

## LECTURE 6

# Inverses of Square Matrices

### 1. Introduction

To motivate our discussion of matrix inverses, let me recall the solution of a linear equation in one variable:

$$(6.1) \quad ax = b$$

This is achieved simply by multiplying both sides by  $a^{-1}$ . Put another way, in more formal language, to solve (6.1) we multiply both sides by the multiplicative inverse of  $a$ .

In the preceding lectures we have seen that, by adopting a matrix formulation, we can rewrite a linear system consisting of  $m$  equations in  $n$  unknowns

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \cdots + a_{1n}x_n &= b_1 \\ a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \cdots + a_{2n}x_n &= b_2 \\ &\vdots \\ a_{m1}x_1 + a_{m2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{mn}x_n &= b_m \end{aligned}$$

as a matrix equation

$$(6.3) \quad \mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$$

which, at least notationally, has the same form as (6.1). In fact, as a result of our fore-sighted choice of matrix notation, we can actually solve (6.3) in the same manner as we solved (6.1) whenever we can find a multiplicative inverse  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  of the matrix.

However, before we try to push this analogy too far, let me point out its limitations. In the case of real numbers, every number except 0 has a multiplicative inverse; however, it is not true that every non-zero matrix has an inverse. In fact, in general matrices **do not** have inverses. For if  $\mathbf{A}$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix then we cannot have a  $r \times s$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  such that

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{AA}^{-1}$$

unless  $m = n = r = s$  (otherwise, one of the products  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{A}$  or  $\mathbf{AA}^{-1}$  is not defined). And even when we restrict attention to square matrices (i.e.  $n \times n$  matrices), we can find non-zero matrices that do not have inverses. For example, to find an inverse of

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

we would need to find a matrix

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix}$$

Looking at the entries in the first column of the first row on both sides we see that this requires in particular that  $1 = 0$ ; an obvious contradiction.

## 2. Properties of Matrix Inverses

Before we actually learn how to compute matrix inverses, I shall outline some of their elementary properties.

DEFINITION 6.1. An  $n \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is *invertible* if there exists an  $n \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{C}$  such that  $\mathbf{AC} = \mathbf{CA} = \mathbf{I}$ , the  $n \times n$  identity matrix. Such a matrix  $\mathbf{C}$  is called an *inverse* of  $\mathbf{A}$ . If an  $n \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is not invertible, it is called *singular*.

THEOREM 6.2. If an  $n \times n$  matrix is invertible, then its inverse is unique.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{D}$  be matrices such that  $\mathbf{AC} = \mathbf{I}$  and  $\mathbf{DA} = \mathbf{I}$ . Then, on the one hand, we have

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{AC}) = (\mathbf{DA})\mathbf{C} = (\mathbf{I})\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}$$

and, on the other,

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{AC}) = \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{I}) = \mathbf{D}$$

and so

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{D}$$

NOTATION 6.3. Henceforth we shall denote the unique inverse of an  $n \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  by  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$ .

THEOREM 6.4. Let  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  be invertible  $n \times n$  matrices. Then their product  $\mathbf{AB}$  is also invertible and

$$(\mathbf{AB})^{-1} = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{-1}$$

*Proof.* A direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{-1})(\mathbf{AB}) &= \mathbf{B}^{-1}(\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{A})\mathbf{B} \\ &= \mathbf{B}^{-1}(\mathbf{I})\mathbf{B} \\ &= \mathbf{B}^{-1}(\mathbf{IB}) \\ &= \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{B} \\ &= \mathbf{I} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$(\mathbf{AB})(\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{-1}) = \mathbf{I}$$

Since matrix inverses are unique, we can conclude that  $\mathbf{AB}$  is invertible and  $(\mathbf{AB})^{-1} = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{-1}$ .

LEMMA 6.5. Every elementary matrix has an inverse.

*Proof.* Recall that an elementary matrix is a matrix that is obtained from an identity matrix by a single elementary row operation.

