

Solutions to Problem Set 6

1. (Problem 3.12.1 in text)

(a) Let $u(x, t)$ satisfy the equation

$$u_{tt} = c^2 u_{xx} \quad , \quad c = \text{constant} \quad ,$$

in some region of the (x, t) plane. Show that the quantity $(u_t - cu_x)$ is constant along each straight line defined by $x - ct = \text{constant}$, and that $(u_t + cu_x)$ is constant along each straight line of the form $x + ct = \text{constant}$. These straight lines are called *characteristics*; we will refer to typical members of the two families as C_+ and C_- curves, respectively; thus $(x - ct = \text{constant})$ is a C_+ curve.

Set

$$(F.1) \quad \phi_+(x, t) = u_t(x, t) - cu_x(x, t) \quad .$$

Along a C_+ curve we have

$$(F.2) \quad x = k_1 + ct$$

and so along such a curve

$$(F.3) \quad \phi_+(x, t) = \phi_+(t) = u_t(k_1 + ct, t) - cu_x(k_1 + ct, t) \quad .$$

Differentiating ϕ_+ with respect to t we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\phi_+}{dt} &= cu_{tx} + u_{tt} - c^2 u_{xx} - cu_{tx} \\ &= u_{tt} - c^2 u_{xx} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

since u satisfies the wave equation. Therefore, ϕ_+ is constant along any curve of the form (F.2).

Similarly, if we set

$$(F.4) \quad \phi_-(x, t) = u_t(x, t) + cu_x(x, t) \quad .$$

Then along the curve

$$(F.5) \quad x = k_2 - ct$$

we have

$$\phi_-(x, t) = \phi_-(t) = u_t(k_2 - ct, t) + cu_x(k_2 - ct, t)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\phi_-}{dt} &= -cu_{tx} + u_{tt} - c^2 u_{xx} + cu_{tx} \\ &= u_{tt} - c^2 u_{xx} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, ϕ_- is constant along any curve of the form (F.5). □

(b) Let $u(x, 0)$ and $u_t(x, 0)$ be prescribed for all values of x between $-\infty$ and $+\infty$, and let (x_o, t_o) be some point in the (x, t) plane, with $t_o > 0$. Draw the C_+ and C_- curves through (x_o, t_o) and let A and B denote, respectively, their intercepts with the x -axis. Use the properties of C_+ and C_- derived in part (a) to determine $u_t(x_o, t_o)$ in terms of initial data at points $(A, 0)$ and $(B, 0)$. Using a similar technique to obtain $u_t(x_o, \tau)$ with $0 < \tau < t_o$, determine $u(x_o, t_o)$ by integration with respect to τ , and compare with Equation (3.7). Observe that this “method of characteristics” again shows that $u(x_o, t_o)$ depends only on that part of the initial data between points $(A, 0)$ and $(B, 0)$.

Let

$$(F.6) \quad k_{\pm} = x_o \mp ct_o$$

and set

$$(F.7) \quad c_{\pm} = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x \mp ct = k_{\pm}\}$$

From part (a) we know that

$$(F.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_+ &= u_t(x, t) - cu_x(x, t) \\ \phi_- &= u_t(x, t) + cu_x(x, t) \end{aligned}$$

are, respectively, constant along the lines c_+ and c_- .

At the point $(A, 0)$ where the line c_+ intersects the x -axis we have

$$(F.9) \quad \phi_+ = u_t(A, 0) - cu_x(A, 0)$$

and so the constant ϕ_+ is completely determined by the Cauchy data at the point $(A, 0)$.

Similarly, at the point $(B, 0)$ where the line c_- intersects the x -axis we have

$$(F.10) \quad \phi_- = u_t(B, 0) + cu_x(B, 0)$$

and so the constant ϕ_- is completely determined by the Cauchy data at the point $(B, 0)$.

Using (F.9) and (F.10) we can rewrite equations (F.8) as

$$(F.11) \quad \begin{aligned} u_t(A, 0) - cu_x(A, 0) &= u_t(x_o, t_o) - cu_x(x_o, t_o) \\ u_t(B, 0) + cu_x(B, 0) &= u_t(x_o, t_o) + cu_x(x_o, t_o) \end{aligned}$$

Adding the second equation to the first and then dividing by 2 we obtain

$$(F.12) \quad u_t(x_o, t_o) = \frac{1}{2}(u_t(A, 0) + u_t(B, 0) - cu_x(A, 0) + cu_x(B, 0))$$

We can be a even more explicit than this. For the value of A is precisely $k_+ = x_o - ct_o$, and the value of B is precisely $k_- = x_o + ct_o$. Thus,

$$(F.13) \quad \begin{aligned} u_t(x_o, t_o) &= \frac{1}{2}(u_t(x_o - ct_o, 0) + u_t(x_o + ct_o, 0)) \\ &\quad + \frac{c}{2}(-u_x(x_o - ct_o, 0) + u_x(x_o + ct_o, 0)) \end{aligned}$$

