

## APPENDIX A

### Solutions to Problem Set 1

#### 1. (Problem 1.2.4 in text)

Let the temperature  $\phi$  inside a solid sphere be a function of the radial distance  $r$  from the center and the time  $t$ . Show that the 3-dimensional heat equation

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} - a^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z^2} \right) = 0$$

when transformed to spherical coordinates reduces to

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = a^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial r^2} + 2 \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \right).$$

Show also that a transformation of the form  $\phi = r^\alpha \psi$ , for a suitable choice of constant  $\alpha$  reduces this equation to the form

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = C \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial r^2}.$$

Discuss also the corresponding problem of 1-dimensional heat flow in a cylinder (consider here the transformation  $\zeta = \ln|r|$ ).

□

#### 2. (Problem 1.4.1 in text)

Using Separation of Variables, investigate solutions of of the Heat Equation

$$(A.1) \quad \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} - a^2 \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} = 0 \quad .$$

when the separation constant  $C$  is taken to be the square of a complex number. Which of these solutions compatible with the boundary conditions

$$(A.2) \quad \phi(x, 0) = g(x)$$

$$(A.3) \quad \phi(0, t) = 0$$

$$(A.4) \quad \phi(L, t) = 0$$

$$(A.5) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x, t) = 0$$

Suppose

$$\phi(x, t) = F(x)G(t).$$

If we plug this expression for  $\phi(x, t)$  into the Heat Equation we find

$$\frac{\frac{dG}{dt}}{G} = a^2 \frac{\frac{d^2 F}{dx^2}}{F}.$$

Applying the usual Separation of Variables argument we thus arrive at the following pair of ordinary differential equations for  $F(x)$  and  $G(t)$ :

$$\frac{dG}{dt} = C = a^2 \frac{d^2 F}{dx^2}$$

or

$$(A.6) \quad \frac{d^2 F}{dx^2} - \frac{C}{a^2} F = 0$$

$$(A.7) \quad \frac{dG}{dt} - CG = 0.$$

*A priori*, the separation constant  $C$  could be any complex number. Let us set  $C = (\alpha + i\beta)^2$ . By letting  $\alpha$  range over the set of real numbers and  $\beta$  range over the set of non-negative real numbers, we can still obtain any complex number  $C$  we want. The general solution of

$$\frac{d^2 F}{dx^2} - \left(\frac{\alpha + i\beta}{a}\right)^2 F = 0$$

is

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= c_1 \exp\left(\frac{(\alpha + i\beta)}{a}x\right) + c_2 \exp\left(-\frac{(\alpha + i\beta)}{a}x\right) \\ &= c_1 e^{\frac{\alpha x}{a}} e^{i\frac{\beta x}{a}} + c_2 e^{-\frac{\alpha x}{a}} e^{-i\frac{\beta x}{a}} \\ &= c_1 e^{\frac{\alpha x}{a}} \left(\cos\left(\frac{\beta x}{a}\right) + i \sin\left(\frac{\beta x}{a}\right)\right) + c_2 e^{-\frac{\alpha x}{a}} \left(\cos\left(\frac{\beta x}{a}\right) - i \sin\left(\frac{\beta x}{a}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

While it is tempting to try to take the real and imaginary parts of these complex-valued solutions to obtain four families of real-valued solutions, this is not really permitted. For the differential equation satisfied by  $F(x)$  is not invariant under complex conjugation (when  $\alpha\beta \neq 0$ ), and so the complex conjugate of one solution is not necessarily a solution; hence the real and imaginary parts of a solution can not be separated without destroying the solution. We thus obtain two 2-parameter families of linearly independent complex-valued solutions:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1,\alpha,\beta} &= e^{\left(\frac{\alpha+i\beta}{a}\right)x} \\ f_{2,\alpha,\beta} &= e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha+i\beta}{a}\right)x} \end{aligned}$$

