

Polynomials with integer coefficients and their zeros

Igor E. Pritsker

Presented by V. Ya. Gutlyanskiĭ

Dedicated to Prof. R. M. Trigub on his 75th birthday

Abstract. We study several related problems on polynomials with integer coefficients. This includes the integer Chebyshev problem, and the Schur problems on means of algebraic numbers. We also discuss interesting applications to the approximation by polynomials with integer coefficients, and to the growth of coefficients for polynomials with roots located in prescribed sets. The distribution of zeros for polynomials with integer coefficients plays an important role in all of these problems.

Keywords. Polynomials, distribution of zeros, algebraic numbers.

1. Integer Chebyshev problem

Let \mathbb{C}_n and \mathbb{Z}_n be the classes of algebraic polynomials of degree at most n , respectively with complex and with integer coefficients. Define the uniform norm on a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\|f\|_E := \sup_{z \in E} |f(z)|.$$

The problem of minimizing the uniform norm on E by *monic* polynomials from \mathbb{C}_n is well known as the *Chebyshev problem* (see [8, 20, 30], etc.) In the classical case $E = [-1, 1]$, the explicit solution of this problem is given by the monic Chebyshev polynomial $T_n(x) := 2^{1-n} \cos(n \arccos x)$ of degree $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using a change of variable, we extend this to an arbitrary interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$, so that

$$t_n(x) := \left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^n T_n\left(\frac{2x-a-b}{b-a}\right)$$

is a unique monic polynomial with real coefficients and the smallest uniform norm on $[a, b]$ among all *monic* polynomials of exact degree n from \mathbb{C}_n . It is immediate that

$$\|t_n\|_{[a,b]} = 2 \left(\frac{b-a}{4}\right)^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1.1)$$

Hence, the *Chebyshev constant* for $[a, b]$ is given by

$$t_{\mathbb{C}}([a, b]) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|t_n\|_{[a,b]}^{1/n} = \frac{b-a}{4}. \quad (1.2)$$

The Chebyshev problem and the Chebyshev polynomials penetrated far beyond the original area of application in analysis, and these ideas remain of fundamental importance, cf. [20]. Many connections and generalizations were found in various areas of approximation theory, complex analysis, special

Translated from Ukrains'kiĭ Matematychnyĭ Visnyk, Vol. 9, No. 1, pp. 81–97, January–February, 2012.

Original article submitted September 30, 2011

Research was partially supported by the National Security Agency, and by the AT&T Professorship

functions, etc. In particular, the Chebyshev problem was considered on arbitrary compact sets of \mathbb{C} , and the Chebyshev constant was identified with the transfinite diameter and the logarithmic capacity of the set (see [8] and [30]).

A closely related problem of finding small polynomials with integer coefficients is also classical. We say that $Q_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ is an *integer Chebyshev polynomial* for $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ if

$$\|Q_n\|_{[a,b]} = \inf_{0 \neq P_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \|P_n\|_{[a,b]}, \quad (1.3)$$

where the inf is taken over all polynomials from \mathbb{Z}_n that are not identically zero. Note that Q_n may not be unique, and its degree may be less than n . The *integer Chebyshev constant* (or integer transfinite diameter) for $[a, b]$ is given by

$$t_{\mathbb{Z}}([a, b]) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Q_n\|_{[a,b]}^{1/n}. \quad (1.4)$$

We do not require polynomials to be monic here, as integer coefficients already provide a constraint for this extremal problem. (Requiring the leading coefficients be monic leads to a quite different problem considered by Borwein, Pinner, and Pritsker [3].) One may readily observe that if $b - a \geq 4$, then $Q_n(x) \equiv 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by (1.1) and (1.3), so that

$$t_{\mathbb{Z}}([a, b]) = 1, \quad b - a \geq 4. \quad (1.5)$$

On the other hand, we obtain directly from the definition and (1.2) that

$$\frac{b - a}{4} = t_{\mathbb{C}}([a, b]) \leq t_{\mathbb{Z}}([a, b]), \quad b - a < 4. \quad (1.6)$$

Hilbert [10] found an important upper bound

$$t_{\mathbb{Z}}([a, b]) \leq \sqrt{\frac{b - a}{4}}, \quad (1.7)$$

which was originally proved in terms of the L_2 norm on $[a, b]$, but this gives the same n -th root behavior as the L_{∞} norm in (1.4). The asymptotic sharpness of (1.7) was shown by Trigub [28], who observed that, for the sequence of intervals $I_m := [1/(m + 4), 1/m]$, we have

$$t_{\mathbb{Z}}(I_m) \geq \frac{1}{m + 2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t_{\mathbb{Z}}(I_m)}{\sqrt{|I_m|/4}} = 1.$$