Let  $\mathbf{E}$  be the elementary matrix corresponding to the row operation that exchanges the  $i^{\text{th}}$  and  $j^{\text{th}}$  rows. Then if exchange the the  $i^{\text{th}}$  and  $j^{\text{th}}$  rows again, we get back where we started; in other words we must have

$$\mathbf{EE} = \mathbf{I}$$

so  $\mathbf{E}$  is its own inverse.

Now suppose  $\mathbf{E}$  is the elementary matrix corresponding to the rescaling of a particular row by a factor  $k \neq 0$ , and  $\mathbf{E}'$  is the elementary matrix corresponding to rescaling that same row by a factor  $k^{-1}$ . Then we have

$$\mathbf{EE}' = \mathbf{I}$$

So elementary matrices corresponding to rescalings have inverses as well.

Finally let  $\mathbf{E}$  be the elementary matrix corresponding to replacing the  $j^{\text{th}}$  row with its sum with  $k$  times the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row. This operation can be undone by replacing the  $j^{\text{th}}$  row by its sum with  $-k$  times the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row. Let  $\mathbf{E}'$  be the elementary matrix corresponding to this latter row operation. Then we have

$$\mathbf{E}\mathbf{E}' = \mathbf{I}$$

so elementary matrices corresponding to the replacements of rows by their sums with multiples of other rows have inverses.

Thus, every elementary matrix has an inverse.

**REMARK 6.6.** In fact, as the above proof shows, **the inverse of an elementary matrix is also an elementary matrix.**

**LEMMA 6.7.** *Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix. The linear system  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  has a solution for every choice of column vector  $\mathbf{b}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{A}$  is row equivalent to the identity matrix.*

*Proof.*

$\Leftarrow$  Suppose  $\mathbf{A}$  is row equivalent to the identity matrix. This means that by a sequence of row operations we can transform the augmented matrix

$$(6.4) \quad [\mathbf{A} \mid \mathbf{b}] = \left[ \begin{array}{cccc|c} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} & b_1 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} & b_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} & b_n \end{array} \right]$$

to the form

$$(6.5) \quad [\mathbf{I} \mid \mathbf{b}'] = \left[ \begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & b'_1 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & b'_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & b'_n \end{array} \right]$$

The solution of the linear system corresponding to (6.5) is obviously

$$(6.6) \quad \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} b'_1 \\ b'_2 \\ \vdots \\ b'_n \end{bmatrix}$$

However, since solution sets of the linear systems corresponding (6.4) and (6.5) must be the same, (6.6) must also be a solution of the linear system corresponding to (6.4): i.e. a solution of  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ .

$\Rightarrow$  (Proof by Contradiction). Suppose that by row operations we can reduce the augmented matrix  $[\mathbf{A} \mid \mathbf{b}]$  to an augmented matrix  $[\mathbf{A}' \mid \mathbf{b}']$  where  $\mathbf{A}'$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix in reduced row echelon form. If  $\mathbf{A}'$  is not the identity matrix, then the last row of  $\mathbf{A}'$  will contain only 0's. If we can demonstrate that for some choice of  $\mathbf{b}$  the column vector  $\mathbf{b}'$  has a non-zero entry in the last row, we will have demonstrated (by virtue of part 1 of the theorem at the end of the preceding lecture), that the corresponding linear system has no solution. Now since  $\mathbf{A}'$  was obtained from  $\mathbf{A}$  by row reduction, we have  $\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{E}_k \mathbf{E}_{k-1} \cdots \mathbf{E}_2 \mathbf{E}_1 \mathbf{A}$  for some set of elementary matrices  $\mathbf{E}_i$ . We must also have  $\mathbf{b}' = \mathbf{E}_k \mathbf{E}_{k-1} \cdots \mathbf{E}_2 \mathbf{E}_1 \mathbf{b}$ . Suppose we choose  $\mathbf{b}$  so that

$$\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{E}_k \mathbf{E}_{k-1} \cdots \mathbf{E}_2 \mathbf{E}_1)^{-1} \mathbf{e}_n$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_n$  is the column vector with a 1 in the last row and 0's everywhere else. (Note: every elementary matrix is invertible, and so is the product of any set of any set of invertible matrices. Thus, the right hand side is well-defined). We then have

$$\mathbf{b}' = \mathbf{E}_k \mathbf{E}_{k-1} \cdots \mathbf{E}_2 \mathbf{E}_1 \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{E}_k \mathbf{E}_{k-1} \cdots \mathbf{E}_2 \mathbf{E}_1 (\mathbf{E}_k \mathbf{E}_{k-1} \cdots \mathbf{E}_2 \mathbf{E}_1)^{-1} \mathbf{e}_n = \mathbf{e}_n$$