This equation is perfectly valid for any choice of x_o and t_o , and so we can write

$$(F.14) \quad \begin{aligned} u_t(x_o, t) &= \frac{1}{2}(u_t(x_o - ct, 0) + u_t(x_o + ct, 0)) \\ &\quad + \frac{c}{2}(-u_x(x_o - ct, 0) + u_x(x_o + ct, 0)) \end{aligned}$$

Integrating both sides with respect to t from 0 to t_o we obtain

$$(F.15) \quad \begin{aligned} u(x_o, t_o) - u(x_o, 0) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_o} u_t(x_o - ct, 0) dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_o} u_t(x_o + ct, 0) dt \\ &\quad - \frac{c}{2} \int_0^{t_o} u_x(x_o - ct, 0) dt + \frac{c}{2} \int_0^{t_o} u_x(x_o + ct, 0) dt \end{aligned}$$

If we make a change of variables $\zeta = x_o - ct$ in the first and third integrals and a change of variables $\zeta = x_o + ct$ in the second and fourth integrals, the (F.15) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(x_o, t_o) - u(x_o, 0) &= -\frac{1}{2c} \int_{x_o}^{x_o - ct_o} u_t(\zeta, 0) d\zeta + \frac{1}{2c} \int_{x_o}^{x_o + ct_o} u_t(\zeta, 0) d\zeta \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_o}^{x_o - ct_o} u_x(\zeta, 0) d\zeta + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_o}^{x_o + ct_o} u_x(\zeta, 0) d\zeta \\
 &= \frac{1}{2c} \int_{x_o - ct_o}^{x_o + ct_o} u_t(\zeta, 0) d\zeta \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} u(\zeta, 0) \Big|_{x_o}^{x_o - ct_o} + \frac{1}{2} u(\zeta, 0) \Big|_{x_o}^{x_o + ct_o} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2c} \int_{x_o - ct_o}^{x_o + ct_o} u_t(\zeta, 0) d\zeta \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (u(x_o - ct_o) + u(x_o + ct_o)) + u(x_o, 0)
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$u(x_o, t_o) = \frac{1}{2} (u(x_o - ct_o) + u(x_o + ct_o)) + \frac{1}{2c} \int_{x_o - ct_o}^{x_o + ct_o} u_t(\zeta, 0) d\zeta$$

which is precisely Equation (3.7). □

2. (Problem 5.7.1 in text)

Let $u(x, y)$ satisfy

$$(F.16) \quad u_{xx} - 2u_{xy} + u_{yy} + 3u_x - u + 1 = 0 \quad .$$

The discriminant for this PDE is

$$(F.17) \quad (A_{xy})^2 - A_{xx}A_{yy} = (-1)^2 - (1)(1) = 0$$

and so this equation is parabolic.

Now under a general coordinate transformation

$$(F.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \zeta &= \tilde{\zeta}(x, y) \\ \eta &= \tilde{\eta}(x, y) \\ x &= \tilde{x}(\zeta, \eta) \\ y &= \tilde{y}(\zeta, \eta) \end{aligned}$$

a second order linear PDE

$$(F.19) \quad A_{xx}u_{xx} + 2A_{xy}u_{xy} + A_{yy}u_{yy} + B_xu_x + B_yu_y + Cu + F = 0$$

becomes

$$(F.20) \quad \tilde{A}_{\zeta\zeta}U_{\zeta\zeta} + 2\tilde{A}_{\zeta\eta}U_{\zeta\eta} + \tilde{A}_{\eta\eta}U_{\eta\eta} + \tilde{B}_{\zeta}U_{\zeta} + \tilde{B}_{\eta}U_{\eta} + \tilde{C}U + \tilde{F} = 0$$

where

$$U(\zeta, \eta) = u(\tilde{x}(\zeta, \eta), \tilde{y}(\zeta, \eta))$$

and

$$(F.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_{\zeta\zeta} &= A_{xx}\tilde{\zeta}_x\tilde{\zeta}_x + 2A_{xy}\tilde{\zeta}_x\tilde{\zeta}_y + A_{yy}\tilde{\zeta}_y\tilde{\zeta}_y \\ \tilde{A}_{\zeta\eta} &= A_{xx}\tilde{\zeta}_x\tilde{\eta}_x + A_{xy}(\tilde{\zeta}_x\tilde{\eta}_y + \tilde{\zeta}_y\tilde{\eta}_x) + A_{yy}\tilde{\zeta}_y\tilde{\eta}_y \\ \tilde{A}_{\eta\eta} &= A_{xx}\tilde{\eta}_x\tilde{\eta}_x + 2A_{xy}\tilde{\eta}_x\tilde{\eta}_y + A_{yy}\tilde{\eta}_y\tilde{\eta}_y \\ \tilde{B}_{\zeta} &= A_{xx}\tilde{\zeta}_{xx} + 2A_{xy}\tilde{\zeta}_{xy} + A_{yy}\tilde{\zeta}_{yy} + B_x\tilde{\zeta}_x + B_y\tilde{\zeta}_y \\ \tilde{B}_{\eta} &= A_{xx}\tilde{\eta}_{xx} + 2A_{xy}\tilde{\eta}_{xy} + A_{yy}\tilde{\eta}_{yy} + B_x\tilde{\eta}_x + B_y\tilde{\eta}_y \\ \tilde{C}(\zeta, \eta) &= C(\tilde{x}(\zeta, \eta), \tilde{y}(\zeta, \eta)) \\ \tilde{F}(\zeta, \eta) &= F(\tilde{x}(\zeta, \eta), \tilde{y}(\zeta, \eta)) \quad . \end{aligned}$$

