

Homomorphisms and Isomorphisms of Rings

Having now seen a number of diverse examples of rings, it is appropriate at this point to see how two different sets might be endowed with essentially the same ring structure.

Consider a set R consisting of two elements a, b with the following addition and multiplication tables

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} + & a & b \\ \hline a & a & b \\ b & b & a \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|cc} \times & a & b \\ \hline a & a & a \\ b & a & b \end{array}$$

It is easy to verify that R has a structure of a commutative ring with identity if $0_R = a$ and $1_R = b$.

On the other hand, $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{[0], [1]\}$ is another commutative ring with identity consisting of only two elements. If we write down the addition and multiplication tables for \mathbb{Z}_2

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} + & [0] & [1] \\ \hline [0] & [0] & [1] \\ [1] & [1] & [0] \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|cc} \times & [0] & [1] \\ \hline [0] & [0] & [0] \\ [1] & [0] & [1] \end{array}$$

we see that \mathbb{Z}_2 has about the same structure as that of R once we recognize the correspondences $a \leftrightarrow [0]$, $b \leftrightarrow [1]$. In such a case, when two sets R and S have a virtually identical ring structure, we shall say that R and S are *isomorphic*. Below we formalize this concept a little more precisely.

Recall that a map f from a set R to a set S is *injective* if

$$f(r) = f(r') \quad \Rightarrow \quad r = r' \quad .$$

$f : R \rightarrow S$ is *surjective* if every element in S can be expressed as $s = f(r)$ for some r in R . Finally, $f : R \rightarrow S$ is *bijective* if f is both injective and surjective. Finally, we recall that if f is bijective, then f has an inverse; i.e., there exists a (unique) function $f^{-1} : S \rightarrow R$ such that

$$f(f^{-1}(x)) = x = f^{-1}(f(x)) \quad \forall x \in R \quad .$$

DEFINITION 16.1. A map $f : R \rightarrow S$ between two rings is called a **homomorphism** if:

- (i) $f(r + r') = f(r) + f(r') \quad , \quad \forall r, r' \in R \quad ;$
- (ii) $f(rr') = f(r)f(r') \quad , \quad \forall r, r' \in R \quad .$

f is said to be an **isomorphism** if it is also bijective.

Example 1. Consider the map $\sigma : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ where $\sigma(x + iy) = x - iy$ (i.e., σ is complex conjugation in \mathbb{C}). Then if $z_1 = x_1 + iy_1$ and $z_2 = x_2 + iy_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(z_1 + z_2) &= \sigma(x_1 + x_2 + i(y_1 + y_2)) \\ &= x_1 + x_2 - i(y_1 + y_2) \\ &= x_1 - iy_1 + x_2 - iy_2 \\ &= \sigma(z_1) + \sigma(z_2) \end{aligned} \tag{16.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(16.2) \quad \sigma(z_1 z_2) &= \sigma(x_1 x_2 - y_1 y_2 + i(x_1 y_2 + y_1 x_2)) \\
&= x_1 x_2 - y_1 y_2 - i(x_1 y_2 + y_1 x_2) \\
&= (x_1 - i y_1)(x_2 - i y_2) \\
&= \sigma(z_1) \sigma(z_2)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, σ is a homomorphism of rings. Since

$$\sigma^2 = \sigma \circ \sigma = \text{Identity map}$$

it is clear that σ^{-1} exists, and so σ is a bijection. Thus, σ is a ring isomorphism.

THEOREM 16.2. *Let $f : R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of rings. Then*

- (i) $f(0_R) = 0_S$.
- (ii) $f(-r) = -f(r)$ for every $r \in R$.

Moreover, if R and S have identities and f is an surjective homomorphism, then

- (iii) $f(1_R) = 1_S$.
- (iv) Whenever $a \in R$ is a unit of R , then $f(a)$ is a unit of S and $f(a)^{-1} = f(a^{-1})$.

Proof.

- (i) Since f is a homomorphism and $0_R + 0_R = 0_R$ in R ,

$$f(0_R) + f(0_R) = f(0_R + 0_R) = f(0_R) \quad .$$

Adding $-f(0_R) \in S$ to both sides of this equation yields

$$f(0_R) = 0_S \quad .$$

- (ii)

$$\begin{aligned}
f(r) + f(-r) &= f(r + (-r)) \\
&= f(0_R) \\
&= 0_S \quad \text{by (i)}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $f(-r)$ is the additive inverse of $f(r)$ in S ; i.e., $-f(r) = f(-r)$.

- (iii) Since f is surjective, $1_S = f(r)$ for some $r \in R$. Therefore,

$$f(1_R) = f(1_R) \cdot 1_S = f(1_R) \cdot f(r) = f(1_R r) = f(r) \equiv 1_S \quad .$$

- (iv) Suppose a is a unit in R with multiplicative inverse a^{-1} . Then by (iii)

$$1_S = f(1_R) = f(a^{-1} a) = f(a^{-1}) f(a)$$

and so $f(a)$ is a unit in S with multiplicative inverse $f(a^{-1})$.

□

COROLLARY 16.3. *Let $f : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. Then the image of f in S*

$$\text{image}(f) = \{s \in S \mid s = f(r) \text{ for some } r \in R\}$$

is a subring of S .

Proof. From the fact that f is a ring homomorphism it follows that $\text{image}(f)$ is closed under addition and multiplication:

$$\begin{aligned}
s, s' \in \text{image}(f) &\Rightarrow \exists r, r' \in R \text{ s.t. } s = f(r), s' = f(r') \\
\Rightarrow s + s' &= f(r) + f(r') = f(r + r') \in \text{image}(f)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} s, s' \in \text{image}(f) &\Rightarrow \exists r, r' \in R \text{ s.t. } s = f(r), s' = f(r') \\ &\Rightarrow s \times s' = f(r) \times f(r') = f(r \times r') \in \text{image}(f) \end{aligned}$$

From part (ii) of the preceding theorem we have

$$s = f(r) \text{ for some } r \in R \Rightarrow -s = f(-r) \in \text{image}(f)$$

Since $\text{image}(f)$ is closed under addition, multiplication, and taking additive inverses, we have by Theorem 14.5, that $\text{image}(f)$ is a subring of S . \square