However, the exact value of $t_{\mathbb{Z}}([a, b])$ is not known for any segment $[a, b]$, $b - a < 4$. Perhaps, the most studied case is the integer Chebyshev problem on $[0, 1]$. It was initiated by Gelfond and Schnirelman, who discovered an elegant connection with the distribution of prime numbers (see Gelfond's comments in [4, pp. 285–288]). An exposition of related topics is found in [13, Ch. 10], and the later results are contained in [16]. Even in this best studied case, we only know the bounds

$$0.4213 < t_{\mathbb{Z}}([0, 1]) < 0.42291334, \quad (1.8)$$

where the upper bound is obtained from the definition of integer Chebyshev constant (1.3)–(1.4) by selecting specific sequences of polynomials (see [7]). The lower bound in (1.8) is found by potential theoretic methods, cf. [15]. The latter paper also contains a survey of the integer Chebyshev problem, together with many other results and generalizations.

The integer Chebyshev problem has many applications to number theoretic questions. In particular, we record the following connection with the growth of the leading coefficients of polynomials.

Proposition 1.1. *Suppose that $R_m \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ and $P_n(x) = a_n x^n + \dots \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, $a_n \neq 0$, are two sequences of polynomials of exact degrees $m \rightarrow \infty$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$. Assume that each P_n has all zeros in $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$, and that P_n has no common zeros with R_m whenever $n > m$. Then*

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|R_m\|_{[a,b]}^{1/m} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{1/n} \geq 1. \quad (1.9)$$

We use this proposition to obtain interesting information about the growth of the leading coefficients in Schur's problem (see the following section).

The integer Chebyshev problem (1.3)–(1.4) may be considered as the question of uniform approximation of the constant function $f \equiv 0$ on $[a, b]$. It is crucial for the whole theory of approximation of continuous functions by polynomials with integer coefficients. Indeed, the condition $t_{\mathbb{Z}}([a, b]) < 1$, which is equivalent to $b - a < 4$, is necessary for the possibility of such approximation. One can find comprehensive surveys of this old and rich area in [28] and [6]. The latest sharp results on the uniform approximation of functions by polynomials with integer coefficients, which include a problem of Bernstein on the approximation of constant functions, are contained in [29].

2. Schur's problems on the growth of coefficients

Issai Schur [21] considered a range of problems on the relation between the distribution of zeros and the size of coefficients for polynomials from \mathbb{Z}_n . His work originated several important directions in analysis and number theory. Certain number theoretic aspects of Schur's paper are discussed in detail in [18], while [19] emphasizes its analytic side as generalized by Fekete [5] and Szegő [25]. We review and develop some of these recent results on Schur's problems from [21].

2.1. Growth of the leading coefficient

Let E be a subset of the complex plane \mathbb{C} . Consider the set of polynomials $\mathbb{Z}_n(E)$ with integer coefficients of the exact degree n and all zeros in E . We denote the subset of $\mathbb{Z}_n(E)$ with simple zeros by $\mathbb{Z}_n^s(E)$. Schur showed in [21] that the restriction of the location of zeros to $[-1, 1]$ leads to the geometric growth of the leading coefficients for polynomials.

Theorem A (Schur [21], Satz VII). *Let $P_n(x) = a_n x^n + \dots \in \mathbb{Z}_n^s([-1, 1])$ be an arbitrary sequence of polynomials with degrees $n \rightarrow \infty$. The infimum L of $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{1/n}$ for all such sequences satisfies*

$$\sqrt{2} \leq L \leq \sqrt{1 + \sqrt{2}}. \quad (2.1)$$

We use Proposition 1.1 and results on the integer Chebyshev problem from Section 1 to obtain the following improvement.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $P_n(x) = a_n x^n + \dots \in \mathbb{Z}_n^s([-1, 1])$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence of polynomials. The infimum L of $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{1/n}$ among all such sequences satisfies*

$$1.53770952 \leq L \leq 1.54170092. \quad (2.2)$$

This result may be easily transformed into an analogous statement for the segment $[0, 1]$, by using the change of variable $t = x^2$, which gives a corresponding improvement for Satz X of [21]. From a more general point of view, we obtain

Theorem 2.2. *If $P_n(x) = a_n x^n + \dots \in \mathbb{Z}_n^s([a, b])$, $b - a < 4$, is any sequence of irreducible over \mathbb{Q} polynomials, then*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{1/n} \geq \frac{1}{t_{\mathbb{Z}}([a, b])} \geq \frac{2}{\sqrt{b-a}}. \quad (2.3)$$

The assumption of irreducibility may be relaxed, but one needs an assumption that depends upon the asymptotic structure of the integer Chebyshev polynomials on $[a, b]$.