Thus, under a general coordinate transformation (F.16) takes the form (F.20) with

$$(F.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_{\zeta\zeta} &= \tilde{\zeta}_x\tilde{\zeta}_x - 2\tilde{\zeta}_x\tilde{\zeta}_y + \tilde{\zeta}_y\tilde{\zeta}_y \\ \tilde{A}_{\zeta\eta} &= \tilde{\zeta}_x\tilde{\eta}_x - (\tilde{\zeta}_x\tilde{\eta}_y + \tilde{\zeta}_y\tilde{\eta}_x) + \tilde{\zeta}_y\tilde{\eta}_y \\ \tilde{A}_{\eta\eta} &= \tilde{\eta}_x\tilde{\eta}_x - 2\tilde{\eta}_x\tilde{\eta}_y + \tilde{\eta}_y\tilde{\eta}_y \\ \tilde{B}_{\zeta} &= \tilde{\zeta}_{xx} - 2\tilde{\zeta}_{xy} + \tilde{\zeta}_{yy} + 3\tilde{\zeta}_x \\ \tilde{B}_{\eta} &= \tilde{\eta}_{xx} - 2\tilde{\eta}_{xy} + \tilde{\eta}_{yy} + 3\tilde{\eta}_x \\ \tilde{C}(\zeta, \eta) &= -1 \\ \tilde{F}(\zeta, \eta) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

In order to put (F.16) in standard form we must find a coordinate transformation for which $0 = \tilde{A}_{\zeta\zeta} = \tilde{A}_{\zeta\eta}$.

Let us represent the level curves of $\tilde{\zeta}$ as the graph of a function f of x . Then the condition

$$(F.23) \quad \tilde{\zeta}(x, f(x)) = \text{const}$$

leads to the relation

$$(F.24) \quad \tilde{\zeta}_x = -f'\tilde{\zeta}_y$$

Using (F.24) to eliminate the expressions $\tilde{\zeta}_x$ in

$$0 = \tilde{A}_{\zeta\zeta} = \tilde{\zeta}_x \tilde{\zeta}_x - 2\tilde{\zeta}_x \tilde{\zeta}_y + \tilde{\zeta}_y \tilde{\zeta}_y$$

we obtain

$$0 = \left((f')^2 + 2f' + 1 \right) \tilde{\zeta}_y \tilde{\eta}_y$$

or

$$(F.25) \quad (f')^2 + 2f' + 1 = 0 \quad .$$

Solving (F.25) for f' we find

$$f' = -1$$

or

$$f(x) = -x + c \quad .$$

Thus, we should choose the coordinate ζ so that the level curves $\tilde{\zeta}(x, y) = \text{const}$ coincide with the lines

$$y = -x + c \quad .$$

Therefore, we set

$$(F.26) \quad \tilde{\zeta}(x, y) = x + y \quad .$$

Since the original PDE is parabolic, there is only one family of characteristics, and so we will not be able to find another coordinate η such that $\tilde{A}_{\eta\eta} = 0$. Therefore, we shall not even bother looking for a better choice for a second coordinate and we'll simply set $\eta = y$.

Let us now write down the original PDE in terms of our new coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(x, y) &= x + y \\ \eta(x, y) &= y \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_{\zeta\zeta} &= A_{xx} \tilde{\zeta}_x \tilde{\zeta}_x + 2A_{xy} \tilde{\zeta}_x \tilde{\zeta}_y + A_{yy} \tilde{\zeta}_y \tilde{\zeta}_y = 1 - 2 + 1 = 0 \\ \tilde{A}_{\zeta\eta} &= A_{xx} \tilde{\zeta}_x \tilde{\eta}_x + A_{xy} \left(\tilde{\zeta}_x \tilde{\eta}_y + \tilde{\zeta}_y \tilde{\eta}_x \right) + A_{yy} \tilde{\zeta}_y \tilde{\eta}_y = 0 - (1 + 0) + 1 = 0 \\ \tilde{A}_{\eta\eta} &= A_{xx} \tilde{\eta}_x \tilde{\eta}_x + 2A_{xy} \tilde{\eta}_x \tilde{\eta}_y + A_{yy} \tilde{\eta}_y \tilde{\eta}_y = 0 + 0 + 1 = 1 \\ \tilde{B}_{\zeta} &= A_{xx} \tilde{\zeta}_{xx} + 2A_{xy} \tilde{\zeta}_{xy} + A_{yy} \tilde{\zeta}_{yy} + B_x \tilde{\zeta}_x + B_y \tilde{\zeta}_y = 0 + 0 + 0 + 3 + 0 = 3 \\ \tilde{B}_{\eta} &= A_{xx} \tilde{\eta}_{xx} + 2A_{xy} \tilde{\eta}_{xy} + A_{yy} \tilde{\eta}_{yy} + B_x \tilde{\eta}_x + B_y \tilde{\eta}_y = 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 = 0 \\ \tilde{C}(\zeta, \eta) &= -1 \\ \tilde{F}(\zeta, \eta) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (F.20) becomes

