

- Step 1) Write everything in sight in terms of z and \bar{z} (both f and the defining functions for M).
- Step 2) Use the defining functions of M to solve for as many of the \bar{z} s as possible, and plug that into the expression for f .
- Step 3) That f is killed by the CR vector fields magically means that f does not depend on the remaining \bar{z} s.
- Step 4) ...

Severi's theorem

Theorem (Severi¹, '31)

Suppose $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a real-analytic CR submanifold and $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a real-analytic CR function.

$\Rightarrow f$ extends holomorphically.

¹ Severi, F., *Risoluzione generale del problema di Dirichlet per le funzioni biarmoniche*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rend., VI. Ser. **13** (1931), 795–804.

Idea of proof:

- Step 1) Write everything in sight in terms of z and \bar{z} (both f and the defining functions for M).
- Step 2) Use the defining functions of M to solve for as many of the \bar{z} s as possible, and plug that into the expression for f .
- Step 3) That f is killed by the CR vector fields magically means that f does not depend on the remaining \bar{z} s.
- Step 4) ...
- Step 5) Profit!

Definition: M is *CR singular* if it is not CR.

Definition: $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is *CR* if

$$Lf = 0 \quad \forall L \in \Gamma(\mathbb{C} \otimes TM) \text{ such that } L|_p \in T_p^{0,1}M \quad \forall p \in M.$$

Definition: M is *CR singular* if it is not CR.

Definition: $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is *CR* if

$$Lf = 0 \quad \forall L \in \Gamma(\mathbb{C} \otimes TM) \text{ such that } L|_p \in T_p^{0,1}M \quad \forall p \in M.$$

$f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is CR \Leftrightarrow it is CR on the CR submanifold $M_{CR} \subset M$
($M_{CR} =$ “CR points of M ”)

Definition: M is *CR singular* if it is not CR.

Definition: $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is *CR* if

$$Lf = 0 \quad \forall L \in \Gamma(\mathbb{C} \otimes TM) \text{ such that } L|_p \in T_p^{0,1}M \quad \forall p \in M.$$

$f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is CR \Leftrightarrow it is CR on the CR submanifold $M_{CR} \subset M$
($M_{CR} =$ “CR points of M ”)

E.g. $M = \{w = |z|^2\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$. $f = \bar{z}$ is CR (trivially),
but f does not extend ($\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}|_0 f = 1 \neq 0$)

Definition: M is *CR singular* if it is not CR.

Definition: $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is *CR* if

$$Lf = 0 \quad \forall L \in \Gamma(\mathbb{C} \otimes TM) \text{ such that } L|_p \in T_p^{0,1}M \quad \forall p \in M.$$

$f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is CR \Leftrightarrow it is CR on the CR submanifold $M_{CR} \subset M$
(M_{CR} = “CR points of M ”)

E.g. $M = \{w = |z|^2\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$. $f = \bar{z}$ is CR (trivially),
but f does not extend ($\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}|_0 f = 1 \neq 0$)

You could even take $f = \bar{z}^2$ to make $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}|_0 f = 0$,
but f still does not extend.

Some previous work

Harris ('78) provides a complete (but difficult to apply) criterion for f on an arbitrary CR singular M to be a restriction of a holomorphic function in C^ω case.

Some previous work

Harris ('78) provides a complete (but difficult to apply) criterion for f on an arbitrary CR singular M to be a restriction of a holomorphic function in C^ω case.

In (L.-Minor-Shroff-Son-Zhang '11) we proved that if M is real-analytic CR singular submanifold and $T^{0,1}M_{CR}$ extends to a vector bundle on M (so M is an image of a CR manifold), then there exists a real-analytic CR function on M that does not extend holomorphically.

Some previous work

Harris ('78) provides a complete (but difficult to apply) criterion for f on an arbitrary CR singular M to be a restriction of a holomorphic function in C^ω case.

In (L.-Minor-Shroff-Son-Zhang '11) we proved that if M is real-analytic CR singular submanifold and $T^{0,1}M_{CR}$ extends to a vector bundle on M (so M is an image of a CR manifold), then there exists a real-analytic CR function on M that does not extend holomorphically.

In (L.-Noell-Ravisankar '11) we proved a Severi type theorem for a real-analytic codimension 2 real-analytic CR singular manifold in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} ($n \geq 2$) that is flat (subset of $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$) and nondegenerate.

