

# Littlewood Richardson coefficients for reflection groups

Arkady Berenstein and Edward Richmond\*

University of British Columbia

Joint Mathematical Meetings  
Boston

January 7, 2012

- 1 Preliminaries on flag varieties
- 2 Algebraic approach to Schubert Calculus
- 3 Statement of results
- 4 Examples
- 5 The Nil-Hecke ring

# Preliminaries: Schubert Calculus of $G/B$

- Let  $G$  be a Kac-Moody group over  $\mathbb{C}$  (or a simple Lie group).

Fix  $T \subseteq B \subseteq G$  a maximal torus and Borel subgroup of  $G$ .

Let  $W := N(T)/T$  denote the Weyl group  $G$ .

- Let  $G/B$  be the flag variety (projective ind-variety).
- For any  $w \in W$ , we have the Schubert variety  $X_w = \overline{BwB}/B \subseteq G/B$ .

Denote the cohomology class of  $X_w$  by

$$\sigma_w \in H^{2\ell(w)}(G/B).$$

Additively, we have that  $H^*(G/B) \simeq \bigoplus_{w \in W} \mathbb{Z} \sigma_w$ .

## Goal (Schubert Calculus)

Compute the structure (Littlewood-Richardson) coefficients  $c_{u,v}^w$  with respect to the Schubert basis defined by the product

$$\sigma_u \cdot \sigma_v = \sum_{w \in W} c_{u,v}^w \sigma_w.$$

- Note that if  $\ell(w) \neq \ell(u) + \ell(v)$ , then  $c_{u,v}^w = 0$ .
- For any  $w, u, v \in W$ , we have that  $c_{u,v}^w \geq 0$ . (proofs are geometric)

For example, if  $G$  is a finite Lie group, then the cardinality

$$|g_1 X_u \cap g_2 X_v \cap g_3 X_{w_0 w}| = c_{u,v}^w$$

for a generic choice of  $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G$ .

# Algebraic approach to Schubert calculus

Let  $A = A(G)$  denote the Cartan matrix of  $G$ .

Alternatively, fix a finite index set  $I$  and let  $A = \{a_{ij}\}$  be an  $I \times I$  matrix such that

- $a_{ii} = 2$
- $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$  if  $i \neq j$
- $a_{ij} = 0 \Leftrightarrow a_{ji} = 0$ .

The matrix  $A$  defines an action of a Coxeter group  $W$  generated by reflections  $\{s_i\}_{i \in I}$  on the vector space  $V := \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$  given by

$$s_i(v) := v - \langle v, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \alpha_i$$

where  $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle := a_{ij}$ .

In particular, Coxeter groups of this type are crystallographic.

# Algebraic approach to Schubert calculus

If we abandon the group  $G$ , we can consider a matrix  $A$  as follows:

Fix a finite index set  $I$  and let  $A = \{a_{ij}\}$  be an  $I \times I$  matrix such that

- $a_{ii} = 2$
- $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$  if  $i \neq j$
- $a_{ij} = 0 \Leftrightarrow a_{ji} = 0$ .

The matrix  $A$  defines an action of a Coxeter group  $W$  generated by reflections  $\{s_i\}_{i \in I}$  on the vector space  $V := \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$  given by

$$s_i(v) := v - \langle v, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \alpha_i$$

where  $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle := a_{ij}$ .

Every Coxeter group can be represented as above.

## Some examples

- $G = SL(4)$  (type  $A_3$ )

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } W = S_4 \text{ (symmetric group)}$$

- $G = Sp(4)$  (type  $C_2$ )

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } W = I_2(4) \text{ (dihedral group of 8 elements)}$$

- $G = \widehat{SL(2)}$  (affine type  $A$ )

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } W = I_2(\infty) \text{ (free dihedral group)}$$

- Let  $\rho = 2 \cos(\pi/5)$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -\rho \\ -\rho & 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } W = I_2(5) \text{ (dihedral group of 10 elements)}$$

# Notation on sequences and subsets

- Any sequence  $\mathbf{i} := (i_1, \dots, i_m) \in I^m$  has a corresponding element

$$s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_m} \in W.$$

If  $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_m}$  is a reduced word of some  $w \in W$ , then we say that  $\mathbf{i} \in R(w)$ , the collection of reduced words.

- For each  $\mathbf{i} \in I^m$  and subset  $K = \{k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_n\}$  of the interval  $[m] := \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  let the subsequence

$$\mathbf{i}_K := (i_{k_1}, \dots, i_{k_n}) \in I^n.$$

We say a sequence  $\mathbf{i}$  is *admissible* if  $i_j \neq i_{j+1}$  for all  $j \in [m-1]$ .