$$U_{\eta\eta} + 3U_{\zeta} - U + 1 = 0$$

or

$$(F.27) \quad U_{\eta\eta} + 3U_{\zeta} + U_{\eta} - U + 1 = 0 \quad .$$

Equation (F.16) is now in standard form.

(a)

Let us now try to construct the power series solution corresponding to the following Cauchy data:

$$(F.28) \quad \begin{aligned} u(x, 0) &= 2 \\ u_y(x, 0) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Solving the PDE (F.16) for u_{yy} we have

$$(F.29) \quad u_{yy} = 2u_{xy} - u_{xx} - 3u_x + u - 1 \quad .$$

Using the data (F.28) we can explicitly evaluate the right hand side of (F.29) along the x -axis;

$$(F.30) \quad \begin{aligned} v_{yy}(x, 0) &= (2u_{xy} - u_{xx} - 3u_x + u - 1)|_{y=0} \\ &= \left(2\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u_y - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}u - 3\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u + u - 1\right)\Big|_{y=0} \\ &= 0 - 0 - 0 + 2 - 1 \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

If we can now differentiate (F.29) with respect to y and evaluate the result along the x -axis

$$\begin{aligned} u_{yyy}(x, 0) &= (2u_{xyy} - u_{xxy} - 3u_{xy} + u_y)|_{y=0} \\ &= \left(2\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u_{yy} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}u_y - 3\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u_y + u_y\right)\Big|_{y=0} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} u_{yyyy} &= (2u_{xyyy} - u_{xxyy} - 3u_{xyy} + u_{yy})|_{y=0} \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

The following pattern emerges

$$\frac{\partial^n u}{\partial y^n}\Big|_{y=0} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 2k \\ 0 & \text{if } n = 2k + 1 \end{cases}$$

We thus arrive at the following power series solution to (F.16) and (F.28).

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, y) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^n}{n!} \left(\frac{\partial^n u}{\partial y^n}\right)\Big|_{(x,0)} \\ &= u(x, 0) + yu_y(x, 0) + \frac{1}{2}y^2u_{yy}(x, 0) + \frac{1}{6}y^3u_{yyy}(x, 0) + \\ &= 2 + \frac{1}{2}y^2 + \frac{1}{4!}y^4 + \frac{1}{6!}y^6 + \cdots \\ &= 1 + \cosh(y) \end{aligned}$$

(b)

Since the line $x + y = 0$ is a characteristic for (F.16), the Cauchy problem corresponding to the data $u = 2$, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0$ along the line $x + y$ is not well posed.

□

3. (Problem 5.7.3(b) in text)

Consider the PDE

$$(F.31) \quad \phi_{xx} + y\phi_{yy} - x\phi_y + y = 0 \quad .$$

The discriminant of this PDE is

$$(F.32) \quad (A_{xy})^2 - A_{xx}A_{yy} = 0 - (1)(y) = -y \quad .$$

We thus see that (F.31) is hyperbolic in the region where $y < 0$, parabolic in the region where $y = 0$, and elliptic in the region where $y > 0$.

Case 1: $y < 0$ (Hyperbolic Region)

We seek a coordinate transformation

$$(F.33) \quad \begin{aligned} \zeta &= \tilde{\zeta}(x, y) \\ \eta &= \tilde{\eta}(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

such that (F.31) takes the form

$$\Phi_{\zeta\eta} + B_{\zeta}\Phi_{\zeta} + B_{\eta}\Phi_{\eta} + \tilde{y}(\zeta, \eta) = 0 \quad .$$

Under an arbitrary coordinate transformation the coefficients of the second order terms become

$$(F.34) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{\zeta\eta} &= A_{xx}\tilde{\zeta}_x\tilde{\zeta}_x + 2A_{xy}\tilde{\zeta}_x\tilde{\zeta}_y + A_{yy}\tilde{\zeta}_y\tilde{\zeta}_y \\ &= \left(\tilde{\zeta}_x\tilde{\eta}_x\right) + y\left(\tilde{\zeta}_y\tilde{\eta}_y\right) \\ A_{\zeta\zeta} &= A_{xx}\tilde{\zeta}_x\tilde{\eta}_x + A_{xy}\tilde{\zeta}_x\tilde{\eta}_y + A_{xy}\tilde{\zeta}_y\tilde{\eta}_x + A_{yy}\tilde{\zeta}_y\tilde{\eta}_y \\ &= \left(\tilde{\zeta}_x\right)^2 + y\left(\tilde{\zeta}_y\right)^2 \\ A_{\eta\eta} &= A_{xx}\tilde{\eta}_x\tilde{\eta}_x + 2A_{xy}\tilde{\eta}_x\tilde{\eta}_y + A_{yy}\tilde{\eta}_y\tilde{\eta}_y \\ &= \left(\tilde{\eta}_x\right)^2 + y\left(\tilde{\eta}_y\right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Let us first try to choose $\tilde{\eta}(x, y)$ so that $A_{\eta\eta}$ vanishes identically.

If the level curve $\tilde{\eta}(x, y) = \text{const}$ of the new coordinate η corresponds to the graph $x = f(y)$, then we have

$$(F.35) \quad \tilde{\eta}_y = -f'(y)\tilde{\eta}_x$$

and so (F.34) becomes

$$(F.36) \quad A_{\eta\eta} = \left(1 + y(f')^2\right)(\tilde{\eta}_x)^2 \quad .$$

Setting $A_{\eta\eta} = 0$, then yields

$$f'(y) = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{-y}}$$

so

$$(F.37) \quad x = f(y) = 2\sqrt{-y} + C \quad .$$

Corresponding to these two independent solutions for $f(y)$, we adopt the following coordinates

$$(F.38) \quad \begin{aligned} \zeta &= x + 2\sqrt{-y} \\ \eta &= x - 2\sqrt{-y} \end{aligned} \quad .$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\zeta}_x = \tilde{\eta}_x &= 1 \\ \tilde{\zeta}_y = -\tilde{\eta}_y &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-y}} \\ \tilde{\zeta}_{xx} = \tilde{\eta}_{xx} &= 0 \\ \tilde{\zeta}_{yy} = -\tilde{\eta}_{yy} &= \frac{1}{2}[-y]^{-3/2} \end{aligned}$$

We now compute the coefficients of the PDE relative to the coordinates (F.38).

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\zeta\zeta} &= \left(\tilde{\zeta}_x\right)^2 + y\left(\tilde{\zeta}_y\right)^2 \\ &= (1)^2 + y\left(\frac{1}{-y}\right)^2 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\zeta\eta} &= \left(\tilde{\zeta}_x\tilde{\eta}_x\right) + y\left(\tilde{\zeta}_y\tilde{\eta}_y\right) \\ &= (1) + y\left(\frac{1}{y}\right) \\ &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\eta\eta} &= \left(\tilde{\eta}_x\right)^2 - y\left(\tilde{\eta}_y\right)^2 \\ &= (1)^2 - y\left(\frac{1}{y}\right)^2 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\zeta} &= \tilde{\zeta}_{xx} + y\tilde{\zeta}_{yy} - x\zeta_y \\ &= 0 + y\left(-\frac{1}{2}[-y]^{-3/2}\right) + \frac{x}{\sqrt{-y}} \\ &= \frac{1+2x}{2\sqrt{-y}} \\ &= \frac{2(1+\zeta+\eta)}{\zeta-\eta} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\eta} &= \tilde{\eta}_{xx} + y\tilde{\eta}_{yy} - x\eta_y \\ &= 0 + y\left(\frac{1}{2}[-y]^{-3/2}\right) - \frac{x}{\sqrt{-y}} \\ &= \\ &= \frac{-2(1+\zeta+\eta)}{\zeta-\eta} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (F.31) is equivalent to

$$2\Phi_{\zeta\eta} + \frac{2(1+\zeta+\eta)}{\zeta-\eta}\Phi_{\zeta} - \frac{2(1+\zeta+\eta)}{\zeta-\eta}\Phi_{\eta} - \frac{(\zeta-\eta)^2}{16} = 0$$

or

$$(F.39) \quad \Phi_{\zeta\eta} + \frac{(1+\zeta+\eta)}{\zeta-\eta}\Phi_{\zeta} - \frac{(1+\zeta+\eta)}{\zeta-\eta}\Phi_{\eta} - \frac{(\zeta-\eta)^2}{32} = 0 \quad .$$

Case 2: $y > 0$ (Elliptic Region)

We now try to find a coordinate transformation such that

$$(F.40) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= A_{\zeta\eta} = \left(\tilde{\zeta}_x\tilde{\eta}_x\right) + y\left(\tilde{\zeta}_y\tilde{\eta}_y\right) \\ 1 &= A_{\zeta\zeta} = \left(\tilde{\zeta}_x\right)^2 + y\left(\tilde{\zeta}_y\right)^2 \\ 1 &= A_{\eta\eta} = \left(\tilde{\eta}_x\right)^2 + y\left(\tilde{\eta}_y\right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

The simplest way to satisfy the first two equations is to take

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\eta}(x, y) &= f(y) \\ \tilde{\zeta}(x, y) &= x \quad . \end{aligned}$$

Let us represent level curve of $\tilde{\eta}$. The third equation then implies

$$1 = y(f')^2$$

or

$$f' = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} \quad .$$

We can thus take

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\zeta}(x, y) &= x \\ \tilde{\eta}(x, y) &= 2\sqrt{y} \quad .\end{aligned}$$

