

## Algorithms for Polynomial Interpolation

We have thus far three algorithms for determining the polynomial interpolation of a given set of data.

1. Brute Force Method. Set

$$P(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0$$

and solve the following set of  $n + 1$  equations

$$\begin{aligned} a_n (x_0)^n + a_{n-1} (x_0)^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 x_0 + a_0 &= y_0 \\ a_n (x_1)^n + a_{n-1} (x_1)^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 x_1 + a_0 &= y_1 \\ &\vdots \\ a_n (x_n)^n + a_{n-1} (x_n)^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 x_n + a_0 &= y_n \end{aligned}$$

for the  $n + 1$  coefficients.

2. Newton Form Method. Set

$$P(x) = c_0 + c_1(x - x_0) + c_2(x - x_0)(x - x_1) + \cdots + c_n(x - x_0)(x - x_1) \cdots (x - x_{n-1})$$

and use the following recursive formulae to determine the coefficients  $c_k$ :

$$\begin{aligned} c_k &= \frac{y_k - P_{k-1}(x_k)}{(x_k - x_0)(x_k - x_1) \cdots (x_k - x_{k-1})} \\ P_k(x) &= P_{k-1}(x) + c_k(x - x_0)(x - x_1) \cdots (x - x_{k-1}) \end{aligned}$$

3. Lagrange Form Method. For  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$  compute the cardinal functions

$$\ell_k(x) = \prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq k}}^n \frac{(x - x_j)}{(x_k - x_j)} = \frac{(x - x_0)(x - x_1) \cdots (x - x_{k-1})(x - x_{k+1}) \cdots (x - x_n)}{(x_k - x_0)(x_k - x_1) \cdots (x_k - x_{k-1})(x_k - x_{k+1}) \cdots (x_k - x_n)}$$

and then set

$$P(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n y_k \ell_k(x)$$

There is one more method that is, computationally, much more efficient than any of the algorithms above. This is the so-called method of **divided differences**.

NOTATION 17.1. Let  $\{(x_i, y_i) \mid i = 0, \dots, n\}$  be an ordered set of  $n + 1$  data points, let  $x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{k+j}$  be any set of  $j$  consecutive nodes and let

$$\mathcal{P}_k(x) = c_{k,0} + c_{k,1}(x - x_k) + c_{k,2}(x - x_k)(x - x_{k+1}) + \cdots + c_{k,j}(x - x_k)(x - x_{k+1}) \cdots (x - x_{k+j-2})(x - x_{k+j-1})$$

be the Newton form of the interpolation polynomial for  $\{(x_i, y_i) \mid i = k, \dots, k + j\}$ . The **divided difference**

$$f[x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{k+j}]$$

is the highest order coefficient  $c_{k,j}$  of  $\mathcal{P}_k(x)$ .

REMARK 17.2. Note that if we set  $k = 0$  then the divided differences  $f[x_0, x_1, x_j]$  are just the coefficients  $c_j$  appearing in the Newton of the interpolation polynomial for  $\{(x_i, y_i) \mid i = 0, \dots, n\}$ . For in this case, the polynomials

$$P_j(x) = P_{j-1}(x) + c_j (x - x_0) (x - x_1) \cdots (x - x_{j-1})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_0(x) &= c_{k,0} + c_{k,1}(x - x_0) + c_{k,2}(x - x_0)(x - x_1) + \cdots \\ &\quad \cdots + c_{0,j}(x - x_0) \cdots (x - x_{j-1}) + \cdots + c_{0,n}(x - x_0) \cdots (x - x_{n-1}) \\ &= f[x_0] + f[x_0, x_1](x - x_0) + f[x_0, x_1, x_2](x - x_0)(x - x_1) + \cdots \\ &\quad \cdots + f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_j](x - x_0) \cdots (x - x_{j-1}) + \cdots \\ &\quad \cdots + f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n](x - x_0) \cdots (x - x_{n-1}) \\ &= c_0 + c_1(x - x_0) + c_{2k,2}(x - x_0)(x - x_1) + \cdots \\ &\quad \cdots + c_j(x - x_0) \cdots (x - x_{j-1}) + \dots \\ &\quad \cdots + c_n(x - x_0) \cdots (x - x_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 17.3. *The divided differences satisfy the following recursion relations*

$$f[x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+j}] = \frac{f[x_{i+1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+j}] - f[x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+j-1}]}{x_{i+j} - x_i}$$

*Proof.* It suffices to prove this for  $i = 0$  and  $j = n$ : because for any other choice of  $i$  or  $j$ , we can always construct a new set of data  $\{(\tilde{x}_k, \tilde{y}_k) = (x_{i+k}, y_{i+k}) \mid k = 0, 1, \dots, \tilde{n} = j\}$  for which the relation

$$f[\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_{\tilde{n}}] = \frac{f[\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_{\tilde{n}}] - f[\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_{\tilde{n}-1}]}{\tilde{x}_{\tilde{n}} - \tilde{x}_0}$$

is equivalent to the relation in the problem statement. Let  $P_{n-1}(x)$  be the polynomial of degree  $\leq n-1$  that interpolates the data at the first  $n$  data points  $\{(x_0, y_0), \dots, (x_{n-1}, y_{n-1})\}$  and let  $Q_{n-1}(x)$  be the polynomial that interpolates the data at the last  $n$  data points  $\{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)\}$ . Then if we set

$$Q(x) = Q_{n-1}(x) + \frac{x - x_n}{x_n - x_0} (Q_{n-1}(x) - P_{n-1}(x))$$

then

$$Q(x_i) = \begin{cases} Q_{n-1}(x_0) + \frac{x_0 - x_n}{x_n - x_0} (Q_{n-1}(x_0) - P_{n-1}(x_0)) = P_{n-1}(x_0) = y_0 & , \quad \text{if } i = 0 \\ Q_{n-1}(x_i) + \frac{x_i - x_n}{x_n - x_0} (Q_{n-1}(x_i) - P_{n-1}(x_i)) = y_i - \frac{x_i - x_n}{x_n - x_0} (0) = y_i & , \quad \text{if } 0 < i < n \\ Q_{n-1}(x_n) + \frac{x_n - x_n}{x_n - x_0} (Q_{n-1}(x_n) - P_{n-1}(x_n)) = y_n & , \quad \text{if } i = n \end{cases}$$

Therefore,  $Q(x)$  is the interpolation polynomial  $P(x)$  for the data  $\{(x_i, y_i) \mid i = 0, \dots, n\}$ . Now the coefficient of the highest power of  $x$  for  $P(x)$  is

$$P(x) \approx f[x_0, \dots, x_n] (x - x_0) \cdots (x - x_n) \approx f[x_0, \dots, x_n] x^n + \mathcal{O}(x^{n-1})$$

while the coefficient of the highest power of  $x$  for  $Q(x)$  will be

$$\begin{aligned} Q(x) &\approx \frac{x - x_n}{x_n - x_0} ((f[x_1, \dots, x_n] (x - x_1) \cdots (x - x_n) - f[x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}] (x - x_0) \cdots (x - x_{n-1})) + \mathcal{O}(x^{n-1})) \\ &\approx \left( \frac{f[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{x_n - x_0} - \frac{f[x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}]}{x_n - x_0} \right) x^n - \mathcal{O}(x^{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Since the highest order coefficients must agree we have

$$f[x_0, \dots, x_n] = \frac{f[x_1, \dots, x_n] - f[x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}]}{x_n - x_0}$$

Now consider how we might construct the interpolation polynomial using divided differences. Suppose  $P(x)$  is the interpolation polynomial for a problem with four data points  $x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3$ . We then have

$$\begin{aligned} P(x) &= c_0 + c_1(x - x_0) + c_2(x - x_0)(x - x_1) + c_3(x - x_0)(x - x_1)(x - x_2) \\ &= f[x_0] + f[x_0, x_1](x - x_0) + f[x_0, x_1, x_2](x - x_0)(x - x_1) + f[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3](x - x_0)(x - x_1)(x - x_2) \end{aligned}$$

Now according to the preceding theorem

$$\begin{aligned} f[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3] &= \frac{f[x_1, x_2, x_3] - f[x_0, x_1, x_2]}{x_3 - x_0} \\ &= \frac{1}{x_3 - x_0} \left( \frac{f[x_2, x_3] - f[x_1, x_2]}{x_3 - x_1} - \frac{f[x_1, x_2] - f[x_0, x_1]}{x_1 - x_0} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(x_3 - x_0)(x_3 - x_1)} \left( \frac{f[x_3] - f[x_2]}{x_3 - x_2} - \frac{f[x_2] - f[x_1]}{x_2 - x_1} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{(x_3 - x_0)(x_1 - x_0)} \left( \frac{f[x_2] - f[x_1]}{x_2 - x_1} - \frac{f[x_1] - f[x_0]}{x_1 - x_0} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(x_3 - x_0)(x_3 - x_1)} \left( \frac{y_3 - y_2}{x_3 - x_2} - \frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{(x_3 - x_0)(x_1 - x_0)} \left( \frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1} - \frac{y_1 - y_0}{x_1 - x_0} \right) \end{aligned}$$

However, this is not how we'll want to compute divided differences (by breaking down the complicated  $f[x_0, \dots, x_i]$  to the original data). Rather, we'll employ a bottoms up approach. For notational and calculational convenience, we'll set

$$\begin{aligned} F[[i, 0] &\equiv f[x_i] = y_i \\ F[i, j] &\equiv f[x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+j}] \end{aligned}$$

so that our recursion relations

$$f[x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+j}] = \frac{f[x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+j}] - f[x_i, \dots, x_{i+j-1}]}{x_{i+j} - x_i}$$

can be expressed a bit more succinctly as

$$F[i, j] = \frac{F[i+1, j-1] - F[i, j-1]}{x_{i+j} - x_i}$$

- Step 1. Set

$$F[i, 0] = y_i \quad , \quad i = 0, \dots, n$$

- Step 2. Set

$$F[i, 1] = \frac{F[i+1, 0] - F[i, 0]}{x_{i+1} - x_i} \quad , \quad i = 0, \dots, n-1$$

- Step 3. Set

$$F[i, 2] := \frac{f[i+1, 1] - f[i, 1]}{x_{i+2} - x_i} \quad , \quad i = 0, \dots, n-2$$

•  $\vdots$

- Step  $n-1$ . Set

$$f[i, \dots, n-1] := \frac{f[i+1, n-2] - f[i, n-2]}{x_n - x_0} \quad , \quad i = 0, 1$$

- Step  $n$ . Set

$$F[0, n] := \frac{F[1, n-1] - F[0, n-1]}{x_n - x_0} \quad ,$$

- For each  $i$  from 0 to  $n$ , set

$$c_i = F[0, i] \equiv f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_i]$$

- The Newton form of the interpolation polynomial will then be

$$P(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i \left( \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (x - x_j) \right)$$

The following Maple code implements this algorithm.

```
#initialize array F[i,0]
for i from 0 to n do
  F[i,0] := Y[i];
od:

#apply recursion relations
for j from 1 to n do
  for i from 0 to n-j do
    F[i,j] := (F[i+1,j-1] - F[i,j-1])/(X[i+j] - X[i]);
  od:
od:

#construct Newton form of interpolation polynomial
p := F[0,0]; # = Y[0];
g := 1
for i from 1 to n do
  g := (x-X[i-1])*g
  p := p + F[0,i]*g;
od:

#identify the total coefficient of each power of x

for i from 0 to n do
  c := coeff(p,x,i):
  c := evalf(c,3):
  lprint('coefficient of x to the',i,'is',c):
od:
```

Suppose we implement this code on a test function like

$$T(x) = 2x^8 - 10x^5 - 20x - 50 \quad ,$$

setting up our data points in the following simple-minded way

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= a + \frac{b-a}{n}i \\ y_i &= T(x_i) \end{aligned}$$

for various choices of  $n$ , and intervals  $[a, b]$ . Surprisingly, we don't get consistent results. For example, for  $n = 10$ ,  $[a, b] = [-10, 10]$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P(x) &= (0.0)x^10 + (0.0)x^9 + (2.0)x^8 + (0.0)x^7 + (0.0)x^6 - (10.0)x^5 \\ &\quad + (0.0)x^4 + (0.0)x^3 + (0.0)x^2 + (6.0 \times 10^5)x + (1.0 \times 10^1) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, taking  $n = 10$ ,  $[a, b] = [-0.005, 0.005]$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P(x) = & -(2.8 \times 10^5)x^{10} + (-1.4 \times 10^5)x^9 + (827)x^8 + (41.3)x^7 - (7.52)x^6 - (10.0)x^5 \\ & + (2.3 \times 10^{-4})x^4 + (1.1 \times 10^{-5})x^3 + (1.6 \times 10^{-8})x^2 - (20.0)x - (50.0) \end{aligned}$$

Of course, such discrepancies can be traced to the floating point errors in the numerical algorithm. The way to get around such inconsistencies is to take a three step approach.

- Carry out an interpolation in a region  $[a, b]$  where the data is changing very rapidly to get a handle on the higher degree terms of  $P(x)$ .
- Carry out an interpolation in a region  $[a, b]$  where the rate at which data is changing rather moderately to get a handle on the lower degree terms of  $P(x)$ .
- Look for a region where the high degree terms and the low degree terms have about the same influence to get a final interpolation for  $P(x)$ .

Note, however, that the test regions described above need not be near the origin, nor can their sizes be predicted *a priori*. Consider, for example, what might be appropriate regions for interpolating the following polynomial

$$T(x) = 10^{-6} \left[ (x - 500)^5 - x + 500 \right]$$