- Observe that any reduced sequence is admissible. (In general, the converse is false.)



## Definition

Let  $m > 0$  and let  $K, L$  be subsets of  $[m] := \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  such that  $|K| + |L| = m$ . We say that a bijection

$$\phi : K \rightarrow [m] \setminus L$$

is *bounded* if  $\phi(k) < k$  for each  $k \in K$ .

## Definition

Given a reduced sequence  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m) \in I^m$ , we say that a bounded bijection

$$\phi : K \rightarrow [m] \setminus L$$

is  *$\mathbf{i}$ -admissible* if the sequence  $\mathbf{i}_L$  and the sequences  $\mathbf{i}_{L(k)}$  are admissible for all  $k \in K$  where

$$L(k) := L \cup \phi(K_{\leq k}).$$

Recall that the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients  $c_{u,v}^w$  are defined by the product

$$\sigma_u \cdot \sigma_v = \sum_{w \in W} c_{u,v}^w \sigma_w.$$

### Theorem: Berenstein-R, 2010

Let  $u, v, w \in W$  such that  $\ell(w) = \ell(u) + \ell(v)$  and let  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m) \in R(w)$ . Then

$$c_{u,v}^w = \sum p_\phi$$

where the summation is over all triples  $(\hat{u}, \hat{v}, \phi)$ , where

- $\hat{u}, \hat{v} \subset [m]$  such that  $\mathbf{i}_{\hat{u}} \in R(u)$ ,  $\mathbf{i}_{\hat{v}} \in R(v)$ .
- $\phi : \hat{u} \cap \hat{v} \rightarrow [m] \setminus (\hat{u} \cup \hat{v})$  is an  $\mathbf{i}$ -admissible bounded bijection.

- The Theorem is still true without  $\mathbf{i}$ -admissible.
- The Theorem generalizes to structure coefficients of  $T$ -equivariant cohomology  $H_T^*(G/B)$ .

## Definition

For any  $k \in [m]$  and  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ , denote  $\alpha_k := \alpha_{i_k}$  and  $s_k := s_{i_k}$ . For any bounded bijection

$$\phi : K \rightarrow [m] \setminus L$$

we define the monomial  $p_\phi \in \mathbb{Z}$  by the formula

$$p_\phi := (-1)^{|K|} \prod_{k \in K} \langle w_k(\alpha_k), \alpha_{\phi(k)}^\vee \rangle \quad \text{where} \quad w_k := \prod_{\substack{r \in L(k) \\ \phi(k) < r < k}}^{\rightarrow} s_r$$

where the product  $\prod^{\rightarrow}$  is taken in the natural order induced by the sequence  $[m]$  and if the product is empty, we set  $w_k = 1$ . Also, if  $K = \emptyset$ , then  $p_\phi = 1$ .

## Theorem: Berenstein-R, 2010

If the Cartan matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  satisfies

$$a_{ij} \cdot a_{ji} \geq 4 \quad \forall \quad i \neq j,$$

then  $p_\phi \geq 0$  when  $\phi$  is an  $\mathbf{i}$ -admissible bounded bijection.

Examples where  $G = \widehat{SL}(2)$ ,  $\mathbf{i} = (1, 2, 1, 2)$ 

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Compute  $c_{u,v}^w$  where  $w = s_1 s_2 s_1 s_2$ ,  $u = v = s_1 s_2$ .

- Find  $\hat{u}, \hat{v} \subseteq [4] = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

$$1 \ 2 \ \bar{3} \ \bar{4} \quad \underline{1} \ 2 \ \bar{3} \ \bar{4} \quad \underline{1} \ \underline{2} \ \bar{3} \ \bar{4} \quad \bar{1} \ 2 \ \underline{3} \ \bar{4} \quad \bar{1} \ 2 \ 3 \ \bar{4}$$

$$\bar{1} \ \underline{2} \ 3 \ \bar{4} \quad \bar{1} \ \bar{2} \ \underline{3} \ \underline{4} \quad \bar{1} \ \bar{2} \ 3 \ \underline{4} \quad \bar{1} \ \bar{2} \ 3 \ 4$$