We then find

$$\begin{aligned}A_{\zeta\zeta} &= (\tilde{\zeta}_x)^2 + y(\tilde{\zeta}_y)^2 \\ &= 1\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}A_{\zeta\eta} &= (\tilde{\zeta}_x\tilde{\eta}_x) + y(\tilde{\zeta}_y\tilde{\eta}_y) \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}A_{\eta\eta} &= (\tilde{\eta}_x)^2 + y(\tilde{\eta}_y)^2 \\ &= y\left(\frac{1}{y}\right) \\ &= 1\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}B_{\zeta} &= \tilde{\zeta}_{xx} + y\tilde{\zeta}_{yy} - x\tilde{\zeta}_y \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}B_{\eta} &= \tilde{\eta}_{xx} + y\tilde{\eta}_{yy} - x\tilde{\eta}_y \\ &= y\left(\frac{1}{2}[y]^{-3/2}\right) + \frac{x}{\sqrt{y}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{y}} + \frac{x}{\sqrt{y}} \\ &= \frac{1+2\zeta}{\eta}\end{aligned}$$

Thus, (F.31) is equivalent to

$$\Phi_{\zeta\zeta} + \Phi_{\eta\eta} + \frac{1+2\zeta}{\eta}\Phi_{\eta} + \frac{\eta^2}{4} = 0 \quad .$$

Alternatively, one could use the formulas developed in Lecture 16. To make contact with the formulas there, we take

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 &= y \\ x_2 &= x \\ y_1 &= \eta \\ y_2 &= \zeta\end{aligned}$$

and set

$$\begin{aligned} f' &= \frac{A_{12} + \sqrt{A_{11}A_{22} - (A_{12})^2}}{A_{11}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} \\ g' &= \frac{A_{12} - \sqrt{A_{11}A_{22} - (A_{12})^2}}{A_{11}} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} \end{aligned}$$

and so we have

$$\begin{aligned} x = f(y) &= 2\sqrt{y} + \text{const} \\ x = g(y) &= -2\sqrt{y} + \text{const} \end{aligned}$$

We might thus take

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\zeta}(x, y) &= x + 2\sqrt{y} \\ \tilde{\eta}(x, y) &= x - 2\sqrt{y} \end{aligned}$$

One then finds

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\zeta\zeta} &= (\tilde{\zeta}_x)^2 + y(\tilde{\zeta}_y)^2 \\ &= 1 + 1 \\ &= 2 \\ A_{\zeta\eta} &= (\tilde{\zeta}_x\tilde{\eta}_x) + y(\tilde{\zeta}_y\tilde{\eta}_y) \\ &= 1 - 1 \\ &= 0 \\ A_{\eta\eta} &= (\tilde{\eta}_x)^2 + y(\tilde{\eta}_y)^2 \\ &= 1 + 1 \\ &= 2 \\ B_{\zeta} &= \tilde{\zeta}_{xx} + y\tilde{\zeta}_{yy} - x\zeta_y \\ &= 0 + y\left(-\frac{1}{2}[y]^{-3/2}\right) - \frac{x}{\sqrt{y}} \\ &= \frac{-1 + 2x}{2\sqrt{y}} \\ &= \frac{-2(1 + \zeta + \eta)}{\zeta - \eta} \\ B_{\eta} &= \tilde{\eta}_{xx} + y\tilde{\eta}_{yy} - x\eta_y \\ &= 0 + y\left(\frac{1}{2}[y]^{-3/2}\right) + \frac{x}{\sqrt{-y}} \\ &= \\ &= \frac{2(1 + \zeta + \eta)}{\zeta - \eta} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (F.31) is equivalent to

$$2\Phi_{\zeta\eta} - \frac{2(1+\zeta+\eta)}{\zeta-\eta}\Phi_{\zeta} + \frac{2(1+\zeta+\eta)}{\zeta-\eta}\Phi_{\eta} + \frac{(\zeta-\eta)^2}{16} = 0$$

or

$$\Phi_{\zeta\eta} - \frac{(1+\zeta+\eta)}{\zeta-\eta}\Phi_{\zeta} + \frac{(1+\zeta+\eta)}{\zeta-\eta}\Phi_{\eta} + \frac{(\zeta-\eta)^2}{32} = 0 \quad .$$

□

4. (Problem 5.7.3(d) in text)

Consider the PDE

$$(F.41) \quad \phi_{xy} + y\phi_{yy} + \sin(x+y) = 0 \quad .$$

Comparing this equation with the general form of a second order linear equation

$$(F.42) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^2 A_{ij}\phi_{x_i x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^2 B_i\phi_{x_i} + C\phi + F = 0$$

we see that we must take

$$(F.43) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{11} &= 0 \\ A_{12} &= \frac{1}{2} \\ A_{22} &= y \\ B_1 &= 0 \\ B_2 &= 0 \\ C &= 0 \\ F &= \sin(x+y) \quad . \end{aligned}$$