Main theorem setup

Write a CR singular submanifold of codimension 2 in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} as
(after a rotation by a unitary)

$$\begin{aligned}w &= \rho(z, \bar{z}) \\ &= Q(z, \bar{z}) + E(z, \bar{z}) \\ &= z^* A z + \overline{z^t B z} + z^t C z + E(z, \bar{z}),\end{aligned}$$

$(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}$, ρ is $O(\|z\|)^2$, E is $O(\|z\|^3)$.

A, B, C , $n \times n$ complex matrices,
 z column vector,
 B, C symmetric.

Adam Coffman ('09) proved a normal form of Q in \mathbb{C}^3 up to local biholomorphisms (and it is a rather long list).

This was extremely useful.

Adam Coffman ('09) proved a normal form of Q in \mathbb{C}^3 up to local biholomorphisms (and it is a rather long list).

This was extremely useful.

This might be a good place to note that normal forms for codimension 2 CR singular manifolds has a long history:

\mathbb{C}^2 : Bishop '65, Moser–Webster '83, Moser '85, Kenig–Webster '82, Gong '94, Huang–Krantz '95, Huang–Yin '09, Slapar '16, etc...

\mathbb{C}^n ($n \geq 3$) Dolbeault–Tomassini–Zaitsev '05, '11, Huang–Yin '09, '16, '17, Burcea '13, Gong–L. '15, Fang–Huang '18.

Coffman's table

976

A. COFFMAN

TABLE 1. Normal forms for Theorem 7.1

N	P			
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta} \end{pmatrix}$ $0 < \theta < \pi$	$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & d \end{pmatrix}$	5	$a > 0, d > 0, b \sim -b \in \mathbb{C}$	+ - 0
	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ b & d \end{pmatrix}$	3	$b \geq 0, d \geq 0$	+ - 0
	$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	3	$a > 0, b \geq 0$	+ - 0
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$	2	$0 \leq a \leq d$	+ - 0
	$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	2	$0 \leq a \leq d$	+ - 0
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	1	$b > 0$	+
	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	1	$b > 0$	+
	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	0		+
$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	1	$b > 0$	+ 0
	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$	2	$\text{Im}(d) > 0$	+
$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $0 < \tau < 1$	$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & d \end{pmatrix}$	5	$b > 0, a = 1, (a, d) \sim (-a, -d)$	+ - 0
	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ b & d \end{pmatrix}$	3	$b > 0, d = 1, d \sim -d$	+ - 0
	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	2	$b > 0$	+ - 0
	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$	3	$d \in \mathbb{C}$	+ 0
	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	1		+
	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	1		+
	$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	3	$b > 0, a \in \mathbb{C}$	+ - 0
$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	1	$b > 0$	+ - 0
	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	1	$b > 0$	+ - 0
	$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	1	$a \geq 0$	+ 0
	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	0		0
	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	0		0
	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	0		0
$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & i \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$	3	$a > 0, d \in \mathbb{C}$	+ - 0
	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	1	$b > 0$	+ 0
	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$	1	$d \geq 0$	+
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	1	$a \geq 0$	+ - 0
	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	0		+
	$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	1	$a \geq 0$	0
$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	0		+
	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	0		0
	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	0		0

Main theorem

$$M \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, (z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}$$

$$M : w = \rho(z, \bar{z}) = Q(z, \bar{z}) + E(z, \bar{z}) = z^* Az + \overline{z^t Bz} + z^t Cz + E(z, \bar{z})$$

Theorem (L.-Noell-Ravisankar)

Suppose

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} A^* \\ B \end{bmatrix} \geq 2.$$

If $f(z, \bar{z})$ is real-analytic CR function defined near the origin, then f extends holomorphically near the origin.