2.2. Means of zeros

Given $M > 0$, we write $P_n = a_n z^n + \dots \in \mathbb{Z}_n^s(E, M)$ if $|a_n| \leq M$ and $P_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n^s(E)$ (respectively, $P_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n(E, M)$ if $|a_n| \leq M$ and $P_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n(E)$). Schur [21, §4-8], studied the limit behavior of the arithmetic means of zeros for polynomials from $\mathbb{Z}_n^s(E, M)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $M > 0$ is an arbitrary fixed number. Two of his main results in this direction are stated below. Let $D := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ be the closed unit disk, and let $\mathbb{R}_+ := (0, \infty)$, where \mathbb{R} is the real line. For a polynomial $P_n(z) = a_n \prod_{k=1}^n (z - \alpha_{k,n})$, define the arithmetic mean of its zeros by $A_n := \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{k,n}/n$.

Theorem B (Schur [21], Satz XI). *If $P_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n^s(\mathbb{R}_+, M)$ is any sequence of polynomials with degrees $n \rightarrow \infty$, then*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n \geq \sqrt{e} > 1.6487. \quad (2.4)$$

Theorem C (Schur [21], Satz XIII). *If $P_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n^s(D, M)$ is any sequence of polynomials with degrees $n \rightarrow \infty$, then*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |A_n| \leq 1 - \sqrt{e}/2 < 0.1757. \quad (2.5)$$

Schur remarked that the limsup in (2.5) is equal to 0 for *monic* polynomials from $\mathbb{Z}_n(D)$ by Kronecker's theorem [11]. We proved [17] that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = 0$ for any sequence of polynomials from Schur's class $\mathbb{Z}_n^s(D, M)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This result is obtained as a consequence of the asymptotic equidistribution of zeros near the unit circle. Namely, if $\{\alpha_{k,n}\}_{k=1}^n$ are the zeros of P_n , we define the zero counting measure

$$\tau_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{\alpha_{k,n}},$$

where $\delta_{\alpha_{k,n}}$ is the unit point mass at $\alpha_{k,n}$. Consider the normalized arclength measure μ_D on the unit circumference, with $d\mu_D(e^{it}) := \frac{1}{2\pi} dt$. If τ_n converge weakly to μ_D as $n \rightarrow \infty$ ($\tau_n \xrightarrow{*} \mu_D$), then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int z d\tau_n(z) = \int z d\mu_D(z) = 0.$$

Thus, Schur's problem is solved by the following result [17].

Theorem 2.3. *If $P_n(z) = a_n z^n + \dots \in \mathbb{Z}_n^s(D)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfy*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{1/n} = 1, \quad (2.6)$$

then $\tau_n \xrightarrow{} \mu_D$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = 0$.*

Since the elementary symmetric functions in the roots of the polynomial $P_n(z) = a_{n,n} \prod_{k=1}^n (z - \alpha_{k,n}) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,n} z^k$ are directly expressed through the coefficients by

$$\sigma_m := \sum_{j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_m} \alpha_{j_1,n} \alpha_{j_2,n} \dots \alpha_{j_m,n} = (-1)^m \frac{a_{n-m,n}}{a_{n,n}}, \quad (2.7)$$

we realize that Schur's result of Theorem B may be interpreted as an attempt of giving a sharp lower bound for the growth of $|a_{n-1,n}|$, when $P_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n^s(\mathbb{R}_+, M)$. Similarly, Theorem C establishes a limitation on the growth of $|a_{n-1,n}|$ for $P_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n^s(D, M)$. Thus, we arrive at a very interesting problem of finding the rates of the fastest (or the slowest) asymptotic growth for the coefficients with n . First, we state an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.3.

Corollary 2.1. *If $P_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,n} z^k \in \mathbb{Z}_n^s(D, M)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|a_{n-1,n}|}{n} = 0.$$

Hence, $|a_{n-1,n}|$ can grow at most sublinearly with n . In fact, we can give a more precise estimate by using Corollary 1.6 of [17] (or Corollary 3.2 of [18]).

Theorem 2.4. *If $P_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,n} z^k \in \mathbb{Z}_n^s(D, M)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

$$|a_{n-1,n}| \leq 8M \sqrt{n \log n}, \quad n \geq \max(M, 55). \quad (2.8)$$

It is interesting to note that (2.8) is sharp up to the factor $\log n$. Let p_m be the m -th prime number in the increasing ordering of primes. Define the monic polynomials

$$Q_n(z) := \prod_{m=1}^k \frac{z^{p_m} - 1}{z - 1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

and note that each Q_n has simple zeros $\{z_{j,n}\}_{j=1}^n$ at the roots of 1, and integer coefficients. Using number theoretic arguments, we showed in Remark 2.8 of [19] that the degree of Q_n is

$$n = \sum_{m=1}^k p_m - k = \frac{k^2 \log k}{2} + o(k^2 \log k) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Furthermore, since the sum of roots of each $(z^{p_m} - 1)/(z - 1)$ is equal to -1 , we obtain for the roots of Q_n that

$$|a_{n-1,n}| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^n z_{j,n} \right| = k \geq c \sqrt{n / \log n},$$

where $c > 0$.