The general solution of

$$\frac{dG}{dt} - (\alpha + i\beta)^2 G = 0$$

is given by

$$G(t) = A \exp((\alpha + i\beta)^2 t)$$

And so we obtain one 2-parameter family of complex-valued solutions of (A.7):

$$g_{\alpha,\beta}(t) = e^{(\bar{\alpha}+i\beta)^2 t}$$

We now obtain, in toto, two 2-parameter families of complex-valued solutions of the Heat Equation by taking all possible products of the functions  $f_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x)$  and  $g_{\alpha,\beta}(t)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{1,\alpha,\beta}(x,t) &= e^{(\bar{\alpha}+i\beta)^2 t} e^{\left(\frac{\alpha+i\beta}{a}\right)x} \\ \phi_{2,\alpha,\beta}(x,t) &= e^{(\bar{\alpha}+i\beta)^2 t} e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha+i\beta}{a}\right)x} \end{aligned}$$

Let us now decide which of these solutions is amenable to the boundary conditions.

First of all in order that our solutions be real-valued functions we must take either  $\alpha = 0$  or  $\beta = 0$ . This leads us to restrict our attention to solutions of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{1,\alpha}(x,t) &= e^{\alpha^2 t} e^{\frac{\alpha}{a}x} \\ \phi_{2,\alpha}(x,t) &= e^{\alpha^2 t} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{a}x} \\ \phi_{3,\beta}(x,t) &= e^{-\beta^2 t} \cos\left(\frac{\beta x}{a}\right) = \frac{1}{2}(\phi_{1,0,\beta}(x,t) + \phi_{2,0,\beta}(x,t)) \\ \phi_{4,\beta}(x,t) &= e^{-\beta^2 t} \sin\left(\frac{\beta x}{a}\right) = \frac{1}{2i}(\phi_{1,0,\beta}(x,t) - \phi_{2,0,\beta}(x,t))\end{aligned}$$

In order to satisfy the boundary condition (A.5) we eliminate those solutions that are proportional to  $e^{\alpha^2 t}$ .

In order to satisfy the boundary condition (A.3), we eliminate those solutions that are proportional to  $\cos\left(\frac{\beta x}{a}\right)$ .

In order to satisfy the boundary condition (A.4) we demand further that

$$\frac{\beta L}{a} = n\pi$$

We are thus constricted to construct solutions from functions of the form

$$\phi_n(x,t) = e^{-\left(\frac{n\pi a}{L}\right)^2 t} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi x}{L}\right).$$

□

### 3. (Problem 1.4.2 in text)

Suppose we want to use the function

$$\Phi(x,t) = \sum_{n=1}^N c_n \exp[-n^2 \pi^2 a^2 t / L^2] \sin(n\pi x / L)$$

where  $N$  is a chosen integer and the  $c_n$  are constants, to approximate solutions of the following problem: Find  $\phi(x,t)$  satisfying the Heat Equation in the region  $0 < x < L$ ,  $0 < t$ , with  $0 = \phi(0,t) - \phi(L,t)$  and  $\phi(x,0) = g(x)$ . What would be a good way to determine the constants  $c_n$ . If we permit  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , what feature of the series would appear to ensure convergence for  $t > 0$ ? (Hint: consider  $\int_0^L [\phi(x,0) - \Phi(x,0)]^2 dx$ .)

According to the Fourier Theorem, every continuous function  $f(x)$  on the interval  $[0, L]$  has a Fourier (Sine) Series Representation:

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right)$$

where

$$a_n = \frac{2}{L} \int_0^L \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right) f(x) dx.$$

Therefore, if fix the coefficients  $c_n$  to be

$$c_n = \frac{2}{L} \int_0^L \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right) g(x) dx,$$

then

$$\Phi(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^N c_n \exp[-n^2 \pi^2 a^2 t / L^2] \sin(n\pi x / L)$$

being constructed as a linear combination of solutions of the Heat Equation, clearly satisfies the Heat Equation. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(0, t) &= \sum_{n=1}^N c_n \exp[-n^2 \pi^2 a^2 t / L^2] \sin(0) = 0 \\ \Phi(L, t) &= \sum_{n=1}^N c_n \exp[-n^2 \pi^2 a^2 t / L^2] \sin(n\pi) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