That is, $\exists F(z, w)$ such that

$$f(z, \bar{z}) = F(z, \rho(z, \bar{z})).$$

The quadric

Theorem (L.–Noell–Ravisankar)

Consider $M \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ given by $w = Q(z, \bar{z}) = z^* Az + \overline{z^t Bz} + z^t Cz$.
Assume $\bar{\partial}Q \neq 0$. TFAE:

- (a) $\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} A^* \\ B \end{bmatrix} \geq 2$
- (b) For every CR polynomial $f(z, \bar{z})$, $\exists!$ holo. poly. $F(z, w)$
such that $f(z, \bar{z}) = F(z, Q(z, \bar{z}))$.
 f homogeneous $\Rightarrow F$ weighted homogeneous.
- (c) Every CR real-linear $h(z, \bar{z})$ is holomorphic.
- (d) M is **not** biholomorphically equivalent to one of the following (mutually inequivalent) exceptional cases:
 - (1) $w = \bar{z}_1 z_2 + \bar{z}_1^2$,
 - (2) $w = \bar{z}_1 z_2$,
 - (3) $w = |z_1|^2 + a \bar{z}_1^2$, $a \geq 0$,
 - (4) $w = \bar{z}_1^2$.

$\bar{\partial}Q \equiv 0$, that is, $\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} A^* \\ B \end{bmatrix} = 0$

$$M : w = z^t C z + E(z, \bar{z})$$

$\bar{\partial}Q \equiv 0$, that is, $\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} A^* \\ B \end{bmatrix} = 0$

$$M : w = z^t C z + E(z, \bar{z})$$

$E \equiv 0$) M is complex and every “CR function” extends holomorphically.

So for some E we may have extension.

$\bar{\partial}Q \equiv 0$, that is, $\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} A^* \\ B \end{bmatrix} = 0$

$$M : w = z^t C z + E(z, \bar{z})$$

$E \equiv 0$) M is complex and every “CR function” extends holomorphically.

So for some E we may have extension.

$E = \|z\|^4$) M is given by

$$w = \|z\|^4$$

and

$$f(z, \bar{z}) = \|z\|^2$$

is CR but equal to \sqrt{w} on M , so does not extend.

So for some E we do not have extension.

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} A^* \\ B \end{bmatrix} = 1$$

$$M : w = Q(z, \bar{z}) + E(z, \bar{z})$$

$E \equiv 0$) Extension does not hold. E.g. consider $M \subset \mathbb{C}^3$:

$$w = \bar{z}_1 z_2$$

then \bar{z}_1 is CR as the CR vector field is $L = -z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2}$

Note: The theorem is an if-and-only-if when $E \equiv 0$.

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} A^* \\ B \end{bmatrix} = 1$$

$$M : w = Q(z, \bar{z}) + E(z, \bar{z})$$

$E \equiv 0$) Extension does not hold. E.g. consider $M \subset \mathbb{C}^3$:

$$w = \bar{z}_1 z_2$$

then \bar{z}_1 is CR as the CR vector field is $L = -z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2}$

Note: The theorem is an if-and-only-if when $E \equiv 0$.

$E \neq 0$) Extension may or may not hold depending on E . E.g.

$$w = \bar{z}_1 z_2 + \bar{z}_2^3$$

then extension holds (explicit computation), but if

$$w = \bar{z}_1 z_2 + \bar{z}_1^3$$

then extension does not hold (\bar{z}_1 again).

Application: classification of CR images

Suppose $M \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is a real-analytic CR singular submanifold of codimension 2, and there exists a real-analytic vector bundle \mathcal{V} on M such that $\mathcal{V}_p = T_p^{0,1}M$ for all $p \in M_{CR}$.

Equivalently (locally), \exists a generic submanifold $N \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and a real-analytic CR map $\varphi: N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ such that $\varphi(N) = M$.

Application: classification of CR images

Suppose $M \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is a real-analytic CR singular submanifold of codimension 2, and there exists a real-analytic vector bundle \mathcal{V} on M such that $\mathcal{V}_p = T_p^{0,1}M$ for all $p \in M_{CR}$.

Equivalently (locally), \exists a generic submanifold $N \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and a real-analytic CR map $\varphi: N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ such that $\varphi(N) = M$.

Corollary

M is equivalent to exactly one of

- (1) $w = \bar{z}_1 z_2 + \bar{z}_1^2 + O(\|z\|^3)$,
- (2) $w = \bar{z}_1 z_2 + O(\|z\|^3)$,
- (3) $w = |z_1|^2 + a\bar{z}_1^2 + O(\|z\|^3)$, $a \geq 0$,
- (4) $w = \bar{z}_1^2 + O(\|z\|^3)$.
- (5) $w = O(\|z\|^3)$.

Thanks for listening!