We proceed with the asymptotic behavior of the coefficients $a_{n-m,n}$ for fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$. These results are obtained by considering the symmetric forms σ_m from (2.7) for any fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$. It is convenient to firstly consider estimates of the sums of the m -th powers of roots, i.e., estimates of the symmetric forms

$$s_m := \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{k,n}^m, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It is clear that $s_1 = \sigma_1$. In general, the symmetric forms s_m are related to the forms σ_m by well-known Newton's formulas, cf. [14, p. 78]:

$$m\sigma_m = \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{j-1} s_j \sigma_{m-j}. \quad (2.9)$$

We give firstly a generalization of Corollary 1.6 in [17] and Corollary 3.2 in [18]).

Theorem 2.5. *If $P_n(z) = a_{n,n} \prod_{k=1}^n (z - \alpha_{k,n}) \in \mathbb{Z}_n^s(D, M)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

$$|s_m| \leq (24m + 16) \sqrt{n \log n}, \quad n \geq \max(M, 55). \quad (2.10)$$

The above result can be stated in an equivalent form estimating the rates of convergence to zero for the arithmetic means of the m -th powers s_m/n . Combining (2.9) with (2.10), we generalize Theorem 2.4 as follows.

Theorem 2.6. *If $P_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,n} z^k \in \mathbb{Z}_n^s(D, M)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

$$|a_{n-m,n}| \leq C(m, M) (n \log n)^{m/2}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.11)$$

where $C(m, M) > 0$ depends only on m and M .

One should regard $m \in \mathbb{N}$ as a fixed number and consider $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above estimate. For $m = 1$, (2.11) matches (2.8), but the sharpness of (2.11) as $n \rightarrow \infty$ remains an open question for $m > 1$.

We now return to the arithmetic means of zeros contained in \mathbb{R}_+ (see Theorem B). This result was developed in the following directions. If $P_n(z) = a_{n,n} \prod_{k=1}^n (z - \alpha_{k,n})$ is irreducible over integers, then $\{\alpha_{k,n}\}_{k=1}^n$ is called a complete set of conjugate algebraic numbers of degree n . When $a_n = 1$, we refer to $\{\alpha_{k,n}\}_{k=1}^n$ as algebraic integers. If $\alpha = \alpha_{1,n}$ is one of the conjugates, then the sum of $\{\alpha_{k,n}\}_{k=1}^n$ is also called the trace $\text{tr}(\alpha)$ of α over rationals. Siegel [22] improved Theorem B for totally positive algebraic integers to

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{tr}(\alpha)/n > 1.7336105,$$

by using an ingenious refinement of the arithmetic-geometric means inequality that involves the discriminant of $\alpha_{k,n}$. Smyth [24] introduced a numerical method of "auxiliary polynomials", which was used by many authors to obtain improvements of the above lower bound. The original papers [23, 24] contain the bound 1.7719. The later results include bounds 1.784109 by Aguirre and Peral [1], and 1.78702 by Flammang [7]. McKee [12] recently designed a modification of the method that achieves the bound 1.78839. The Schur–Siegel–Smyth trace problem [2] states: *Find the smallest limit point ℓ for the set of values of mean traces A_n for all totally positive and real algebraic integers.*

It was observed by Schur [21] (see also Siegel [22]) that $\ell \leq 2$. This immediately follows by considering the Chebyshev polynomials $t_n(x) := 2 \cos(n \arccos((x-2)/2))$ for the segment $[0, 4]$, whose zeros are symmetric about the midpoint 2. They have integer coefficients, and $t_p(x)/(x-2)$ is irreducible for any prime p , giving the needed upper bound for ℓ , cf. [21].

The Schur–Siegel–Smyth trace problem is probably the best known unsolved problem that originated in [21]. As a partial result toward this problem, we gave the sharp lower bound $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n \geq 2$ for sets of algebraic numbers whose polynomials do not grow exponentially fast on compact sets of \mathbb{R}_+ of capacity (transfinite diameter) 1 (see Corollary 2.6 of [18]). More details and the complete history of this problem may be also found in [18]. We illustrate our results by restricting attention to segments

of length 4 in \mathbb{R}_+ . For an interval $[c - 2, c + 2] \subset \mathbb{R}$, let $\Omega = \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus [c - 2, c + 2]$. The generalized Mahler measure of a polynomial $P_n(z) = a_n \prod_{k=1}^n (z - \alpha_{k,n})$ is defined by

$$M(P_n) := |a_n| \prod_{\alpha_{k,n} \in \Omega} |\Phi(\alpha_{k,n})|,$$

where Φ is the canonical conformal mapping of Ω onto $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus D$ with $\Phi(\infty) = \infty$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $P_n(z) = a_n \prod_{k=1}^n (z - \alpha_{k,n}) \in \mathbb{Z}_n^s(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence of polynomials, and let $[c - 2, c + 2] \subset \mathbb{R}$, $c \geq 2$. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (M(P_n))^{1/n} = 1$, then*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{k,n} \geq c \geq 2.$$

We note that a more standard assumption $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_n\|_p^{1/n} = 1$ for $L_p([c - 2, c + 2])$, $p \in (0, \infty]$, norm implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (M(P_n))^{1/n} = 1$.

Since Theorem B is equivalent to a statement on the growth of $|a_{n-1,n}|$ with n , we are interested in the asymptotic behavior of the coefficients $a_{n-m,n}$ for a fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and for $n \rightarrow \infty$. To simplify and clarify the presentation, we restrict ourselves to monic polynomials, following Siegel [22]. Thus we assume for a moment that $P_n(z) = z^n + a_{n-1,n}z^{n-1} + \dots + a_{0,n} \in \mathbb{Z}_n^s(\mathbb{R}_+, 1)$. Observe that each σ_m has $\binom{n}{m}$ number of products in the defining sum. Thus, it is natural to consider the means $\sigma_m / \binom{n}{m}$. The arithmetic-geometric means inequality gives

$$\frac{\sigma_m}{\binom{n}{m}} \geq \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \alpha_{k,n} \right)^{\binom{n-1}{m-1} / \binom{n}{m}} = \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \alpha_{k,n} \right)^{m/n} = |a_{0,n}|^{m/n} \geq 1.$$

It follows that if $P_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,n} z^k \in \mathbb{Z}_n^s(\mathbb{R}_+, 1)$, then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|a_{n-m,n}|}{\binom{n}{m}} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma_m}{\binom{n}{m}} \geq 1, \quad (2.12)$$

where we assume that $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is fixed. One can verify that the rate of growth $O\left(\binom{n}{m}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $|a_{n-m,n}|$ is accurate by using the Chebyshev polynomials $t_n(x) = 2 \cos(n \arccos((x - 2)/2))$ for the segment $[0, 4]$. We now propose a generalization of the Schur–Siegel–Smyth trace problem: *Find the sharp lower bound ℓ_m , $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$, for the \liminf in (2.12) among all sequences of polynomials $P_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n^s(\mathbb{R}_+, 1)$.*

Clearly, we have that $\ell_1 = \ell$. It is possible to obtain a precise relation between ℓ_m , $m \geq 2$, and ℓ , but that argument falls outside the scope of the present paper. Instead, we show here that one can make an even stronger conclusion under the assumptions of Proposition 2.1.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $P_n(z) = a_n \prod_{k=1}^n (z - \alpha_{k,n}) \in \mathbb{Z}_n^s(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence of polynomials, and let $[c - 2, c + 2] \subset \mathbb{R}$, $c \geq 2$. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (M(P_n))^{1/n} = 1$, then*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|a_{n-m,n}|}{\binom{n}{m}} \geq c^m \geq 2^m, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It is also possible to prove similar results on the growth of coefficients for polynomials with integer coefficients and roots in sectors of the form $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\text{Arg } z| \leq \gamma\}$, where $\gamma < \pi/2$.

3. Proofs

Proof of Proposition 1.1. Let $P_n(z) := a_n \prod_{j=1}^n (z - z_j)$. Recall that the resultant of R_m and P_n is expressed as $R(P_n, R_m) = a_n^m \prod_{j=1}^n R_m(z_j)$ (see [14, p. 22]). It is clear that $R(P_n, R_m) \neq 0$ for $n > m$, as $R_m(z_j) \neq 0$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, by our assumption. Furthermore, this resultant is an integer, because it has a determinant representation in terms of the coefficients of R_m and P_n , cf. [14, p. 21]. Hence,

$$|a_n|^m \|R_m\|_{[a,b]}^n \geq \left| a_n^m \prod_{j=1}^n R_m(z_j) \right| \geq 1, \quad n > m.$$

Thus, (1.9) follows by raising firstly the above inequality to the power $1/(mn)$, and then letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $m \rightarrow \infty$ in order. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $P_n(x) = a_n x^n + \dots \in \mathbb{Z}_n^s([-1, 1])$ be an arbitrary sequence of polynomials. We use a sequence of polynomials R_m with small sup norms on $[-1, 1]$ in Proposition 1.1 to prove the lower bound in (2.2). In fact, these small polynomials have the form

$$R_m(x) = \prod_{i=1}^K Q_{m_i, i}^{[s_i m]}(x), \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $Q_{m_i, i}(x) = b_{m_i} x^{m_i} + \dots \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_i}$ are irreducible over \mathbb{Q} polynomials, and $0 < s_i < 1$, $i = 1, \dots, K$, with $\sum_{i=1}^K s_i m_i = 1$. Such polynomials are used to obtain virtually all upper bounds for the integer Chebyshev constant (see [15]), and they were also used to obtain the upper bound in (1.8), cf. [7]. Since we deal with $[-1, 1]$ instead of $[0, 1]$, we need to apply the change of variable $t = x^2$ to translate the latter upper bound into

$$t_{\mathbb{Z}}([-1, 1]) \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|R_m\|_{[-1, 1]}^{1/m} < \sqrt{0.42291334} < 0.65031788$$

(see [28] and [15] for details). In fact, many of the irreducible factors $Q_{m_i, i}$ have all roots in $[-1, 1]$. Hence, they may also occur as factors in the polynomials P_n . But this obstacle on our path to the application of Proposition 1.1 may be easily removed. Note that we may only have at most K irreducible common factors in the sequences R_m and P_n , and that these factors may only occur in P_n once, as each P_n has simple roots. Thus, we can drop all possible factors $Q_{m_i, i}$ in P_n and obtain a new sequence of polynomials \tilde{P}_n that have no common zeros with R_m for any choice of m and n . Furthermore, the leading coefficients of \tilde{P}_n satisfy $|a_n| / \prod_{i=1}^K |b_{m_i}| \leq |\tilde{a}_n| \leq |a_n|$. Hence,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{1/n} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\tilde{a}_n|^{1/n} \geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|R_m\|_{[-1, 1]}^{-1/m} > 1.53770952,$$

by Proposition 1.1, so that the lower bound in (2.2) is proved.

In order to obtain the upper bound in (2.2), we need to exhibit a sequence of polynomials $P_n(x) = a_n x^n + \dots \in \mathbb{Z}_n^s([-1, 1])$ such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{1/n} \leq 1.54170092.$$

The required sequence is given by the polynomials $G_n(x^2) = c_n x^{2n} + \dots$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where G_n are the Gorshkov polynomials introduced in [9]. The further discussion of their properties can be found in [13]. In particular, Theorem 3 (due to Gorshkov) in [13, p. 187] states that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |c_n|^{1/n} \approx 2.3768417062639$. Our bound follows by taking the square root. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Since P_n is an irreducible polynomial of degree $n > m$, it cannot have common roots with any polynomial $R_m \in \mathbb{Z}_m$. In particular, we select R_m as an integer Chebyshev polynomial of degree m and apply Proposition 1.1 to obtain

$$t_{\mathbb{Z}}([a, b]) \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{1/n} = \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|R_m\|_{[a, b]}^{1/m} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{1/n} \geq 1.$$

Hence, the first inequality in (2.3) follows. The second inequality is a consequence of the upper estimate (1.7) for $t_{\mathbb{Z}}([a, b])$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Here, we give a sketch of the proof from [17]. More details can be found in [18]. If $P_n(z) = a_n \prod_{k=1}^n (z - \alpha_{k,n})$, then the discriminant of P_n is given by

$$\Delta(P_n) := a_n^{2n-2} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (\alpha_{j,n} - \alpha_{k,n})^2.$$

Observe that $\Delta(P_n)$ is an integer, as a symmetric form with integer coefficients in the zeros of P_n . Indeed, it can be written as a polynomial in the elementary symmetric functions of $\alpha_{k,n}$ with integer coefficients, by the fundamental theorem on symmetric forms. Since P_n has simple roots, we have $\Delta(P_n) \neq 0$ and $|\Delta(P_n)| \geq 1$. Using the weak* compactness of the probability measures on D , we assume that $\tau_n \xrightarrow{*} \tau$, where τ is a probability measure on D . Let $K_M(x, t) := \min(-\log|x-t|, M)$. Since $\tau_n \times \tau_n \xrightarrow{*} \tau \times \tau$, we obtain, for the logarithmic energy of τ [30],

$$\begin{aligned} I[\tau] &:= \iint \log \frac{1}{|x-t|} d\tau(x) d\tau(t) \\ &= \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint K_M(x, t) d\tau_n(x) d\tau_n(t) \right) \\ &= \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j \neq k} K_M(\alpha_j, \alpha_k) + \frac{M}{n} \right) \right) \\ &\leq \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \left(\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j \neq k} \log \frac{1}{|\alpha_j - \alpha_k|} \right) \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \log \frac{|a_n|^{2n-2}}{\Delta(P_n)} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \log |a_n|^{2n-2} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $I[\tau] \leq 0$. But $I[\nu] > 0$ for any probability measure ν on D , except for μ_D [30]. Hence, $\tau = \mu_D$.

It only remains to select the function $f(z) = z$, $z \in D$, and extend it continuously to \mathbb{C} so that f has compact support. The definition of the weak* convergence immediately gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int z d\tau_n(z) = \int z d\mu_D(z) = 0.$$

\square

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Corollary 3.2 of [18] states that, for any polynomial $P_n(z) = a_{n,n} \prod_{k=1}^n (z - \alpha_{k,n}) \in \mathbb{Z}_n^s(D, M)$, we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{k,n} \right| \leq 8 \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}}, \quad n \geq \max(M, 55).$$

Since $|a_{n-1,n}| = |a_{n,n} \sigma_1| \leq M|\sigma_1|$ by (2.7), we obtain (2.8) as a combination of the above estimates. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.5. Since the zeros of P_n come in complex conjugate pairs, we note that each s_m is real, and we have

$$\frac{s_m}{n} = \int z^m d\tau_n(z) = \int \Re(z^m) d\tau_n(z).$$

We state Theorem 3.1 of [18] for convenience. Let $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $|\phi(z) - \phi(t)| \leq A|z - t|$, $z, t \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\text{supp}(\phi) \subset \{z : |z| \leq R\}$. If $P_n(z) = a_{n,n} \prod_{k=1}^n (z - \alpha_{k,n})$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients and simple zeros, then

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \phi(\alpha_{k,n}) - \int \phi d\mu_D \right| \leq A(2R + 1) \sqrt{\frac{\log \max(n, M(P_n))}{n}} \quad (3.1)$$

for all $n \geq 55$, where $M(P_n) = |a_{n,n}| \prod_{k=1}^n \max(|\alpha_{k,n}|, 1)$ is the Mahler measure of P_n . Note that $M(P_n) = |a_{n,n}| \leq M$ in our case. If we let

$$\phi(z) := \begin{cases} \Re(z^m), & |z| \leq 1, \\ \Re(z^m)(m + 1 - m|z|), & 1 \leq |z| \leq 1 + 1/m, \\ 0, & |z| \geq 1 + 1/m, \end{cases}$$

then

$$\frac{s_m}{n} = \int \phi(z) d\tau_n(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \int \phi d\mu_D = 0.$$

An elementary computation shows that the partial derivatives ϕ_x and ϕ_y exist on $\mathbb{C} \setminus S$, where $S := \{z : |z| = 1 \text{ or } |z| = 1 + 1/m\}$, and they are given by

$$\phi_x(z) = \begin{cases} \Re(mz^{m-1}), & |z| < 1, \\ \Re(mz^{m-1})(m + 1 - m|z|) - \frac{mx \Re(z^m)}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}, & 1 < |z| < 1 + \frac{1}{m}, \\ 0, & |z| > 1 + \frac{1}{m}, \end{cases}$$

$$\phi_y(z) = \begin{cases} \Re(miz^{m-1}), & |z| < 1, \\ \Re(miz^{m-1})(m + 1 - m|z|) - \frac{my \Re(z^m)}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}, & 1 < |z| < 1 + \frac{1}{m}, \\ 0, & |z| > 1 + \frac{1}{m}, \end{cases}$$

where we let $z = x + iy$. Furthermore, we obtain that $|\phi_x(z)| \leq 2me$ and $|\phi_y(z)| \leq 2me$ for $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S$. The mean-value theorem now gives

$$|\phi(z) - \phi(t)| \leq |z - t| \sup_{\mathbb{C} \setminus S} \sqrt{\phi_x^2 + \phi_y^2} \leq 2me\sqrt{2}|z - t| < 8m|z - t|.$$

Hence, we use (3.1) with $A = 8m$ and $R = 1 + 1/m$ to obtain (2.10). \square

Proof of Theorem 2.6. The recurrence relation (2.9) immediately implies the estimate

$$|\sigma_m| \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m |s_j| |\sigma_{m-j}|.$$

Hence, (2.11) is obtained from (2.10) by a standard inductive argument in $m \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Theorem 2.1 of [18] guarantees that the counting measures τ_n in the zeros of P_n converge to the equilibrium measure μ of $[c - 2, c + 2]$ in the weak* topology. It is known [30] that

$$d\mu(x) = \frac{dx}{\pi\sqrt{4 - (x - c)^2}}, \quad x \in (c - 2, c + 2). \quad (3.2)$$

Thus, we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{k,n} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int x d\tau_n(x) \geq \int_{c-2}^{c+2} \frac{x dx}{\pi\sqrt{4 - (x - c)^2}} = c.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.7. It follows from (2.7) that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|a_{n-m,n}|}{\binom{n}{m}} \geq m! \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma_m}{n^m} = m! \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \cdots \int_{x_1 < \cdots < x_m} x_1 \cdots x_m d\tau_n(x_1) \cdots d\tau_n(x_m).$$

We again use Theorem 2.1 of [18] to conclude that $\tau_n \xrightarrow{*} \mu$, where μ is defined by (3.2). Hence,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|a_{n-m,n}|}{\binom{n}{m}} \geq m! \int \cdots \int_{x_1 \leq \cdots \leq x_m} x_1 \cdots x_m d\mu(x_1) \cdots d\mu(x_m).$$

The latter integral is evaluated by using the symmetry of the integrand in the variables x_1, \dots, x_m , and by passing from the integral over a simplex to an integral over a cube, which gives

$$\begin{aligned} m! \int \cdots \int_{c-2 \leq x_1 \leq \cdots \leq x_m \leq c+2} x_1 \cdots x_m d\mu(x_1) \cdots d\mu(x_m) \\ = \int_{c-2}^{c+2} \cdots \int_{c-2}^{c+2} x_1 \cdots x_m d\mu(x_1) \cdots d\mu(x_m) = \left(\int_{c-2}^{c+2} x d\mu(x) \right)^m = c^m. \end{aligned}$$