So  $\Phi(x, t)$  also satisfies the differential equation and the boundary conditions at  $x = 0$  and  $x = L$ , however it does not quite satisfy the boundary condition at  $t = 0$  since

$$\phi(x, 0) = g(x) \neq \sum_{n=1}^N c_n \sin(n\pi x / L) = \Phi(x, 0)$$

in general. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x, 0) - \Phi(x, 0) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right) - \sum_{n=0}^N c_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right) \\ &= \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} c_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right) \end{aligned}$$

To clarify our notation,  $\phi(x, t)$  is the solution of the Heat Equation vanishing at  $x = 0$  and  $x = L$  and satisfying

$$\phi(x, 0) = g(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right);$$

and  $\Phi(x, t)$  is the solution of the Heat Equation vanishing at  $x = 0$  and  $x = L$  and satisfying

$$\Phi(x, 0) = G(x) = \sum_{n=1}^N c_n \sin(n\pi x / L)$$

To get a handle on the difference between  $\phi(x, t)$  and  $\Phi(x, t)$  we shall investigate the difference between  $g(x)$  and  $G(x)$ . If we can show that in the limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $G(x) \rightarrow g(x)$ , then we can conclude that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x, t)$  satisfies exactly the same boundary conditions as  $\phi(x, t)$ , and so  $\Phi(x, t) = \phi(x, t)$ . Now  $G(x)$  is a manifestly continuous function, so if  $g(x)$  is continuous, the square of the difference between  $g(x)$  and  $G(x)$  is a manifestly positive continuous function. But then we have necessarily

$$0 \leq \int_0^L (g(x) - G(x))^2 dx$$

and furthermore

$$0 = \int_0^L (g(x) - G(x))^2 dx \text{ if and only if } g(x) = G(x).$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \int_0^L (g(x) - G(x))^2 dx \\
&= \int_0^L \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right) - \sum_{n=1}^N c_n \sin(n\pi x/L) \right)^2 dx \\
&= \int_0^L \left( \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} c_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right) \right)^2 dx \\
&= \int_0^L \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \sum_{m=N}^{\infty} c_n c_m \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right) \sin\left(\frac{m\pi x}{L}\right) dx \\
&= \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \sum_{m=N}^{\infty} c_n c_m \int_0^L \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right) \sin\left(\frac{m\pi x}{L}\right) dx \\
&= \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \sum_{m=N}^{\infty} \frac{2}{L} c_n c_m \delta_{mn} \\
&= \frac{2}{L} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} c_n^2
\end{aligned}$$

Since the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^2 = \int_0^L [g(x)]^2 dx < \infty$$

converges, necessarily we must have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} c_n^2 = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^L (g(x) - G(x))^2 dx = 0.$$

Now let us compare the difference between the solution  $\phi(x, t)$  that satisfies our boundary conditions exactly, and the solution  $\Phi(x, t)$  that satisfies our boundary conditions only approximately:

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(x, t) - \Phi(x, t) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \exp[-n^2 \pi^2 a^2 t / L^2] \sin(n\pi x / L) - \sum_{n=1}^N c_n \exp[-n^2 \pi^2 a^2 t / L^2] \sin(n\pi x / L) \\
&= \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} c_n \exp[-n^2 \pi^2 a^2 t / L^2] \sin(n\pi x / L)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that this error term is negligible for large  $N$  not only because  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = 0$ , but also because the factors

$$\exp[-n^2 \pi^2 a^2 t / L^2]$$

go to zero extremely rapidly for large  $n$  (for any  $t > 0$ ).  $\square$