- For  $1 \ 2 \ \bar{3} \ \bar{4}$ , we have

$$\hat{u} \cap \hat{v} = \{3, 4\} \quad \text{and} \quad [4] \setminus (\hat{u} \cup \hat{v}) = \{1, 2\}$$

with bounded bijections

$$\phi_1 : (3, 4) \rightarrow (1, 2) \quad \phi_2 : (3, 4) \rightarrow (2, 1).$$

**NOTE:**  $\phi_1$  is not  $\mathbf{i}$ -admissible.

Examples where  $G = \widehat{SL}(2)$ ,  $\mathbf{i} = (1, 2, 1, 2)$ 

- For  $1\ 2\ \overline{3}\ \overline{4}$ , we have bounded bijections

$$\phi_1 : (3, 4) \rightarrow (1, 2) \quad \phi_2 : (3, 4) \rightarrow (2, 1).$$

$$p_{\phi_1} = \langle \alpha_3, \alpha_1^\vee \rangle \cdot \langle s_3(\alpha_4), \alpha_2^\vee \rangle \quad p_{\phi_2} = \langle \alpha_3, \alpha_2^\vee \rangle \cdot \langle s_2 s_3(\alpha_4), \alpha_1^\vee \rangle$$

- Totaling over all bounded bijections, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{u,v}^w &= \cancel{\langle \alpha_3, \alpha_1^\vee \rangle \cdot \langle s_3(\alpha_4), \alpha_2^\vee \rangle} + \langle \alpha_3, \alpha_2^\vee \rangle \cdot \langle s_2 s_3(\alpha_4), \alpha_1^\vee \rangle \\ &\quad - \cancel{\langle s_3(\alpha_4), \alpha_2^\vee \rangle} - \cancel{\langle s_3(\alpha_4), \alpha_2^\vee \rangle} + 1 + 1 \\ &= -\cancel{1} + 4 + \cancel{1} + \cancel{1} + 1 + 1 = 6 \end{aligned}$$

With only  $\mathbf{i}$ -admissible terms.

Examples where  $G = \widehat{SL}(2)$ ,  $\mathbf{i} = (1, 2, 1, 2, 1)$ 

Compute  $c_{u,v}^w$  where  $w = s_1 s_2 s_1 s_2 s_1$ ,  $u = s_1 s_2 s_1$ ,  $v = s_2 s_1$ .

- Find  $\hat{u}, \hat{v} \subseteq [5] = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} 1 \ 2 \ \bar{3} \ \bar{4} \ \bar{5} & \bar{1} \ 2 \ 3 \ \bar{4} \ \bar{5} & \bar{1} \ \bar{2} \ 3 \ \bar{4} \ \bar{5} & \bar{1} \ \bar{2} \ \bar{3} \ \bar{4} \ \bar{5} & 1 \ \underline{2} \ \bar{3} \ \bar{4} \ \bar{5} & \bar{1} \ \underline{2} \ 3 \ \bar{4} \ \bar{5} \\ \bar{1} \ \bar{2} \ 3 \ \bar{4} \ \bar{5} & \bar{1} \ \bar{2} \ \bar{3} \ 4 \ \bar{5} & 1 \ \underline{2} \ \bar{3} \ \bar{4} \ \bar{5} & \bar{1} \ \underline{2} \ 3 \ \bar{4} \ \bar{5} & \bar{1} \ \bar{2} \ 3 \ 4 \ \bar{5} & \bar{1} \ \bar{2} \ \bar{3} \ 4 \ \bar{5} \end{array}$$

- Totaling over all bounded bijections, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{u,v}^w &= \langle \alpha_4, \alpha_2^\vee \rangle \cdot \langle s_4(\alpha_5), \alpha_3^\vee \rangle + \langle \alpha_4, \alpha_3^\vee \rangle \cdot \langle s_3 s_4(\alpha_5), \alpha_2^\vee \rangle \\ &+ \langle s_3(\alpha_4), \alpha_1^\vee \rangle \cdot \langle s_3 s_4(\alpha_5), \alpha_2^\vee \rangle + \langle s_3(\alpha_4), \alpha_2^\vee \rangle \cdot \langle s_2 s_3 s_4(\alpha_5), \alpha_1^\vee \rangle \\ &- \langle s_2(\alpha_3), \alpha_1^\vee \rangle - \langle s_4(\alpha_5), \alpha_3^\vee \rangle - \langle s_4(\alpha_5), \alpha_3^\vee \rangle - \langle s_2 s_3 s_4(\alpha_5), \alpha_1^\vee \rangle \\ &+ 1 + 1 \\ &= -\cancel{1} + \cancel{1} - \cancel{1} + 4 + 2 + \cancel{2} + \cancel{2} + 2 + 1 + 1 = 10 \end{aligned}$$

With only  $\mathbf{i}$ -admissible terms.

# Examples where $G = \widehat{SL}(2)$ , $\mathbf{i} = \underbrace{(2, 1, \dots, 2, 1)}_{2n}$

Question: Bounded bijections vs.  $\mathbf{i}$ -admissible bounded bijections?

$$c(n) := c_{u,v}^w, \quad w = \underbrace{s_2 s_1 \cdots s_2 s_1}_{2n}, \quad u = v = \underbrace{\cdots s_2 s_1}_n$$

	#\{Bounded bijections\}	#\{\mathbf{i}-admissible bounded bijections\}
$c(2)$	6	3
$c(3)$	20	7
$c(4)$	190	19
$c(5)$	1110	51
$c(6)$	14348	141
$c(n)$	??	largest coeff of $(1 + x + x^2)^n$ ??