Thus, we **can** find a choice of  $\mathbf{b}$  such that  $\mathbf{b}'$  has a non-zero entry in the last row. Hence, if  $\mathbf{A}'$  is not the identity matrix, for some choices of  $\mathbf{b}$  we are lead to contradictory linear systems.

The following theorem tells us that it is really only necessary to check that  $\mathbf{AC} = \mathbf{I}$  to verify that one  $n \times n$  matrix is the inverse of another.

**THEOREM 6.8.** *Let  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  be  $n \times n$  matrices. Then  $\mathbf{AC} = \mathbf{I}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{CA} = \mathbf{I}$ .*

### 3. Calculation of Matrix Inverses

Let us now turn to the problem of calculating the inverse of a square matrix. Suppose we start with a matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  and apply elementary row operations until we produce a matrix that is not only in reduced row-echelon form, but in fact the identity matrix. This would imply that there would be a corresponding sequence  $\{\mathbf{E}_i\}$  of elementary matrices such that

$$\mathbf{E}_k \mathbf{E}_{k-1} \cdots \mathbf{E}_2 \mathbf{E}_1 \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}$$

In other words,

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \mathbf{E}_k \mathbf{E}_{k-1} \cdots \mathbf{E}_2 \mathbf{E}_1$$

However,

$$\mathbf{E}_k \mathbf{E}_{k-1} \cdots \mathbf{E}_2 \mathbf{E}_1 = (\mathbf{E}_k \mathbf{E}_{k-1} \cdots \mathbf{E}_2 \mathbf{E}_1) \mathbf{I}$$

So we can obtain  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  by applying the same sequence of row operations to the identity matrix  $\mathbf{I}$ .

This observation leads us to the following procedure.

1. Form the augmented matrix  $[\mathbf{A} \mid \mathbf{I}]$ .
2. Apply the Gauss-Jordan method to attempt to reduce  $[\mathbf{A} \mid \mathbf{I}]$  to the form  $[\mathbf{I} \mid \mathbf{C}]$ .
3. If successful, then  $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}$ . Otherwise,  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  does not exist.

**EXAMPLE 6.9.** Calculate the inverse of

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

We set

$$[\mathbf{A} \mid \mathbf{I}] = \left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

We'll use the notation  $R_i \rightarrow R_i + (k)R_j$  to indicate the elementary row operation corresponding to replacing the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row with its sum with  $k$  times the  $j^{\text{th}}$  row:

$$\begin{aligned} R_2 &\rightarrow R_2 + (-2)R_1 &\Rightarrow &\left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \end{array} \right] \\ R_1 &\rightarrow R_1 - R_2 &\Rightarrow &\left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 0 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

The matrix in the first block is now the  $2 \times 2$  unit matrix. The matrix in the second block should then be the inverse of  $\mathbf{A}$ . Let's confirm this:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} (1,1) \cdot (3,-2) & (1,1) \cdot (-1,1) \\ (2,3) \cdot (3,-2) & (2,3) \cdot (-1,1) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 3-2 & -1+1 \\ 6-6 & -2+3 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\equiv \mathbf{I} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

#### 4. Existence of Matrix Inverses

At the beginning of this lecture I gave several examples of situations where matrix inverses do not exist. We'll close today's lecture with a theorem that relates the existence of

**THEOREM 6.10.** *Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

1.  $\mathbf{A}$  is invertible.
2.  $\mathbf{A}$  is row equivalent to the identity matrix.
3. The linear system  $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$  has a solution for each  $n$ -component column vector  $\mathbf{b}$ .
4.  $\mathbf{A}$  can be expressed as a product of elementary matrices.
5. The span of the column vectors of  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .