

- Suppose X and Y are path connected spaces. Consider the following statements:
 - X and Y are homeomorphic.
 - X and Y are homotopy equivalent.
 - $\pi_1(X)$ and $\pi_1(Y)$ are isomorphic.

How are these statements related? That is, which implies which? For each implication which does not hold, give a counterexample to the implication.

- Let $p : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be a k -sheeted covering map, where \widetilde{M} and M are closed, connected, orientable surfaces and M has genus g . What is the genus \widetilde{g} of \widetilde{M} ? What is \widetilde{g} when $k = 3$ and $g = 2$?
- Regard S^1 as the set of complex numbers z with $|z| = 1$. Let X , \widetilde{X} , and Y be copies of S^1 . Let $p : \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the covering map given by $p(z) = z^2$. For each of the following maps $f : Y \rightarrow X$, determine whether or not there is a lifting $g : Y \rightarrow \widetilde{X}$. If there is a lifting, give a formula for it; if there is not a lifting, prove that it does not exist.
 - $f(z) = z^3$
 - $f(z) = z^4$.
- Suppose $X = A \cup B$, where A and B are each homeomorphic to $S^1 \times S^1$, and $A \cap B$ is a simple closed curve C which is essential in A and essential in B . Compute $\pi_1(X)$. Be sure to say what the group is algebraically; don't just give a presentation of it. Hint: There is more than one way to look at X , hence more than one way to do the problem.
- Let X be the space in Problem 4. Compute all the homology groups (with integer coefficients) of X . The same hint applies.
- State the Eilenberg-Steenrod axioms for homology theory (with integer coefficients).
- Suppose M is a closed, connected, orientable 5-manifold with $H_1(M) \cong \mathbf{Z}_6$ and $H_2(M) \cong \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_3$. Find all of the homology and cohomology groups of M . (Integer coefficients are assumed throughout.)
- Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_p, \partial_p^A\}$, $\mathcal{B} = \{B_p, \partial_p^B\}$, and $\mathcal{C} = \{C_p, \partial_p^C\}$ be chain complexes. Let

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathcal{C} \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of chain maps $\phi = \{\phi_p\}$ and $\psi = \{\psi_p\}$. Show how to define the connecting homomorphism $\partial_* : H_p(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_{p-1}(\mathcal{A})$. You are NOT being asked to give the complete proof of the Zig-Zag Lemma. You are just being asked how you get from a representative cycle $c_p \in C_p$ to a representative cycle $a_{p-1} \in A_{p-1}$, why you can get there, and why a_{p-1} is a cycle. You do NOT need to show that the homology class of a_{p-1} is well-defined.