□

REFERENCES

1. J. Aguirre and J. C. Peral, “The trace problem for totally positive algebraic integers,” in *Number Theory and Polynomials*, edited by J. McKee and Ch. Smyth, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2008, pp. 1–19.
2. P. Borwein, *Computational Excursions in Analysis and Number Theory*, Springer, New York, 2002.
3. P. B. Borwein, C. G. Pinner, and I. E. Pritsker, “Monic integer Chebyshev problem,” *Math. Comp.*, **72**, 1901–1916 (2003).
4. P. L. Chebyshev, *Collected Works* [in Russian], Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow, 1944, Vol. 1.
5. M. Fekete, “Über die Verteilung der Wurzeln bei gewissen algebraischen Gleichungen mit ganzzahligen Koeffizienten,” *Math. Zeit.*, **17**, 228–249 (1923).
6. Le Baron O. Ferguson, *Approximation by Polynomials with Integral Coefficients*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1980.

7. V. Flammang, “Trace of totally positive algebraic integers and integer transfinite diameter,” *Math. Comp.*, **78**, 1119–1125 (2009).
8. G. M. Goluzin, *Geometric Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1969.
9. D. S. Gorshkov, “On the distance from zero on the interval $[0, 1]$ of polynomials with integral coefficients,” in *Proc. of the Third All Union Mathematical Congress* [in Russian], Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow, 1959, Vol. 4, pp. 5–7.
10. D. Hilbert, “Ein Beitrag zur Theorie des Legendreschen Polynoms,” *Acta Math.*, **18**, 155–159 (1894).
11. L. Kronecker, “Zwei Sätze über Gleichungen mit ganzzahligen Koeffizienten,” *J. reine angew. Math.*, **53**, 173–175 (1857).
12. J. McKee, “Computing totally positive algebraic integers of small trace,” *Math. Comp.*, **80**, 1041–1052 (2011).
13. H. L. Montgomery, *Ten Lectures on the Interface Between Analytic Number Theory and Harmonic Analysis*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1994.
14. V. V. Prasolov, *Polynomials*, Springer, Berlin, 2004.
15. I. E. Pritsker, “Small polynomials with integer coefficients,” *J. Anal. Math.*, **96**, 151–190 (2005).
16. I. E. Pritsker, “The Gelfond–Schnirelman method in prime number theory,” *Canad. J. Math.*, **57**, 1080–1101 (2005).
17. I. E. Pritsker, “Means of algebraic numbers in the unit disk,” *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I*, **347**, 119–122 (2009).
18. I. E. Pritsker, “Distribution of algebraic numbers,” *J. reine angew. Math.*, **657**, 57–80 (2011).
19. I. E. Pritsker, “Equidistribution of points via energy,” *Ark. Mat.*, **49**, 149–173 (2011).
20. T. J. Rivlin, *Chebyshev Polynomials*, Wiley, New York, 1990.
21. I. Schur, “Über die Verteilung der Wurzeln bei gewissen algebraischen Gleichungen mit ganzzahligen Koeffizienten,” *Math. Zeit.*, **1**, 377–402 (1918).
22. C. L. Siegel, “The trace of totally positive and real algebraic integers,” *Ann. Math.*, **46**, 302–312 (1945).
23. C. J. Smyth, “Totally positive algebraic integers of small trace,” *Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble*, **34**, 1–28 (1984).
24. C. J. Smyth, “The mean values of totally real algebraic integers,” *Math. Comp.*, **42**, 663–681 (1984).
25. G. Szegő, “Bemerkungen zu einer Arbeit von Herrn M. Fekete: Über die Verteilung der Wurzeln bei gewissen algebraischen Gleichungen mit ganzzahligen Koeffizienten,” *Math. Zeit.*, **21**, 203–208 (1924).
26. R. M. Trigub, “Approximation of functions by polynomials with integral coefficients,” *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **140**, 773–775 (1961).
27. R. M. Trigub, “Approximation of functions by polynomials with integer coefficients,” *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat.*, **26**, 261–280 (1962).
28. R. M. Trigub, “Approximation of functions with Diophantine conditions by polynomials with integral coefficients,” in *Metric Questions of the Theory of Functions and Mappings* [in Russian], Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1971, pp. 267–333.
29. R. M. Trigub, “Approximation of smooth functions and constants by polynomials with integer and natural coefficients,” *Math. Notes*, **70**, 110–122 (2001).
30. M. Tsuji, *Potential Theory in Modern Function Theory*, Chelsea, New York, 1975.

Igor E. Pritsker

Department of Mathematics, Oklahoma State University,
Stillwater, OK 74078, USA

E-Mail: igor@math.okstate.edu