The discriminant of (F.41) is thus

$$(F.44) \quad (A_{12})^2 - A_{11}A_{22} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - (0)(y) = \frac{1}{4} > 0$$

and so the PDE (F.41) is hyperbolic. This means there must exist a coordinate transformation that puts (F.41) in the form

$$(F.45) \quad A'_{12}\Phi_{y_1 y_2} + \sum_{i=1}^2 B'_i\Phi_{y_i} + F' = 0 \quad .$$

Now for a general nonsingular coordinate transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta &= \tilde{\zeta}(x, y) \\ \eta &= \tilde{\eta}(x, y) \\ x &= \tilde{x}(\zeta, \eta) \\ y &= \tilde{y}(\zeta, \eta) \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(F.46) \quad \begin{aligned} A'_{\zeta\zeta} &= A_{11}\tilde{\zeta}_x\tilde{\zeta}_x + 2A_{12}\tilde{\zeta}_x\tilde{\zeta}_y + A_{22}\tilde{\zeta}_y\tilde{\zeta}_y \\ &= \tilde{\zeta}_x\tilde{\zeta}_y + y\tilde{\zeta}_y\tilde{\zeta}_y \\ A'_{\zeta\eta} &= A_{11}\tilde{\zeta}_x\tilde{\eta}_x + A_{12}(\tilde{\zeta}_x\tilde{\eta}_y + \tilde{\zeta}_y\tilde{\eta}_x) + A_{22}\tilde{\zeta}_y\tilde{\eta}_y \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\zeta}_x\tilde{\eta}_y + \tilde{\zeta}_y\tilde{\eta}_x) + y\tilde{\zeta}_y\tilde{\eta}_y \\ A'_{\eta\eta} &= A_{11}\tilde{\eta}_x\tilde{\eta}_x + 2A_{12}\tilde{\eta}_x\tilde{\eta}_y + A_{22}\tilde{\eta}_y\tilde{\eta}_y \\ &= \tilde{\eta}_x\tilde{\eta}_y + y\tilde{\eta}_y\tilde{\eta}_y \\ B'_{\zeta} &= A_{11}\tilde{\zeta}_{xx} + 2A_{12}\tilde{\zeta}_{xy} + A_{22}\tilde{\zeta}_{yy} + B_1\tilde{\zeta}_x + B_2\tilde{\zeta}_y \\ &= \tilde{\zeta}_{xy} + y\tilde{\zeta}_{yy} \\ B'_{\eta} &= A_{11}\tilde{\eta}_{xx} + 2A_{12}\tilde{\eta}_{xy} + A_{22}\tilde{\eta}_{yy} + B_1\tilde{\eta}_x + B_2\tilde{\eta}_y \\ &= \tilde{\eta}_{xy} + y\tilde{\eta}_{yy} \end{aligned}$$

Of course since $A_{11} = 0$, already we can simply take

$$(F.47) \quad \tilde{\zeta}(x, y) = x \quad ;$$

but note that (F.46) tells us that this is consistent.

Let's try to eliminate $A'_{\eta\eta}$. Let $f(x)$ be a function whose graph corresponds the level curve $\tilde{\eta}(x, y) = \text{const}$. We then have

$$(F.48) \quad \tilde{\eta}(x, f(x)) = \text{const}$$

which when differentiated with respect to x yields

$$(F.49) \quad \tilde{\eta}_x = -f' \tilde{\eta}_y \quad .$$

Plugging this into the expression (F.46) for $A'_{\eta\eta}$ and setting the resulting expression equal to zero yields

$$(F.50) \quad 0 = -f' \tilde{\eta}_y \tilde{\eta}_y + y \tilde{\eta}_y \tilde{\eta}_y = (-f' + f) \tilde{\eta}_y \tilde{\eta}_y$$

or

$$(F.51) \quad f'(x) - f(x) = 0 \quad .$$

The general solution to (F.51) is

$$(F.52) \quad f(x) = Ce^x .$$

Setting $y = f(x)$ and $\eta = C$, we obtain a suitable expression for η

$$(F.53) \quad \eta = ye^{-x} \quad .$$

Inverting (F.47) and (F.53) we obtain

$$(F.54) \quad \begin{aligned} x &= \zeta \\ y &= \eta e^\zeta \quad . \end{aligned}$$

Inserting (F.47), (F.53) and (F.54) into (F.46) we find that all the coefficients A'_{ij} and B'_i vanish except

$$(F.55) \quad \begin{aligned} A'_{\zeta\eta} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\tilde{\zeta}_x \tilde{\eta}_y + \tilde{\zeta}_y \tilde{\eta}_x \right) + y \tilde{\zeta}_y \tilde{\eta}_y \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left((1) (e^{-\zeta}) + (0) (-\eta) \right) + \eta e^\zeta (0) (e^{-\zeta}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} e^{-\zeta} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B'_\eta &= \tilde{\eta}_{xy} + y \tilde{\eta}_{yy} \\ &= -e^{-\zeta} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (F.41) is equivalent to

$$(F.56) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= 2A'_{\zeta\eta} \Phi_{\zeta\eta} + B'_\eta \Phi_\eta + F(\tilde{x}(\zeta, \eta), \tilde{y}(\zeta, \eta)) \\ &= e^{-\zeta} \Phi_{\zeta\eta} - e^{-\zeta} \Phi_\eta + \sin(\zeta + \eta e^\zeta) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(F.57) \quad \Phi_{\zeta\eta} - \Phi_\eta = -e^\zeta \sin(\zeta + \eta e^\zeta) \quad .$$

