

Math 3613
Solutions to Second Exam
November 22, 2013

1. Definitions

- (a) (4 pts) What precisely do we mean when we say a is *congruent to b modulo n* (i.e. $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$)?
- a is *congruent to b modulo n* means the difference $a - b$ is an integer multiple of n .
- (b) (5 pts) Suppose R is a set with two operations defined: “addition” $\oplus : R \times R \rightarrow R$ and “multiplication” $\otimes : R \times R \rightarrow R$ and “multiplication”. What additional properties are required so that R is a ring? (Hint: there are six additional required properties.)
- $a + b = b + a$ for all $a, b \in R$ (commutativity of addition)
 - $a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c$, for all $a, b, c \in R$ (associativity of addition)
 - There exists $0_R \in R$ such that $a + 0_R = a$ for all $a \in R$ (existence of an additive identity)
 - For each $a \in R$, there exists an element $-a \in R$ such that $a + (-a) = 0_R$ (existence of additive inverses)
 - For each $a, b, c \in R$, $a(bc) = (ab)c$ (associativity of multiplication)
 - For each $a, b, c \in R$, $a(b + c) = (ab) + (ac)$ (distributivity of multiplication over addition)
- (c) (4 pts) What is an *integral domain*?
- a non-zero commutative ring with identity and without any zero divisors.
- (d) (4 pts) What is a *homomorphism* between two rings?
- a mapping $f : R \rightarrow S$ between two rings such that for all $r, r' \in R$, one has both $f(r +_R r') = f(r) +_S f(r')$ and $f(r \times_R r') = f(r) \times_S f(r')$.
- (e) (4pts) What is the *greatest common divisor* of two polynomials over a field F ?
- The monic polynomial of highest degree that divides both f and g .
- (f) (4pts) What is an *irreducible polynomial*?
- a nonconstant polynomial f whose only divisors are the non-zero constants and the associates of f .

Due to a typo in numbering there was no problem 2 on the exam.

3. (15 pts) Suppose $GCD(a, n) = 1$. Prove that $[a]_n$ is a unit in \mathbb{Z}_n .

- By Theorem 1.3, there exist integers u and v such that

$$1 = GCD(a, n) = ua + nv \Rightarrow ua - 1 = nv$$

If we now descend to congruence classes modulo n

$$ua - 1 = nv \Rightarrow [ua - 1]_n = [nv]_n \Rightarrow [u]_n [a]_n - [1]_n = [0]_n \Rightarrow [u]_n [a]_n = [1]_n$$

and so $[a]_n$ is a unit in \mathbb{Z}_n .

4. (15 pts) Suppose S is a nonempty subset of a ring R such that

- (i) $a - b \in S$ for all $a, b \in S$
(ii) $ab \in S$ for all $a, b \in S$

Show that S is a subring of R .

- So that we can invoke Theorem 3.3, we need to show (a) $a + b \in S$ for all $a, b \in S$, (b) $ab \in S$ for all $a, b \in S$ and (c) $a \in S$ implies $-a \in S$. (b) is already identical to (ii). So we just need to show that (i) implies (a) and (c).

Step 1. Show $0_R \in S$. Choose $b = a$ in (i). Then

$$a - a \in S \Rightarrow 0_R \in S$$

Step 2. Show if $b \in S$, then $-b \in S$. Choose $a = 0_R \in S$ (valid by Step 1). (This step verifies (c).)

$$0_R - b \in S \Rightarrow -b \in S$$

Step 3. Show $a + b \in S$. By Step 2, $b \in S \Rightarrow -b \in S$, and so by assumption (i),

$$a - (-b) \in S \Rightarrow a + b \in S$$

verifying (a).

5. (15 pts) Let R and S be rings and $f : R \rightarrow S$ a ring homomorphism. Prove that

$$f(R) = \{s \in S \mid s = f(r) \text{ for some } r \in R\}$$

is a subring of S .

- We need to verify the three properties of a subring (as in Theorem 3.3). Suppose $s, s' \in f(R)$. Then $s = f(r)$ for some $r \in R$ and $s' = f(r')$ for some $r' \in R$.

$$\begin{aligned} s + s' &= f(r) + f(r') = f(r + r') && \text{because } f \text{ is a ring homomorphism} \\ \Rightarrow s + s' &\in S && \Rightarrow \text{closure under addition} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} s \cdot s' &= f(r) \cdot f(r') = f(r \cdot r') && \text{because } f \text{ is a ring homomorphism} \\ \Rightarrow s \cdot s' &\in S && \Rightarrow \text{closure under multiplication} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -s &= -f(r) = f(-r) && \text{by Theorem 3.11 (ii)} \\ \Rightarrow S &\text{ is closed under taking additive inverse.} \end{aligned}$$

6. (15 pts) Let F be a field and $f, g \in F[x]$. Prove that f and g are associates if and only if $f|g$ and $g|f$.

- \Rightarrow Suppose f and g are associates. Then by definition, there exists a nonzero constant $c \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} g = cf &\Rightarrow f|g \\ g = cf &\Rightarrow f = c^{-1}g \Rightarrow g|f \end{aligned}$$

- \Leftarrow Suppose $f|g$ and $g|f$. Then there exist polynomials s and t such that

$$f = sg \quad \text{and} \quad g = tf$$

If we take degrees on both sides of these equations (using Theorem 4.1)

$$\deg(f) = \deg(s) + \deg(g) \Rightarrow \deg(f) \leq \deg(g)$$

$$\deg(g) = \deg(t) + \deg(f) \Rightarrow \deg(g) \leq \deg(f)$$

But then if both these inequalities are to be satisfied, we must have $\deg(f) = \deg(g)$, and so $\deg(s) = \deg(t) = 0$. That means s and t are constants, and so f and g are associates.

7. (15 pts) Let \mathbb{F} be a field and let $f, g, h \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ with f and g relatively prime. Suppose further $f|h$ and $g|h$. Show that $(fg)|h$.

- Since f and g are relatively prime, by Theorem 4.4 there exists polynomials u and v such that

$$1 = GCD(f, g) = uf + vg.$$

Multiplying the extreme sides of this equation by h we get

$$(*) \quad h = ufh + vgh$$

Next, we note

$$f|h \Rightarrow h = sf \quad \text{for some polynomial } s$$

$$g|h \Rightarrow h = tg \quad \text{for some polynomial } t$$

We can thus substitute for h in two different way on the right hand side of (*) to get

$$h = uf(tg) + vg(sf) = (ut + vs)(fg) \Rightarrow (fg)|h$$