Remark:  $c(n) = \binom{2n}{n}$

# General rank 2 group $G$ .

Let  $a, b \neq 0$ ,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -a \\ -b & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Define “Chebyshev” sequences

$$A_k := aB_{k-1} - A_{k-2} \quad \text{and} \quad B_k := bA_{k-1} - B_{k-2}$$

where  $A_0 = B_0 = 0$  and  $A_1 = B_1 = 1$ . Let

$$u_k = \underbrace{\cdots s_2 s_1}_k \quad \text{and} \quad v_k = \underbrace{\cdots s_1 s_2}_k.$$

Corollary: Binomial formula (Kitchloo, 2008)

The rank 2 Littlewood-Richardson coefficients

$$c_{u_k, u_{n-k}}^{u_n} = c_{v_{k+1}, v_{n-k}}^{v_{n+1}} = \frac{A_n \cdots A_2 A_1}{(A_k \cdots A_2 A_1)(A_{n-k} \cdots A_2 A_1)}$$

$$c_{v_k, v_{n-k}}^{v_n} = c_{u_{k+1}, u_{n-k}}^{u_{n+1}} = \frac{B_n \cdots B_2 B_1}{(B_k \cdots B_2 B_1)(B_{n-k} \cdots B_2 B_1)}$$



Examples where  $G = SL(4)$ ,  $\mathbf{i} = (3, 2, 1, 3, 2)$ 

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Compute  $c_{u,v}^w$  where

$$w = s_3 s_2 s_1 s_3 s_2, \quad u = s_3 s_1 s_2 = s_1 s_3 s_2, \quad v = s_3 s_1 = s_1 s_3.$$

- Totaling over all bounded bijections, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{u,v}^w &= \langle \alpha_3, \alpha_1^\vee \rangle \cdot \langle s_3(\alpha_4), \alpha_2^\vee \rangle + \langle \alpha_3, \alpha_2^\vee \rangle \cdot \langle s_2 s_3(\alpha_4), \alpha_1^\vee \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle \alpha_3, \alpha_2^\vee \rangle - \langle \alpha_3, \alpha_2^\vee \rangle \\ &= 0 - 1 + 1 + 1 = 1 \end{aligned}$$

- In this case:  $\{\text{Bounded bijections}\} = \{\mathbf{i}\text{-admissible bounded bijections}\}$
- In general, the  $\mathbf{i}$ -admissible formula is not nonnegative.

# Construction of Kostant and Kumar

Recall the the matrix  $A$  gives an action of the Coxeter group  $W$  on  $V$  and thus  $W$  acts on the algebras  $S := S(V)$  and  $Q := Q(V)$  (polynomials and rational functions).

Define

$$Q_W := Q \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W]$$

with product structure

$$(q_1 w_1)(q_2 w_2) := q_1 w_1(q_2) w_1 w_2$$

and a  $Q$ -linear coproduct

$$\Delta : Q_W \rightarrow Q_W \otimes_Q Q_W$$

by

$$\Delta(qw) := qw \otimes w = w \otimes qw.$$

For any  $i \in I$ , define

$$x_i := \frac{1}{\alpha_i}(s_i - 1).$$

If  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m) \in R(w)$ , then define  $x_w := x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_m}$ .

If  $A$  is a Cartan matrix of some Kac-Moody group  $G$ , then (by Kostant-Kumar 1986)

- $x_w$  is independent of  $\mathbf{i} \in R(w)$
- $x_i^2 = 0 \quad \forall i \in I$ .

Define the Nil-Hecke ring  $H_W := \bigoplus_{w \in W} S x_w \subseteq Q_W$ .

We have that  $\Delta(H_W) \subseteq H_W \otimes_S H_W$  (Kostant-Kumar, 1986).

Define the coproduct structure constants  $p_{u,v}^w \in S$  by

$$\Delta(x_w) = \sum_{u,v \in W} p_{u,v}^w x_u \otimes x_v.$$

Consider the  $T$ -equivariant cohomology ring  $H_T^*(G/B) \simeq \bigoplus_{w \in W} S \sigma_w$  and L-R coefficients  $c_{u,v}^w \in S$  defined by the cup product

$$\sigma_u \cdot \sigma_v = \sum_{w \in W} c_{u,v}^w \sigma_w.$$

**Theorem: Kostant-Kumar 1986**

The coefficients  $c_{u,v}^w = p_{u,v}^w$ .