To solve this equation we first integrate (to find anti-derivatives of) both sides of (F.57) with respect to η . We get

$$(F.58) \quad \Phi_\zeta - \Phi = \cos(\zeta + \eta e^\zeta) + H(\zeta)$$

Now we regard η as being fixed so that (F.58) can be viewed as an first order linear ODE for Φ_ζ ; however, in solving this ODE we must regard any constant of integration that is introduced as being potentially dependent on η .

The general solution of an ODE of the form

$$(F.59) \quad y' + p(x)y = g(x)$$

is

$$(F.60) \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{\mu(x)} \left[\int_0^x \mu(s)g(s)ds + C \right]$$

where

$$(F.61) \quad \mu(x) = e^{\int^x p(t) dt} .$$

In our case,

$$(F.62) \quad p(\zeta) = -1 \quad , \quad g(\zeta) = \cos(\zeta + \eta e^\zeta) + H(\zeta) \quad ,$$

so

$$(F.63) \quad \mu(\zeta) = e^{-\zeta}$$

and

$$(F.64) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi(\zeta, \eta) &= \frac{1}{e^{-\zeta}} \left[\int_0^\zeta e^{-\alpha} (\cos(\alpha + \eta e^\alpha) + H(\alpha)) d\alpha + C(\eta) \right] \\ &= e^\zeta \int_0^\zeta e^{-\alpha} \cos(\alpha + \eta e^\alpha) d\alpha + e^\zeta F(\eta) + G(\zeta) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(F.65) \quad \begin{aligned} F(\eta) &= C(\eta) \\ G(\zeta) &= e^\zeta \int_0^\zeta e^{-\alpha} H(\alpha) d\alpha \end{aligned}$$

can both be regarded as arbitrary functions. We thus write the general solution of (F.58) as

$$(F.66) \quad \Phi(\zeta, \eta) = e^\zeta \int_0^\zeta e^{-\alpha} \cos(\alpha + \eta e^\alpha) d\alpha + e^\zeta F(\eta) + G(\zeta) \quad .$$

The general solution to (F.41) is now obtained by changing back to the original variables x and y using (F.47) and (F.53). One thus obtains

$$(F.67) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi(x, y) &= \Phi(x, ye^{-x}) \\ &= e^\zeta \int_0^x e^{-\alpha} \cos(\alpha + ye^{-x} e^\alpha) d\alpha + e^x F(ye^{-x}) + G(x) \\ &= e^\zeta \int_0^x e^{-\alpha} \cos(\alpha + ye^{\alpha-x}) d\alpha + e^x F(ye^{-x}) + G(x) \quad . \end{aligned}$$

□

5. (Problem 5.7.6 in text)

Let a function $u(\zeta, \eta)$ satisfy the equation

$$(F.68) \quad u_{\zeta\eta} + \alpha u_{\zeta} + \beta u_{\eta} + \gamma u + \delta = 0$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are functions of ζ and η . In the (ζ, η) -plane, the characteristics are lines parallel to the coordinate axes. We have shown that the Cauchy data on a characteristic is not adequate for computation of the solution elsewhere; show, moreover, that Cauchy data cannot be arbitrarily prescribed on a characteristic in any event because of a compatibility condition imposed by the PDE itself. What does this result imply about Cauchy data on the (x, y) plane characteristic of Eq. (5.1)

$$A\phi_{xx} + 2B\phi_{xy} + C\phi_{yy} + D\phi_x + E\phi_y + F\phi + G = 0$$

in the hyperbolic case? In the parabolic case? Is there any similar restriction in the elliptic case?

Suppose we try to impose the following condition on a solution of (F.68)

$$\begin{aligned} u(\zeta, \eta_o) &= f(\zeta) \\ u_{\eta}(\zeta, \eta_o) &= g(\zeta) \end{aligned}$$

Then along the characteristic $\eta = \eta_o$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\zeta}(\zeta, \eta_o) &= f'(\zeta) \\ u_{\eta\zeta}(\zeta, \eta_o) &= g'(\zeta) \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating (F.68) along the characteristic $\eta = \eta_o$ thus yields

$$(F.69) \quad 0 = g' + \alpha f' + \beta g + \gamma f + \delta$$

Thus, the function g and f can **not** be completely arbitrary (they must satisfy (F.69) or else the PDE will not be satisfied along the curve $\eta = \eta_o$).

In the hyperbolic case, where there are two families of characteristics, this result implies that there will be two families of curves for which the Cauchy problem is ill-posed.

In the parabolic case, where there is a single family of characteristics, this result implies that there will be a 1-parameter family of curves for which the Cauchy problem is ill-posed.

In the elliptic case, where there are no characteristics, the Cauchy problem will always be well-posed.