## $\rm LECTURE \ 10$

## Fields and Ordered Fields

Recall that an ordered field is a F together with a binary relation  $\leq$  satisfying the following axioms:

- O1. Given  $a, b \in F$ , then either  $a \leq b$  or  $b \leq a$ .
- O2. If  $a \leq b$  and  $b \leq a$ , then a = b.
- O3. If  $a \leq b$  and  $b \leq c$ , then  $a \leq c$ .
- O4. If  $a \leq b$ , then  $a + c \leq b + c$ .
- O5. If  $a \leq b$  and  $0 \leq c$ , then  $ac \leq bc$ .

In the theorem below we use the notation  $a \prec b$  to mean  $a \preceq b$  and  $a \neq b$ .

THEOREM 10.1. If F is an ordered field is an ordered field and  $a, b, c \in F$ , then:

(i) If a ≤ b, then -b ≤ -a.
(ii) If a ≤ b and c ≤ 0, then bc ≤ ac.
(iii) If 0 ≤ a and 0 ≤ b, then 0 ≤ ab.
(iv) 0 ≤ a<sup>2</sup> for all a ∈ F.
(v) 0 ≺ 1.
(vi) if 0 ≺ a, then 0 ≺ a<sup>-1</sup>.
(vii) if 0 ≺ a ≺ b, then 0 ≺ b<sup>-1</sup> ≺ a<sup>-1</sup>.

Taking  $F = \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\leq$  to coincide with the the usual numerical inequality  $\leq$ , the above properties should seem quite elementary. However, in our context (the *axiomatic development* of number fields) these statements are properties which must first be proved before they can be employed.

(i) Suppose that  $a \leq b$ . Then by O4 we have

$$a + ((-a) + (-b)) \leq b + ((-a) + (-b))$$

or

$$-b \preceq -a$$
 .

(ii) If  $c \leq 0$ , then

 $0=c+(-c) \preceq 0-c=-c$ 

by (i). So  $0 \leq -c$ . If  $a \leq b$ , then by O5 we have

$$a(-c) \preceq b(-c) \quad ,$$

or

 $-ac \preceq -bc$  .

Again by (i) we have

 $bc \preceq ac$  .

4

(iii) If we put a = 0 and apply Axiom O5 we obtain

 $0 \leq b \text{ and} 0 \leq c \Rightarrow 0 \leq bc$ .

Except for the notation, this precisely statement (iii).

- (iv) For any  $a \in F$ , either  $a \leq 0$  or  $0 \leq a$  by O1. If  $0 \leq a$ , then  $0 \leq a^2$  by (iii).
- If  $a \leq 0$ , then  $0 \leq -a$  by (i) and so  $0 \leq (-a)^2$  by (iii). But by statement (iv) of Theorem 3.1,  $(-a)(-a) = a^2$ , hence  $0 \leq a^2$ .
- (v) Well,  $0 \prec 1$  means that  $0 \preceq 1$  and  $0 \neq 1$ . Now  $0 \neq 1$  is certainly true; since otherwise Axiom M3 and statement (ii) of Theorem 3.1 would contradict each other. (We assume the field F does consists of more than one element.) To see that  $0 \preceq 1$ , we simply apply Axiom M3 and statement (iv) above to the case when a = 1.

$$0 \leq 1^2 = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$$

(vi) Suppose that  $0 \prec a$ ; i.e  $0 \preceq a$  and  $0 \neq a$ . If  $a^{-1} \preceq 0$  then by (i) we have  $0 \preceq (-a^{-1})$ , and so by (iii)  $0 \preceq a(-a^{-1}) = -1$ .

But then (i) implies

$$1 \leq -0 = 0$$

which contradicts (v). Hence we cannot have  $a^{-1} \leq 0$ ; consequently  $0 \prec a^{-1}$ .

(vii) Assume  $0 \prec a \prec b$ . In view of (vi) we need only show that  $b^{-1} \prec a^{-1}$ . But since  $a \neq b$ , we know  $a^{-1} \neq b^{-1}$ ; for if  $a^{-1} = b^{-1}$ , then  $1 = a^{-1}a = b^{-1}a$ , so  $b = bb^{-1}a = a$ . So we really only need to show  $b^{-1} \preceq a^{-1}$ .

Since  $0 \prec a \prec b$ , we have, in particular,  $0 \preceq a$  and  $0 \preceq b$ , hence  $0 \preceq ab$  by (iii). In fact  $0 \prec ab$  by Statement (vi) of Theorem 3.1. But then  $0 \prec (ab)^{-1}$  by (vi). We now apply Axiom 05 with  $c = (ab)^{-1}$ .

$$a(ab)^{-1} \preceq b(ab)^{-1}$$

The statement now follows from the identity

$$(ab)^{-1} = a^{-1}b^{-1} \quad .$$